Prevalence of deep caries in preschoolers who underwent either scheduled or emergency dental general anesthesia. Does toothache make a difference? A retrospective analysis
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Abstract

Background: In children who are unamenable to dental treatment under local anesthesia, dental general anesthesia (DGA) presents a feasible treatment option. In the presence of odontogenic pain/abscess formation, emergency DGA provides immediate treatment (extraction of the causative tooth/teeth). Scheduled DGA in non-pain patients, in contrast, includes the conservation/restoration of teeth displaying early stages of caries. The aim of this retrospective study was the comparative assessment of prevalence of deep caries in preschool children who underwent either scheduled or acute DGA.

Methods: The study sample was composed of 906 children under six years who underwent acute DGA for odontogenic pain and 754 non-pain children under six years diagnosed with carious lesions who underwent scheduled DGA, from January 2008 to June 2014. In both groups, all deeply carious primary teeth were extracted under DGA. Multivariate linear regression analysis was used to assess the effects of age, gender, language affiliation (German-speaking (G) or non-German-speaking (NG)), and odontogenic pain upon the number of primary teeth extracted under DGA.

Results: The difference in extracted primary teeth between the non-pain and the pain group was statistically highly significant (4.50 ± 3.19 versus 6.50 ± 4.20). Number of tooth extractions was predicted to be almost twice higher in toothache patients than in non-pain patients and 1.21 times higher in NG children than in G children.

Conclusions: Both groups of the study sample presented multiple deep caries. The presence of toothache was associated with higher numbers of tooth extractions. In order to impede childhood caries and its sequels, oral health literacy needs to be increased in (marginalized) population groups at high caries risk. In case of infeasibility of routine dental treatment, children displaying carious lesions should be referred to DGA early in order to prevent the progress of caries and the occurrence of odontogenic pain.

Introduction

Dental caries and its sequels may severely impact children’s (oral) health [1–6]. In the light of the caries decline in industrialized Western countries, it might reasonably be expected that the parents’ wish for maintenance of their children’s oral health should present the incentive to consult a dentist rather than the presence of caries or odontogenic pain [7–11].

The Federal State of Tirol, Austria, has been presenting a high level of education and commitment in general and oral health issues [12,13]. However, a polarization of caries in children of high-risk groups (frequently coming from families presenting a low educational level or a migratory background) has occurred [14]. A lack of oral health literacy and language barriers may be causative. Caries and/or pain constituted the reasons for seeking dental attendance in the preschoolers admitted to dental general anesthesia (DGA) who were investigated in this study [15,16]. DGA presents an accepted treatment method in individuals who are (due to infantile incomprehension, dental anxiety, intellectual and/or physical disablement, or systemic diseases) unamenable to routine dental treatment, even though it requires high expenditure and implicates the risk of anesthetic complications [17–19]. DGA has been shown to enhance children’s oral health-related quality of life and dental behavior [20–24]. In acute settings, DGA provides a rapid onset of action and an optimal titration of anesthetics [25]. However, emergency DGA presents an organizational challenge with respect to the 24/7 provision of pre-, peri- and postoperative care requiring the respective medical and nursing staff. For the children’s benefit, scheduled DGA in non-pain patients displaying carious lesions seems preferable to a stressful emergency procedure, also with respect to the possible conservation/restoration of carious teeth instead of tooth extractions. The question of interest in this study was, if the presence of toothache is associated with higher numbers of deeply carious primary teeth. The null hypothesis was that the prevalence of deep caries is equal
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in non-pain preschool children treated under scheduled DGA and in preschool children who had unscheduled DGA for odontogenic pain.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

From January 1st 2008 to June 30th 2014, 906 consecutive patients younger than six years presenting with odontogenic pain and/or swelling received unscheduled emergency DGA at the University Hospital of Cranio-Maxillofacial and Oral Surgery of Innsbruck. In the same period, 754 consecutive cases of non-pain children younger than six years diagnosed with carious lesions received scheduled DGA at University Hospital of Dental Prosthetics and Restorative Dentistry of Innsbruck. All children of this convenience sample (n=1660) investigated in this retrospective study were unamenable to treatment under local anesthesia and were thus treated under DGA. At registration, non-pain children (not suffering acute or enduring pain, diagnosed with carious lesions and referred by dentists in private practices) were placed on a waiting list for scheduled DGA. Children of the pain group (presenting on their own accord or upon a dentist’s referral), in contrast, were in need for immediate treatment. In children suffering pain and frequently presenting abscess formation, DGA was performed either at the day of admission, or (along with antiphlogistic and/or antibiotic treatment) at the following day (in order to ensure an empty stomach or for reasons of capacity). In both groups of children, after a preoperative clinical and radiological assessment (as far as possible), all deeply carious primary teeth presenting vital or avital pulp exposition (on excavation) were extracted under DGA. In the course of emergency DGA, teeth presenting early stages of decay and requiring restorative therapy remained untreated. For those teeth, further (restorative) treatment in a scheduled setting had to be arranged. During scheduled DGA, in contrast, restorative treatment of carious teeth was accomplished, while deeply carious teeth were extracted. According to internal directives, neither pulpotomy nor endodontic treatment were performed under DGA, in order to keep duration of general anesthesia short and to ensure postoperative absence of pain. Dental treatment under DGA was carried out by changing consultants on duty.

**Study design and data acquisition**

The study was set up as a retrospective analysis of anonymized data extracted from digital patient files. Ethical approval by the ethics committee of the Medical University of Innsbruck was obtained in advance (study IDs AN2014-0269 341/4.8 (3621a) and AN2015-0063 347/4.9).

The following anonymized data were extracted from case files, coded by numbers, and listed in a table (Microsoft Excel, MS office 2016, Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA):

- a) Date of birth
- b) Date of DGA
- c) Date of admission in non-pain children
- d) Gender: male/female
- e) Language affiliation: German-speaking (G)/non-German-speaking (NG)
- f) Preoperative orthopantomogram (OPG) available: yes/no
- g) Number of primary teeth extracted under DGA

Date of DGA and date of admission were used to calculate the waiting time in non-pain children assigned to scheduled DGA. “NG” was assigned to children whose both parents’ or single parent’s first language was declaredly not German. The language question was answered by the parents at registration. (A similar cultural background and conception of oral health compared to Tirolean standards was presumed in G immigrant children from the neighboring countries Germany, Switzerland and South Tirol, Italy).

**Data analysis**

Basic descriptive analysis of patients’ data was performed. Numerical data were generally reported as mean ± standard deviation and categorical data were summarized as absolute and relative frequencies. For the analysis of associations between categorical data, Chi-square test was used. For the comparative analysis of not normally distributed data in subgroups, Mann-Whitney U test was used. Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the normality of data distribution. Multivariate linear regression analysis was used to assess the effects of age, gender, language affiliation, and the presence of pain upon the number of primary teeth extracted under DGA. Significance level was set at p = 0.05. All computations were performed in SPSS software (SPSS Statistics Version 21, IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

**Subjects**

In the non-pain group (n = 906) mean age at the date of admission to the waiting list was 3.98 ± 1.01 years. The mean waiting time from the date of registration to the date of DGA was 4.50 ± 3.19 months. Mean age at the date of DGA was 4.28 ± 1.01 years. In the pain group (n = 754) mean age at the date of DGA was 4 ± 1.56 years. The difference in age at the date of admission (3.98 ± 1.01 versus 4 ± 1.56) between the two groups was not statistically significant (p = 0.562; Mann-Whitney U test).

The distribution of gender, language affiliation, and the availability of a preoperative OPG in non-pain and toothache children is depicted in Table 1. The difference in language affiliation was statistically significant (p = 0.02; Chi-Square test) and the availability of a preoperative OPG was statistically highly significant (p < 0.001; Chi-Square test).

**Extracted primary teeth**

The mean number of extracted primary teeth was 4.50 ± 3.19 per non-pain patient and 6.50 ± 4.20 per patient receiving acute DGA for odontogenic pain. The difference in extracted primary teeth was statistically highly significant (p < 0.001; Mann-Whitney U test).

**Multivariate regression analysis**

In the multivariate regression model designed to assess the effects of age, gender, language affiliation, and the presence of pain upon the number of primary teeth extracted under DGA, regression coefficients for the presence of pain and for language affiliation were statistically significant (Table 2). Number of extractions of deeply carious primary teeth was predicted to be almost twice higher in toothache patients as compared to non-pain patients (odds: 1.95, 95% confidence interval (CI):1.60 to 2.31) and 1.21 times higher in NG children as compared to G children (odds: 1.21, 95% CI: 0.86 to 1.57).

**Discussion**

The null hypothesis of an equal prevalence of deep caries in preschoolers presenting for DGA without and with toothache was
Parents’ failure in providing oral care to their children, in seeking, or in complying with dental treatment has been associated with child neglect and abuse [31-33]. However, in most cases, a lack of parents’ oral health literacy seems to be the cause of childhood caries. Health care programs should therefore focus on the adjustment of oral health levels in high risk groups (including ethnic minorities) in order to avoid carious decay and, most importantly, odontogenic pain. Preventive approaches should thereby address parents-to-be and parents of infants and include education in nutrition, lifestyle and domestic oral hygiene [9,27,34]. Maternal oral health is associated with dental health of their children [35]. A routine dental examination of expectant mothers in the course of antenatal care, where necessary followed by a dental consultation, might thereby serve as a first step towards a harmonization of oral health levels in different population groups. Moreover, parents should be enjoined to adhere to regular dental attendance for their children, beginning with the eruption of the first teeth.

Limitations of this study are owed to its retrospective character. Information on the parents’ socioeconomic situation, educational level, or the country of provenance was not available. The reasons for non-amenability to treatment under local anesthesia (infantile incomprehension/anxiety, disability, or systemic disease) had not consistently been documented.

In summary, G and NG children treated under scheduled or unscheduled DGA presented multiple deep caries. The presence of toothache was associated with higher numbers of extracted primary teeth. As a consequence, in population groups at high caries risk, the parents’ awareness of the responsibility for their children’s health and well-being should be raised in consideration of the sequels of (untreated) caries. Pediatricians are strongly encouraged to refer children to regular dental consultations. Dental attendance should start as soon as baby teeth are erupting. In case of unsuccessful treatment attempts of carious lesions under office conditions, children should be referred to a center that offers DGA (or alternative treatment approaches such as behavior management, hypnodontia, or conscious sedation) in order to prevent the progress of caries and, most importantly, the occurrence of odontogenic pain.
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