Abdominal wall sarcoma: A rare tumor and surgical treatment proposed
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Abstract

Abdominal wall sarcoma is part of a heterogeneous group of rare malignant neoplastic conditions with differentiated morphological patterns and originating from mesenchymal tissues. Soft tissue sarcomas are more prevalent in the lower and upper limbs and retroperitoneum but rarely occurring on the abdominal wall. This case corresponds to a 46-year-old patient, without associated comorbidities, complaining of painless swelling in the right flank with onset occurring 2 months previously. The computed tomography (CT) scan revealed a solid tumor on the abdominal wall of the flank measuring 10 x 12 cm, and the biopsy of the mass identified: high-grade myxoid sarcoma. The patient underwent surgical resection of most of the lateral abdominal wall with closure of the defect using polypropylene mesh, evolving satisfactorily in the postoperative period, and remains under follow-up for three years without local recurrence or metastases.

Introduction

Sarcomas are a heterogeneous group of rare and malignant neoplastic conditions with greater prevalence in adult male individuals [1]. Soft tissue sarcomas account for 1% of malignant tumors in adults [2], and occurrence on the abdominal wall is considered rare as they correspond to 0.1% of malignant tumors [1]. This group of neoplasms has its origin in mesenchymal cells of various sites: adipocytes, muscles, blood vessels, cartilage, nerves and bones [1]. The potential for destruction and recurrence of the tumor depends on the histological type and degree of mitoses, and immunohistochemical analysis is important particularly to define the source of the tumor and prognostic for the patients [3].

This report contains a description of a case of abdominal wall sarcoma and the proposed treatment with presentation of a large mass on the right flank.

Case summary

Patient EBS, male gender, age 46, of mixed race, without previous comorbidities, was referred by the public basic healthcare network to the surgical service of the Hospital de Base of Porto Velho, Rondônia state, Brazil. The patient complained of a painless large palpable nodule in the right flank and having first appeared 2 months previously, without other associated symptoms. The patient was a nonsmoker and did not have a family history of neoplasms. The abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan revealed a solid tumor of the abdominal wall involving the anterolateral muscles, measuring about 10 cm x 12 cm in its major diameters, suggestive of primary abdominal wall tumor (Figure 1). The chest CT scan showed no evidence of pulmonary metastases. The needle biopsy of the center of the tumor revealed a high-grade myxoid sarcomatous tumor.

The patient underwent monobloc resection with elliptical incision of all the layers of the abdominal wall including the skin and the peritoneum of the anterolateral region of the abdomen, extending to the right lumbar region and segment of the 12th rib and of a small portion of diaphragm insertion in the region of the resected muscles (Figure 2). The abdominal wall reconstruction was performed using 30 x 30 cm polypropylene mesh after suturing the greater omentum along the entire edge of the abdominal wall defect in order to prevent the intestinal loops from adhering to the prosthesis. The mesh was fixed in place with 2.0 nonabsorbable polypropylene sutures at separate points (Figure 3). Primary closure of the skin was performed using 4.0 nylon sutures, and it was necessary to perform water seal pleural drainage due to opening of the right pleural cavity during the procedure. The patient progressed satisfactorily, was discharged on the 4th postoperative day following removal of the chest drain, and was followed up in the postoperative period for three years without local recurrence or distant metastases (Figure 4).

Discussion

Abdominal wall sarcomas manifest as a painless abdominal mass of progressive growth, and are generally diagnosed when they reach a size of more than 5 cm when they are easily palpable. The main differential diagnosis of these sarcomas are abdominal wall hernias besides benign tumors, mainly the so-called fibromatoses (Desmoid tumor) [1]. The presence of a painless mass on the abdominal wall with a size above 5 cm increases the chance of malignancy [4], and this fact was also been observed in the reported case, where there was a large painless mass in the right flank.
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In the diagnostic evaluation of sarcomas of extremities, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) imaging has specificity superior to CT scans, yet in abdominal wall tumors, the abdominal CT scan allows a better evaluation of the tumor’s relationship with vital organs and intra-abdominal structures such as the intestines [1]. All confirmed cases should also undergo a chest CT scan for pulmonary metastases [5].

The biopsy of the suspicious abdominal mass should be performed prior to surgical resection in all cases using and has a sensitivity of 80 to 95%, in the definition of the presence or absence of malignancy [3]. The most suitable method is core needle biopsy of the tumor using one or more punches. Tumor subtype was accurately assigned in 89.5% of benign tumors and 88.0% of sarcomas [6]. That yet the puncture site should be included in the piece to be resected [1]. In the case reported here, the diagnostic method of choice was core needle biopsy of the tumor alongside a histopathological and immunohistochemical study.

The treatment of abdominal wall sarcoma consists of the en bloc resection of the lesion with a minimum margin of 3 cm of free tissue, involving the entire width of the abdominal wall, and possibly also involving the resection of adjacent structures or even internal organs, if there is tumor adherence or invasion [1,7]. Abdominal wall reconstruction can be performed using myocutaneous flaps or synthetic mesh, or a combination of both methods [1,4]. Nowadays the use of double-sided abdominal mesh is indicated most often in the closure of major abdominal wall defects with the purpose of avoiding adherences of intestinal loops to the screen and fistula formation. Simple polypropylene mesh (only one available in the service at the time) was used in the case in question, but the use of the greater omentum with suturing all along the edge of the abdominal wall defect and the anterior positioning of the screen was a simple yet effective surgical tactic to prevent the mesh from adhering to intestinal loops [1].

Tumors classified by histology as high grade and with a diameter greater than 5 cm are related to the development of metastasis, particularly of the pulmonary kind [1]. Another aspect that hinders the curing of sarcomas is the risk of local recurrence due to compromised surgical margins, even if these are microscopic called R1 resection [7]. Otherwise R0 resection is ideal goal and it can be enhancer 5-year rates of free of tumor for more then 90% [7]. The patient studied in this case exhibited free surgical margins and has been followed up now for three years without local recurrence or distant metastasis.
Conclusion

Sarcoma of abdominal wall is a rare presentation of soft issue tumors and needs aggressive resection to prevent local recurrence. Reconstruction of abdominal wall requires adequate surgical approach and a long follow up of patients in order to identify local recurrence or metastasis.
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