Role of p63 isoform balance in recurrent nasal polyps
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Abstract

Objective: Nasal polyps are, benign growth outgrowths of sinonasal tissue, affecting approximately 1-4% of the population. They have been correlated to the presence of chronic inflammation, but the molecular underlying mechanisms has not been completely defined. Defective epithelial barrier has been correlated with chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) and nasal polyps (NPs). Moreover, a variation of ΔNp63 isoform expression has been noted in human airway epithelial cells and in the NPs epithelium. The benign lesion in some patients can have a recurrence, and the difference between recurrent and not recurrent patients is still unclear.

The aim of the study was to molecularly characterise NPs patients, distinguishing between patients presenting NP recurrence after surgical treatment respect to those in which recurrence has not been reported.

Methods: In the present work we analysed a cohort of patients affected by nasal polyposis, all submitted to Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (FESS). The patients have been six-monthly clinical, checked in the two years following surgery. In all patients we analysed keratin 5, and p63 isoform expression, by RTqPCR using fresh NPs tissues taken after surgery. Moreover, confocal immunofluorescence analysis again for p63 and Keratin 5 has been performed on fixed sections.

Results and conclusion: The results show high ΔNp63 expression and keratin 5, in nasal polyps of patients. The analysis of the expression level of the TAp63 isoform, shows a differential expression between patients with recurrence, respect to the not recurring. A more comprehensive marker could be considered the ΔN/TAp63 ratio. In fact, even though ΔNp63 is expressed in non-recurrent patients the ratio ΔN/TAp63 result significantly lower in these patients. This clearly indicates that the status of TAp63 expression, and represented by ΔN/TAp63 ratio, could be considered a prognostic markers of low recurrence probability. Since TAp63 is also upregulated by HDAC inhibitors could be the consideration of local treatment of nasal polyps with these molecules.

Background

Chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) is a widespread clinical condition that affects approximately 5-15% of the European and American population. A phenotype classification, based on endoscopic examinations of the nasal cavity or imaging procedures, differentiates CRS into chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP) and chronic rhinosinusitis without nasal polyps (CRSsNP) [1].

Nasal polyps are inflammatory outgrowths of sinonasal tissue, which affects approximately 1-4% of the general population, more likely male patients and the typical age of diagnosis goes from 40 to 60 years. Usually nasal polyps present as bilateral inflammatory lesions originating in the ethmoid sinuses and projecting into the nasal airway beneath the middle turbinate [2].

In addiction of obstruction/congestion or nasal discharge (anterior/posterior rhinorrhea), reduction or loss of smell and facial pain/pressure, CRSwNP patients often comorbid other important medical conditions that can influence disease severity, such as atopy, asthma, Aspirin Exacerbated Respiratory Disease (AERD), Wegener’s granulomatosis and pathologies characterized by excessive inspissated mucus (Cystic Fibrosis) and mucociliar-transport alterations (e.s. Kartagener syndrome). The percent of allergic rhinitis patients with nasal polyps is similar to that of the general population (0.5-4.5%) [3]. On the other hand, 51-86% of CRSwNP patients are sensitized to at least one allergen.

Sharing similar features of inflammation and remodelling, asthma and CRSwNP frequently coexist: CRSwNP is estimated to occur in 7% of all asthmatics while asthma is reported in 26-48% of patients with CRSwNP [4]. AERD (originally Samter’s triad: nasal polyps, asthma and aspirin sensitivity) is defined as a clinical condition characterized by development of upper and/or lower respiratory tract symptoms following the ingestion of medications that inhibit the cycloxygenase-1 (COX-1) enzyme. Among 10-30% of patients with CRSwNP present AERD; in those cases, nasal polyps are multiple, characterized by rapid growth and in the absence of medical management – universal recurrence after surgery [5].

To date, the underlying mechanisms that contribute to the chronic inflammation of the sinonasal mucosa have not completely defined, but the interactions between epithelial cells, the host immune system and pathogens certainly play an important role in CRSwNP pathogenesis [1].
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A recent classification of chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis has been described by Dennis et al. [6] (Table 1). Basing on endotype approach, there are four subtypes: type-2 cytokine characterized by a high presence of eosinophils, mast cells, basophils, and T-helper 2 (Th2) cells with a high level of IL-5, typically in nasal polyposis [7], eosinophil-based approach with a predominant presence of eosinophils; IgE-based approach with elevated levels of IgE (except AERD) and cysteiny1-based approach characterized by a high level of cysteinyl leukotriene (CysLT), especially in AERD patients [6]. Because CysLT is metabolized and excreted through the urine, the 24-hour urinary measurement of leukotriene C4 synthase (LTC4) has been suggested to identify CRSwNP patients who have the AERD variant [8].

To date, no large-scale studies have been performed to identify genetic linkages and polymorphisms related to CRS pathogenesis [9], but data on the expression levels (mRNA signature) of specific genes are now available. The airway epithelium of the human nasal mucosa represents a barrier that protects against inhaled substances and pathogens via tight junctions, defective epithelial barrier with decreased expression of TJ proteins is found in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) and nasal polyps (NPs) [10,11]. Tumor protein p63 (TRP63) is one of the regulators of various cell–matrix and cell–cell adhesion complexes in the epidermis [12]. Loss of ΔNp63 isoform significantly reduces epithelial proliferation and increases E-cadherin expression in human airway epithelial cells [13]. The human p63 genes express at least three alternatively spliced C-terminal isoforms (a, b, g), and it is transcribed from two different promoters [14], giving rise to two proteins that either contain (TAp63) or do not contain (ΔNp63) the N-terminal TA domain, [14,15]. The ΔNp63 isoform can exert dominant-negative effects over p53, p73 by either competing for DNA binding sites or by direct protein interaction. ΔNp63 isoforms were also shown to directly activate specific gene targets not induced by TA isoforms [16,17]. ΔNp63 is the predominant isoform crucial for the maintenance of epithelial cells regenerative potential [18-22].

An increase in p63-positive cells is observed in the epithelium of NPs and the expression of p63 in multiple cell layers is an important phenotypic phenomenon in the epithelial remodelling seen in NPs [23,24]. Inhibitors of NF-κB, HDACs and p38 MPAK, and RSV pathologic phenomenon in the epithelial remodelling seen in NPs and the expression of p63 in multiple cell layers is an important regulator of various cell–matrix and cell–cell adhesion complexes [25-27]. The expression of p63 in neoplastic tissue, compared with normal tissue, suggesting role of OTX's gene in the cancerogenesis OTX1 has been demonstrated to be involved in breast cancer physiology, being able to interact with wild type p53, suggesting that p53 and OTX1 overexpression represent an attempt to force the neoplastic cells to differentiate [28]. Recent reports show that ΔNp63a expression directly correlates with a poor clinical response to cisplatin in patients with head and neck tumors [33]. ΔNp63 has been found to exert critical functions in the development and function of the heart [34] and oocytes [35,36], in the differentiation of cochlear neuroepithelium via the regulation of Notch pathway [37]. ΔNp63 proteins are involved in the early stages of skin development and being rapidly degraded when keratinocytes are induced to differentiate [38]. The hypothesis is that TAp63 and ΔNp63 isoforms work in competition, and the Notch signalling pathway is very important in epidermal stratification and in keratinocyte differentiation [39].

In a recent study it has been demonstrated that one of the transcriptional activators of TAp63 is OTX2. OTX2 can transactivate TAp63, via a responsive element located into the intron 1 of the gene, whereas there is not a transcriptional regulation of ΔNp63. These results can identify a regulatory cascade going from OTX2 to TAp63, arriving to the Notch pathway [40].

OTX proteins, are important class of Homeodomain-containing transcription factors which play a major role in embryonic morphogenesis. Analysing the role of OTX's gene in the cancerogenesis OTX1 has been demonstrated to be involved in breast cancer physiology, being able to interact with wild type p53, suggesting that p53 and OTX1 overexpression represent an attempt to force the neoplastic cells to differentiate [41]. Importantly, it has been demonstrated the presence of OTX1 and OTX2 proteins in normal sinonasal mucosa and in different epithelial and neuroectodermal nasal neoplasms of adult subjects [42]. There is a significant modulation in the expression of OTX1 and/or OTX2 in neoplastic tissue, compared with normal tissue, suggesting

### Table 1. Chronic Rhinosinusitis with Nasal Polyposis (CRSwNP) endotypes classification by Dennis et al. [6].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endotypes approach</th>
<th>CRSwNP subtypes</th>
<th>Cellular markers</th>
<th>Molecular markers</th>
<th>Targeted treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 cytokine-based</td>
<td>CRSwNP associated with asthma and atopy</td>
<td>Eosinophils Mast-cells Basophils T-helper 2</td>
<td>IL-5 IL-4 IL-13</td>
<td>Anti-IL5 (Reslizumab, mepolizumab) Anti-IL4/13 (Dupilumab)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophil-based</td>
<td>CRSwNP in AFRS (Allergic Fungal RhinoSinusitis) AERD (Aspirin-Exacerbated Respiratory Disease) EMCRS (not-otherwise-categorized eosinophilic rhinosinusitis)</td>
<td>Eosinophils AFRS: Fungi, IL-4 AERD: IL-4 EMCRS: IL-5, IL-13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Anti-IL5 Ligands for Siglec-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunoglobulin IgE-based</td>
<td>All CRSwNP except AERD</td>
<td>Lymphocytes B IgE</td>
<td>Anti-IgE (Omalizumab) Anti-GATA 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cysteiny1-based</td>
<td>AERD (polyps+asthma+intolerance to aspirin and other inhibiting COX1)</td>
<td>Eosinophils Mast-cells</td>
<td>CysLT</td>
<td>Leukotriene receptor-antagonists (montelukast) 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor (zileuton) Platelet-targeted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*OTX: Otx2 homeodomain protein; IL: Interleukin; AFRS: Fungi, IL-4; AERD: Aspirin-Exacerbated Respiratory Disease; EMCRS: Not-otherwise-categorized eosinophilic rhinosinusitis*
that the activation/inactivation of OTX factors is a significant event in response to sinonasal neoplasms development [42].

Nasal polyps’ recurrence after surgery is well known and documented. However, prevention and prediction recurrence is still a subject of research and debate; in fact, a potential relationship between clinical, radiological, immunologic, molecular factors and polyp’s recurrence remain undetermined [43-45]. Patients presenting with extensive disease, suggested by CT scan staging, seem to have a higher risk for the development of recurrences after endonasal surgery for nasal polyps [46]. Various studies show that patients with both CRSwNP and AERD are at risk for the development of recurrences after endonasal surgery for nasal polyps polyposis [46,47].

The aim of this work has been to understand the underlying mechanism or markers useful to characterise patients with different clinical outcomes. We evaluated the expression of the two isoforms of the transcription factor p63 in cases of recurrence and non-recurrence.

Methods

All patients have been treated according to European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis and Nasal Polyps [48], all patients were preliminary studied with clinical and radiological investigation before surgery, including nasal endoscopy and axial and coronal computed tomography (CT) scanning. All patients underwent in surgical treatment by FESS under general anaesthesia after obtaining informed consent. Standard surgical steps were applied in each case according to the extent of disease.

Immunofluorescence analysis

For immunofluorescence analysis, we used a previous described protocol [40,41,49,50]. The following primary antibodies: mouse polyclonal anti-p63 (Abcam Ab735,) and rabbit polyclonal anti-K5 (Covance PRB-160P). The following secondary antibodies: Alexafluor®568 goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA,). Alexafluor®488 goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and (Covance PRB-160P). The following primary antibodies: mouse polyclonal anti-p63 (Abcam Ab735,) and rabbit polyclonal anti-K5 (Covance PRB-160P). Alexafluor®568 goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA,). DAPI for nuclei visualization. Primary and secondary antibodies were prepared in blocking buffer. Sections were covered by Prolong Antifade reagent (Invitrogen, USA) and observed using A1 Nikon confocal laser microscope system, and software NIS Element AR4.00.04 (Nikon).

QPCR

Analysis of Tap63, DeltaNp63, keratin 5 and OTX2 expression levels was performed through Real-Time PCR, quantitative technique that allows a selective amplification and the visualization of PCR products in real time. We use GoTaq qPCR Master Mix (Promega) and specific primers to amplify each gene: TAp63 forward 5’-GGACTGTATCCG-CATGCAAG-3’, reverse 5’-GAGCTGGGCGTGCTGATGTA-3’, ∆Np63 forward 5’-GAAGAAAGGACACGACGATGAT-3’, reverse 5’-GG- GACGTGGGACGCCAGGAGG-3’, Keratin 5 forward 5’-TGGAACGAGGATCCAGCATCA-3’, reverse 5’-CATTGTCACATCTGGGACTCT-3’ and OTX2 forward 5’-TCGAAGAGCTGTAATACGAAGCC-3’, reverse 5’-GGCAATGGTGGGACTGAGG-3’. Analysis was performed using Sybr-Green reagent, molecule binding the minor groove of DNA, results were normalized to actin endogenous control (forward 5’-GCT- GGCACCCACACCTTCCTACAATG-3’, reverse 5’-GTTGCTATCGAGTCGCTGCA-3’). The amplification was performed by 7500 Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) and data analysed with 7500 Software v2.3.

Results

Patients

Our study project analysed a group of 28 patients affected by chronic rhinosinusitis (Figure 1) and referred to our Otolaryngology Unit from 2014 to 2016. All these patients underwent in surgical treatment by FESS. The clinical diagnosis was confirmed by the definitive histopathological examination and in 10 cases it was nasal polypoid rhinosinusitis, while in 5 cases it was an antrochoanal polypy and in the remaining 13 a simple hyperplastic rhinosinusitis. Therefore, this group of 13 patients with polyposis was subsequently subjected to six-monthly clinical checks in the two years following surgery. From the follow up it emerged that 5 cases of nasal polyposis were relapse.

Analysing this group of patients affected by nasal polypoid rhinosinusitis, further distinguishing features emerged between the two groups of recurrent and non-recurrent cases. In particular, the group of patients suffering from recurrent polyposis had a mean age of 63 years, they were non-smokers, not allergic. The group of non-recurrent patients was characterized by an average age of 67 years, non-allergic. In both groups the patients were not affected by relevant comorbidities.

Based on the knowledge of the transcription factor p63 and its role in the development of epithelial cell, we have expanded the study analysing the OTX2 factor too, which, although currently demonstrated in the context of cochlear and mucosal development, has a fundamental role in the regulation of p63 and in particular of the TAp63 isoform [37,40], and recently found to be expressed in nasal polyps [42].

Molecular analysis

To achieve the analysis of the expression of both isoform of p63 in polyps of our patients, we used firstly a molecular approach consisting in extracting RNA from part of fresh polyps’ biopsies, followed by an RTqPCR. Using this method, we amplified selectively TAp3, ∆Np63, Keratin5. The results have been analysed as fold over control respect to the normal mucosa.

Figure 1. Schematic layout of the study.
Figure 2. Expression analysis. A, RTqPCR analysis showing the expression level of ∆Np63 evaluated as fold over control respect to the normal sinonasal epithelium. B, Box-plot representation of the data. Data have been clustered into two class, relapsing and not relapsing. The differences between the two class are significantly different (*, T-test, P= 0.033). B, ∆Np63/TAp63 ratio using RTqPCR expression data. D, Box plot showing that the ratio between the two p63 isoform is different in patients presenting recidivation respect to those that not (*, T-test, P= 0.013). E, F, the same analysis for Keratin 5 expression, showing a behaviour similar to ∆Np63 (*, T-test, P= 0.032). G, Expression level, in fold over control, of OTX2 and p63, showing a similar tendency in patients.
In our study sample, the patients with nasal polyposis recurrence are characterized by higher expression levels of ΔNp63, respect to those that not relapsed (Figure 2A). Furthermore, in these cases high level of expression of the epithelial basal cytokeratin K5 has been detected. The expression levels of the latter are concordant with the ΔNp63 expression observed (Figure 2B). On the contrary in the group without recurrence of polyposis history the levels of ΔNp63 remain lower, similar to that observed in the turbinate epithelium used as normal control (Figure 2A). The indicator of basal epithelial cytokeratin K5 remain also lower expressed (Figure 2B). Since the ΔNp63 action seem to be counteracted by the TA isoform, we analysed its expression also by RTqPCR. The results showed a variable TAp63 expression in both group but analysing the ΔN/TA ratio, again the patient is distinguished into two class of relapsing and not-relapsing (Figure 2C). Indeed, this ratio is higher in the groups of relapsing patients, confirming that the balance between these two transcriptions factor characterize the character of polyps. The box plot organization of the data well clarify the differences between the two class of patients (Figure 2B,D,F). Moreover, according to recent data published respect the possible driving of TAp63 expression by OTX2, we also tested the expression of the latter. Again, data interpretation, due to the expression variability and for the small number of patients analysed are difficult to be graphically represented but looking to the raw data (Figure 2F), the indication is that OTX2 is concordant with TAp63 expression. These results confirm the previous proposed pathway [40], in which the expression of OTX2 can transactivate TAp63, probably to counteract the ΔN isoform.

**Histological analysis**

To analyse the expression of p63 in specimen from patients with diagnosis of polyposis, we performed on some surgical samples an immune fluorescence confocal analysis, using antibodies against p63 and keratin 5. In specimens from patients with relapse events, the primary polyp shows a strong ΔNp63 staining, the number of positive cells are disposed in multiple layers (Figure 3 panels A,B, asterisks in D, E). The analysis of polyps from patients without relapse shows a characteristic one-layer disposition of p63 (Figure 3 panels C, F), indicating the presence of active regenerating cells only in the basal compartment. This situation is confirmed by the staining with K5 antibody, showing the presence of this keratin again in multiple cell layers (Figure 2 panels G, H and M, L), where in the patients with no relapse the distribution is more concentrated in the basal layer (Figure 2 panels I, N), as in the normal epithelia compartment. The distribution of K5 clearly resemble that of p63 according the well-known mechanism of driving the expression of K5 by p63ΔN isoform.

**Discussion**

As it is known, most tumours of epithelial derivation are characterised by high ΔNp63 expression indicating a potential p63 pro-proliferative or oncogenic role [27,28]. In squamous cell carcinoma and ΔNp63 was the predominant isoform expressed at the protein level [51]. Recent data also indicate ΔNp63 as capable of sustaining the production of the hyaluronic acid (HA) the major component of the extracellular matrix (ECM) in basal-like breast carcinoma (TNBC) favouring a HA-rich microenvironment, which can sustain epithelial cell proliferation [52]. An increase in p63-positive cells has been previously reported also in the epithelium of rhinosinusitis patients [23,24]. The expression of p63 in multiple cell layers, has been found in our recurring NPs patients, representing an important possible pathologic signature.

In fact, in our experiments, patients with recurrence show high ΔN expression and high ΔN/TAp63 ratio. This clearly indicates that the TAp63 expression level, is important in modify the absolute ΔNp63 expression. The ratio between the two transcription factors can be considered a possible prognostic markers of high recurrence probability, even if a more extensive study should be performed to define its critical level. Indeed, the status of TAp63 expression is important since it influence directly the balance and can well reflect the status of polyp’s proliferation in patients. It is conceivable, that OTX2 expression in nasal polyps [42], driving specifically TAp63 expression [40] may represent a response mechanism to the cell proliferation.

The hypothesis underlying these findings is that the role of OTX2 is to transactivate TAp63 and therefore to promote ΔNp63 inhibition and cell differentiation, thus reducing their proliferation potential. This should bring to a kind of recovery of the disease. In patients in which this pathway is low or not active, the ratio between the two isoform of p63 (ΔN/TA p63) is too high, this control is missing and the patients gone into recurrence.

Regarding to the treatment of NPs, according to European and US guidelines, intranasal corticosteroids are recommended as initial...
medical treatment [53]. These topical drugs can improve patient’s quality of life, by reducing sinonasal symptoms and decreasing polyps’ size, and can be managed better than oral corticosteroids [54,55], but are not resolutive.

Sinus surgery approach (usually Functional Endoscopic Sinus Surgery, FEESS) should be considered for patients with severe sinonasal disease and those who failed medical treatments. However, as shown, nasal polyps can recur after surgery, especially in patients having both CRSwNP and asthma [45,56]. In recent years, several biologics (such as Omalizumab, Mepolizumab and Dupilumab) underwent clinical trials evaluating their safety and efficacy in CRSwNP. Although recent studies are promising, currently Omalizumab, Mepolizumab and Dupilumab are not yet approved for the treatment of nasal polyps [57-59].

The possible role of the OTX2/TAp63 axis in the differentiation between relapsing or not of NPs in patients opens the possibility to the use of new drugs. HDAC inhibitors, largely used in carcinoma therapy are able to induce TAp63 expression, [37,60], their therapeutic importance is due to the inhibitory effect of this isoform on the ∆Np63. Furthermore, as reported, the inhibition of HDAC1 and HDAC6 induces also the expression of TJ proteins [26], ameliorating the inflammation end restoring the normal basal lamina barrier.

This paper is a first step of analysis, and more work should be done to characterise the pathway. This is important because if confirmed, the treatment with HDAC inhibitors such as hydroxamic acids, and benzamides could become one possibility in the topic treatment of nasal polyps to ameliorate the disease and counteract the recurrence.
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