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Introduction

The risks for mood disorders in children in the United States (US) underestimated. Yet they can be readily assessed just on the basis of clinical history. This allows for more careful follow up of those at high risk, and the possibility of early intervention to head off the development of more severe illness. Parental awareness of the risk for their children would allow more systematic assessment of symptoms to facilitate physicians and clinicians evaluating severity of illness and need for treatment. In this manuscript, we detail the ways risk can be preliminarily evaluated and suggest a system for weekly evaluation by parents of a child symptoms in the Child Network. Potential early intervention and prevention strategies are also discussed.

Assessing risk

Family history of a mood disorder is a potent risk factor for illness in the offspring. In particular, a history of unipolar depression or bipolar disorder in a parent conveys a very substantial risk of a variety of psychiatric difficulties in their offspring. As illustrated in Figure 1, the risk of a lifetime psychiatric diagnosis upon 7 years of follow up in the offspring of a parent with bipolar disorder is an astonishing 74% [1]. The most common diagnosis are an anxiety disorder and depression, followed by ADHD, disruptive behavioral disorders, substance abuse and bipolar disorder, the latter of which occurs in about 20% of the children. Strikingly, the offspring of the community control parents (who do not have a diagnosis of bipolar disorder) are also at considerable risk with almost 50% receiving a psychiatric diagnosis over the 7 years of follow up.

The risks of illness in the offspring of a parent with unipolar depression are also high both at 10 years and 20 years of follow up [2] and are in the range of 75 to 80%. The range and order of diagnoses are similar to those seen in the offspring of a bipolar parent (Figure 1, bottom). Again, about 50% of the offspring of the community controls also had a major psychiatric diagnosis, indicating a high incidence of illness in the general population in the US.

A variety of other clinical factors can be assessed that might further add to the risk of the offspring. If a second generation, i.e., the grandparents, are also ill this further adds to the vulnerability in the offspring of both unipolar and bipolar parents [3,4]. Assortative mating (both parents with a mood disorder), which is three times more common in the US compared to the Netherlands and Germany, adds a further bilinear increase in genetic vulnerability [5].

Another added risk factor is adversity in childhood, which interacts with genetic vulnerability to further decrease the age of onset of bipolar disorder [6,7]. Finally, if prodromal symptoms emerge, this adds to the likelihood of the later development of a full-blown syndrome [8].

For example, if a child has a BP not otherwise specified (BP-NOS) diagnosis, they have about a 50% likelihood of converting to a full-blown diagnosis of BP I or BP II upon several years of follow up if there is a positive family history of bipolar disorder [1]. Another risk factor is if one or both parents’ affective illness is not well controlled, and they remain symptomatic, the offspring are more likely to develop psychiatric illness [1,9].

Early onset bipolar disorder is more common in the US than in the Netherlands and Germany (abbreviated here as Europe). Two thirds of the onsets in well-diagnosed adults in the US with bipolar disorder occurred in childhood or adolescence, before age 19, while this was seen in only one third of those from Europe [5]. Early onsets of bipolar disorder are particularly striking when there is a combined high familial loading for psychiatric illness and high degrees of psychosocial stress in childhood [6]. Early onset illness is associated with longer delays to first treatment than adult onset illness, and both early onset and treatment delay are independent risk factors for a poor outcome in adulthood [10,11]. An early age of onset of bipolar disorder in a parent also increases risk for the child. The situation in the US is not improving, as there is a cohort effect for an earlier age of onset and increased incidence of both childhood depression and bipolar disorder [12-14].

Preliminary assessment of risk can thus be estimated from the clinical history alone, allowing greater vigilance, and possibly earlier intervention. The assessment of increased risk noted above includes four major variables: I. Genetics: Illness in one or both parents, and one or both grandparents. II. Environment: The extent to which parental illness is not well controlled and remission is not achieved. III. Stressors: The occurrence of childhood adversity, such as abuse, neglect, bullying, etc. IV. The emergence of prodromal symptoms and syndromes in the child.

In summary, the magnitude of genetic vulnerability alone puts the child at high risk for a variety of psychiatric illnesses of childhood and adolescence. If other environmental factors and stresses have occurred this further increase risk. If these factors are accompanied by the
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additional emergence of prodromal symptoms and syndromes, one might then consider the child a very high risk.

**Encouraging longitudinal assessment in those at high risk**

Merikangas et al. [15] found a 2.2% incidence of bipolar spectrum disorders in adolescents age 13-15, but tragically only about 20% were in any kind of treatment. If parents are aware of the familial risks for their child, they may be in a better position to urge evaluation and treatment if needed. The majority of children with psychiatric illnesses in the US are seen by primary care physicians [16] who may not be well versed in assessment and treatment.

Parents can assist physicians and clinicians in the evaluation of their child (aged 2 to 12) by providing a detailed longitudinal assessment of symptoms. This can be done, by rating on a weekly basis the severity of symptoms of depression, anxiety, ADHD, oppositional behavior and mania on a secure website available at www.bipolarnews (click on Child Network). These ratings can then be printed out and taken to a clinician for more accurate assessment of symptom course, complexity and severity, and need for intervention or referral [17]. The ratings have the advantage of touching on the most common symptom areas that are present in most of the psychiatric difficulties of childhood.

Pediatricians and primary care physicians have been urged to be the guardians of children’s mental as well as physical health [18]. In this regard, they can suggest that parents help in the assessment of a child’s psychiatric illness by joining the Child Network. Conversely, psychiatrists who treat adult patients with mood disorder can inquire about the health of their patients’ children and, again, encourage their participation in the Child Network.

**Potential interventions and prophylaxis**

There are many safe interventions that might be considered for those at high and very high risk [8]. These could include those amenable for primary prevention, i.e., before symptoms develop. Such efforts could include the institution of: a good diet (rich in omega 3 fatty acids); regular sleep and exercise regimens; and mindfulness or meditation training. Hudziak and Albaugh [19] suggest that these be made available to all children universally, and other elements can be added such as playing a musical instrument and joining a sports team (independent of a child’s physical abilities). If the child is at very high risk, increased intensity of these and other efforts can then be added.

If prodromal symptoms develop, a wealth of data support the utility of family focused therapy (FFT) or a similar therapy that deals with psychoeducation, enhancing family communication, and developing problem solving skills [20]. In children with a positive family history of bipolar disorder who had depression, cyclothymia, or BP NOS, Miklowitz et al. [21] showed superior outcomes on depression and functioning with FFT compared to control treatment as usual. This would represent secondary prevention or the attempt to deter development of more severe illness.

If full-blown illnesses develop, the Child Network ratings would be particularly useful, since pharmacological and psychotherapeutic treatments are also listed on the printouts, such that treatment effectiveness or need for treatment revision can easily be visualized. Such a graphic of the weekly ratings and treatments is illustrated in Post et al [22] and is accompanied by an in depth discussion of what treatments might lead to more effective symptom resolution than those already utilized in a difficult to treat child.
Clinical and public health implications

This longitudinal assessment of symptoms and evaluation of treatment effectiveness for a given child is crucial developing an effective regimen, especially since there are virtually no FDA-approved treatments for children under 10 years of age (with the exception of the psychomotor stimulants and related treatments for ADHD). Thus, an individual child’s response or non-response trumps any guidelines available from the sparse treatment literature on bipolar I disorder or the guidelines for symptomatic children that are typically based on expert opinion and not systematic clinical trials [23].

This neglect of clinical treatment studies is an additional reason for treatment delay and inadequate treatment in the community [24], and it is important for physicians, parents, and advocacy group members to encourage the NIMH toward a new round of studies on the most effective and best tolerated treatments in young children [25]. A new clinical, research, and public health agenda is needed to begin to address the multigenerational increased risk of psychiatric illness over 4 generations of those emanating from the US compared to Europe [5]. Multiple safe medications could be studied for attempts of secondary and tertiary prophylaxis, including omega-3-fatty acids, N-acetylcysteine, minocycline and other anti-inflammatory, Vitamin D3, folate, and combinations of vitamins and minerals such as those in EM Power.

When children develop a full-blown bipolar disorder, the wide range of medications including lithium, anticonvulsant mood stabilizers, and the atypical antipsychotics that have shown efficacy in adults [4,26] need to be better evaluated for effectiveness and tolerability in these youngsters [27]. This would represent tertiary prophylaxis or prevention of episode recurrence. When youngsters after a first hospitalization for mania are randomized to 2 years of comprehensive expert treatment compared to treatment as usual, there is a marked reduction in the rate of re-hospitalization over these 2 years and difference further grows in magnitude over the next 6 years [28]. This clearly indicates that early, comprehensive treatment can change the long-term course of bipolar disorder. Kozicky et al. [29] and Yatham [30] indicate that after a first episode of mania, brain abnormalities and cognitive deficits recover only if there are no further episodes of illness experienced in the first year. Berk et al. [31] randomized patients with a first mania to 1 year of either lithium or quetiapine and found that lithium was superior to quetiapine on virtually all measures. Taken together, these data emphasize the crucial importance of beginning careful, consistent, and comprehensive pharmacoprophylaxis after a first mania.

A clinical trials network in practice settings would do much to help facilitate the more rapid accumulation of acute treatment data in easy-to-perform practical clinical trials, as well as those from all three types of prophylaxis studies [25]. Without the rapid generation of a new round of data on optimal treatments for children, many more generations [4] will continue to suffer from delayed or inadequate treatment along with illness progression from sensitization to the recurrence of stressors, episodes of illness, and bouts of substance abuse [32] and the associated long term adverse consequences to psychiatric and physical health.
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