A 25-year-old man with abdominal pain
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Case Presentation

A 25-year-old male, presented to our emergency department with a one day history of a right sided abdominal pain. His medical history revealed a herniated nucleus pulposus and a deep vein thrombosis, a year before presentation. No medication was used. The differential diagnosis was cholecystitis or appendicitis.

Physical Examination Findings

The patient was afebrile, his pulse was 86 beats per minute and his blood pressure was 150/72 mm Hg, He was not tachypneic, his respiratory rate was 18 breaths per minute and his oxygen saturation was 97 % on room air. His physical examination was remarkable for abdominal tenderness at the right lower quadrant. The remainder of the physical examination was completely normal.

Diagnostic Studies

Laboratory results were unremarkable, except his C-reactive protein level of 181 mg/L. His abdominal ultrasound showed no signs of appendicitis or cholecystitis. To further exclude abdominal disorder an abdominal ct was performed showing no abdominal abnormalities but a pulmonary infiltrate (Figures 1).

Discussion

Acute pulmonary embolism (PE) occurs relatively frequently (an annual rate is 1-2 per 1000 persons). It has nonspecific, variable presentation and could be the great masquerader [1-5]. The diagnosis could only be confirmed in 10-20% of suspected patients (that means that 80-90% of suspected patients have no PE, PE was in those patients excluded after they have been evaluated,) [2].

There is no pathognomonic sign or symptom for PE and the diagnosis remains difficult. Pulmonary emboli frequently originate from lower extremity (deep vein thrombi, 80-90 %) [4] and could be clinically silent. That was described in a deep venous thrombosis study, in which 40 % of deep vein thrombi had silent accompanying pulmonary embolism (4). In symptomatic patients thoracodynia (88 %) and dyspnoea (84 %) are the most common symptoms, the rest of symptoms are less frequently reported (cough 53 %, haemoptysis 30 %) [4].

The chest pain is usually sudden, sharp and respirophasic, that is why among others angina pectoris, myocard infarction or herpes zoster should be considerd as a differential diagnosis. So abdominal pain is an unusual presentation of the pulmonary embolism and could be seen in 6.7% of patients with pulmonary embolism [3-5]. The precise mechanism of abdominal pain in pulmonary embolism is still

What is the diagnosis?

Saddle pulmonary embolism with a peripheral based opacity consistent with pulmonary infarction (Hampton's hump). The next diagnostic procedure is a CT pulmonary angiography (Figure 2).

Figure 1. Abdominal CT shows a peripheral based pulmonary opacity (in the right lower lobe).

What study should be considered to make the diagnosis?

Diagnosis

Saddle pulmonary embolism with a peripheral based opacity consistent with pulmonary infarction (Hampton's hump). The next diagnostic procedure is a CT pulmonary angiography (Figure 2).

Figure 2. CTA shows a saddle pulmonary embolism.
unknown. It could be due to right heart strain and hepatic congestion or it could be due to diaphragmatic pleurisy after pulmonary infarction [6].

Clinical Course

We admitted this saddle pulmonary embolism patient with an abdominal pain and treated with a low-molecular-weight-heparine given subcutaneously combined with an oral anticoagulant therapy, acenocoumarol (low-molecular-weight-heparine was stopped after the appropriate target INR range has been reached).

Inspite the fact that he had a saddle pulmonary embolism, he had no signs of massive pulmonary embolism, his hemodynamic stability was the reason we did not use thrombolysis. He recovered completely within ten days and he was discharged. The patient was seen in the post-discharge clinic for three years. He had no symptoms, no signs of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) or recurrent pulmonary embolism. Due to his two thrombotic events (a deep vein thrombosis a year before his pulmonary embolism), a lifelong anticoagulant therapy was advised.

Abdominal pain could either originate from pulmonary embolism or pneumonia and it should be considered by physicians as a diagnosis in all patients with an abdominal pain when abdominal source of their symptoms could not be identified.

1. Pulmonary embolism has nonspecific, variable presentation and could be the great masquerader.
2. PE presenting as abdominal pain is an unusual presentation of the pulmonary embolism and could be seen in 6.7 % of patients. The precise mechanism of abdominal pain in pulmonary embolism is still unknown. It could be due to right heart strain and hepatic congestion or it could be due to diaphragmatic pleurisy after pulmonary infarction.

3. It should be considered by physicians as a diagnosis in all patients with an abdominal pain when abdominal source of their symptoms could not be identified.
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