Surgical alternatives for the control of epileptic seizures
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Abstract

Patients with medically intractable epilepsy are candidates for surgical treatment. The main goals of treatment consist in the ablation of epileptogenic areas, surgical sectioning of the corpus callosum in order to avoid interhemispheric transmission of epileptic electrical activity and stimulation of brain areas capable of inducing inhibition of epileptic activity. Another alternative is the injury of structures such as the dentate nucleus of the cerebellum, which has shown beneficial effects in patients. However, most of these surgical treatments involve healthy brain areas and implicate excessive costs. In this review we discuss previous works that describe surgical techniques and their main post-surgical complications.

Epilepsy, a clinical entity still unsolved

Epilepsy is a disorder affecting more than 1% of the general population [1]. Patients with this neurological condition present different types of injuries associated with epileptic activity, the most common include bruises, cuts, burns and falls. Some patients have more serious injuries such as fractures, concussions, head injuries with intracerebral haemorrhage or breathing problems. These are usually seen in people who have generalized seizures with falls, prolonged seizures, or repeated seizures [2]. In addition, patients may lose days of work due to seizures; others may face the adversity of costs and economic effects of antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) and comorbidity [3]. Epilepsy contributes to 0.5% of disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) due to all diseases and injuries [4].

Despite the introduction of many AEDs over the past three decades, 30–40% of people with epilepsy who have access to such medications still have seizures not completely controlled by these AEDs [4]. Compared to individuals with controlled epilepsy, individuals with drug-resistant epilepsy have a significant impairment in their quality of life. Drug-resistant epilepsy is defined by the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) as the failure of adequate trials of two antiepileptic drug (AED) regimes, which are not significantly tolerated, appropriately chosen and used antiepileptic drug schedules (whether as monotherapies or in combination) to achieve sustained seizure freedom [5].

Patients with epilepsy may suffer increased morbidity and a higher rate of mortality [6], social isolation, dependent behaviour, as well as neuropsychological and neurocognitive issues [7,8]. Unfortunately, a pharmacological treatment to relieve patients is not always the answer.

Thus, it is estimated that one-quarter of people with refractory seizures can be potential candidates for surgical therapy. However, reports indicate that only 60–90% of patients receiving surgical treatments can expect to become free of disabling seizures [9]. Normally the severity and frequency of seizures decrease, but they are not always seizure free. This being said, it is necessary to search for new alternatives for an effective treatment. The ideal treatment would be one that reduces the risk of memory, language and visual impairments, among other complications, that arise after conventional surgical procedures.

Considerable progress has been made in understanding the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying epileptic seizures in those patients who are resistant to AEDs and whose seizures have not yet been controlled. For these, the offered alternative is to undergo a surgical procedure; these surgical treatment modalities have varying degrees of clinical and experimental support. Even though removing a portion of the brain almost always carries other consequences, many of the subjects remain fully functional. This measure is highly invasive, although in many cases, long-lasting beneficial results have been achieved in patients.

Epilepsy surgery as an alternative treatment to control epileptic seizures

The first surgical procedures in epileptic patients were performed during the 19th century; when the insight of epilepsy as a cortical disorder of the brain emerged [10]. Today thanks to scientific and technological advances such as magnetic resonance imaging, or metabolic studies like positron emission tomography, single photon emission computed tomography, electroencephalogram and video-electroencephalogram monitoring, as well as electrocorticography, trans-operative, deep electrode registration and meshes to identify epileptogenic areas, there is now a bigger contribution to the accurate pre-operative evaluation and diagnosis of the epileptic zone to be possibly removed. Surgeries are planned to remove possible epileptogenic zones while preserving functional areas. Surgery outcome depends largely on the ability to locate the seizure focus along with the extension of the structural lesion. Due to a greater resection of the epileptic zone, this procedure offers a greater control of drug-resistant epilepsy than pharmacological treatment [11].

Surgery should not be thought of as a last resort. In order for a patient to be considered a potential candidate for surgery they must present drug-resistant epilepsy for at least 3 years and enough evidence that seizure onset is focal. Candidates should be reduced to those who
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will most likely benefit from surgery enough to make a difference in their quality of life and exclude patients who could result harmed in the short and long term (Table 1). Surgeries are not recommended in patients whose epileptic focus is located on an eloquent zone [12]. Preoperatively, these surgery candidates should undergo the intracarotid amobarbital procedure (also called the Wada test) which is fundamental to define the hemispheric dominance of language and evaluate cognitive functions essential to prevent any postoperative deficit [13]. In recent years, this procedure has been largely replaced by functional MRI given its greater safety profile [75].

Main complications of neurosurgical procedures carried out in aim to control seizures

A prospective series study reported temporal lobe lobectomy as a surgical procedure done to treat drug-resistant epilepsy. Nevertheless, it showed that 2.9% of participants had some major complication (resolution > 3 months) and that a 7.8% had a minor complication (resolution < 3 months) after surgery. Extensive lobe resections increase the risk of complications, especially the appearance of visual disturbances. The most frequent post-surgical complications in adults are presented in order of frequency in table 2, with visual field involvement (quadrantanopia [more frequent] or hemianopia) being the most frequent neurological complication. The age groups that most frequently present major complications are subjects 10 to 20 years of age along with subjects 40 to 50 years of age. Subjects 60 to 70 years of age tend to present minor complications compared to other age groups.

The three main surgeries performed in adults for the treatment of epilepsy that generate the greatest number of complications are temporal lobe resection, frontal lobe resection and parietal lobe resection. Conversely, lesions caused by stereotactic surgeries in specific brain areas present the lowest risk of developing complications. These procedures are less invasive and have a higher safety profile [14,15]. Studies conducted with emphasis on the complications of epilepsy surgery have shown that the short- and long-term results of neurosurgical procedures with large invasion of brain tissue for drug-resistant epilepsy may affect the quality of life of patients regardless of whether seizure control was obtained or not, due to neurological complications that limit functionality. Therefore, neo-aortic procedures that intend to provoke injury or stimulation of nuclei, or signalling pathways of cortical entry or exit, such as the cortico-cerebellar pathways, to be discussed later, can be a safe procedure with favourable results for seizure control with minimal risks of short and long-term complications.

Surgical procedures for the control of epileptic seizures

Lobectomies

The most common surgeries consist in removing a small portion of the brain to resect the epileptic focus. Bailey (1951) was the first to try temporal lobectomy to treat psychomotor seizures and the first to use electrography for intraoperative localization [16]. At the same time, Penfield (1950) initiated temporal lobe resections for the treatment of epilepsy, defining lobectomy as a type of surgery that can be done when a person presents seizures that always begin in the same cerebellar lobe [17]. Temporal lobe epilepsy surgeries are between 70-80% of all surgical interventions performed in patients suffering from refractory epilepsy [18]. The most common procedure is standardized anterior temporal lobectomy (ATL), which involves removing 4–6 cm of the anterior temporal lobe, including the amygdala and hippocampus. Patients with drug-resistant epilepsy who can be treated with lobectomy are only those in whom the area of the epileptogenic focus (a term introduced by Gibbs and Lennox in 1938) [19], is detected in the temporal lobe, 80% of TLE's have onset in the hippocampus [20]. Seizure freedom has been reported in 70-80% of patients who undergo ATL [76], however, not all procedures can be done without damaging important functions; memory and language may be affected if this procedure is performed on the dominant hemisphere. One multi-institutional study reported surgical procedures registered on a 30-day outcome data after temporal lobectomy for medically intractable epilepsy, demonstrating a mortality rate of 1.4%, a major complication rate of 6.5%, and a readmission rate of 11% [21] Table 2.

Amygdalohippocampectomy

Selective amygdalohippocampectomy (SAH) is the most specific indication for mesolimbic temporal epilepsy. Studies report up to 65% of completely seizure-free patients in whom this surgical procedure is done [20]. A recent systematic review and meta-analyses comparing ATL and SAH demonstrated patients were more likely to achieve a better outcome after ATL, with a summary risk difference of 8% [77].

Corpus callosotomy

Corpus callosotomy was introduced as a palliative treatment for severe epilepsy, especially in children suffering from drop attacks, both tonic and atonic, e.g. Lennox–Gastaut syndrome [23,78]. Callosotomy involves cutting the nerve fibres connecting one side of the brain with the other, preventing the extension of abnormal activity to the middle part of the brain; an effort to limit the spread of epileptic activity between both hemispheres. This procedure theoretically reduces the severity and frequency of seizures. Although, long-term results suggest only 35% of callosotomy patients remain seizure-free after 5 years of surgery, the frequency of these seizures remains reduced (<50%) in most patients [24]. This surgery is considered palliative, meaning it does not offer a cure for epilepsy, but a reduction in seizure severity and a significative improvement in patients’ cognitive capacities. [25].

---

Table 1. Criteria for the selection of candidates for epilepsy surgery

| 1. Confirmed diagnosis of epilepsy |
| 2. Patients who meet established criteria for epilepsy refractory to drug treatment |
| 3. Duration of seizures for at least 3 years still under pharmacological treatment |
| 4. Probability of a disabling of the epileptogenic focus through its resection |
| 5. Resectable focus (except in candidates for callosotomy, vagus nerve stimulation and deep brain stimulation) |
| 6. Probability of seizures control with an improvement in the quality of life |

Table 2. Complications that occur in patients more frequently after epilepsy surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgical complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Hematoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Pulmonary thromboembolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Leakage of artificial cerebrospinal fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Hydrocephalus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Cerebral edema</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neurological complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Impacts of the visual field (hemianopia and quadrantanopia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Hemi / monoplegia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sensitive deficit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Alterations of the cranial nerves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Aphasia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hemispherectomy

When seizures are really devastating, neurosurgeons prefer to perform hemispherectomies which will undoubtedly paralyze the patient's hemibody. This type of surgery removes and disconnects one half of the brain. At the beginning of the 20th century, hemispherectomy was introduced as a neurosurgical procedure by Dandy (1928) [26]. However, it was not until the 1930s that major advances were made in epilepsy surgery. After hemispherectomy, most patients will experience some degree of paralysis and a variety of deficits. When the procedure is done, patients may suffer a loss of peripheral vision. In adult subjects, reduced neuroplasticity is no longer significant enough to recover this function. Retrospectively in one study 12 adult patients (within 18-56 years) were analysed, all of them with intractable epilepsy due to a unihemispheric pathology. Patients underwent a functional hemispherectomy, 83% of the patients were seizure-free, and 17% had recurrent seizures at last follow-up. Postoperative functional assessment revealed deterioration of motor function in 58% patients, whereas a 41% remained unchanged; language was unchanged in 66% patients [27].

In many cases, patients with medically intractable epilepsy may not be candidates for epilepsy surgery. For example, when the epileptic focus lies within an eloquent zone or when there are multiple epileptic zones. In these cases, there are other surgical alternatives such as brain stimulation [28].

Minimal invasion epilepsy neurosurgery

Not all surgical procedures offered to patients are done by removing brain tissue [29]. Other surgical procedures are also offered. Among these, the chronic implantation of electrodes for vagus nerve stimulation (VNS). This type of surgical procedure was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1997 for medically intractable epilepsy and in 2005 for drug resistant depression [30]. This therapy helps with focal and multifocal epilepsy. Nevertheless, VNS therapy complications appear early, with some complications related to the procedure like intraoperative bradycardia, asystolia during the lead impedance test, perirachidal hematomas and infections (3-8%). When VNS is done and the vagus nerve is injured, there are alterations such as hoarseness, dyspnea and dysphagia owed to paralysis of the vocal cords and other complications due to the device implanted, including late infections or problems in wound healing. Late complications might include delayed arrhythmias, laryngopharyngeal dysfunction (hoarseness, dyspnea, and coughing), obstructive sleep apnea, stimulation of the phrenic nerve amygdala pain mimicking glossopharyngeal neuralgia [31]. The exact mechanisms on how VNS modulates seizures and mood are still not understood, and there are no indicators as to which patients are most likely to benefit [30]. VNS increases synaptic activity in the thalamus and its cortical projections to decrease the synchronization of synaptic activity in hypothalamic, amygdala, hippocampus and other parts of the limbic system. Another proposed mechanism by which VNS inhibits epileptic seizures is through the decrease in synaptic activity or by an intermittent increase in the release of noradrenaline and serotonin [32,33].

Thalamic nuclei stimulation has also been used for epilepsy control. Prior research conducted by Velasco et al. reported that bilateral electrical stimulation of the centromedian thalamic nucleus, typically used for the treatment of kinetic disorders, reduced the overall number of tonic-clonic seizures and absense seizures by nearly 80% in children with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome [34]. These results may be partly due to desynchronization and hyperpolarization of reticulo-thalamic neurons involved in the initiation and propagation of tonic-clonic seizures [35], its clinical efficiency remained consistent during the observation period (21 months). Alternatively, another report discusses a different approach involving VNS and deep brain stimulation in the anterior thalamic nuclei, observing just a minority of patients become totally seizure-free after both stimulations.

Cerebellum and epilepsy; minimal neurological invasion as an alternative to control of seizures

Furthermore, cerebellum cortex stimulation has been proposed for patients presenting epilepsy. A decrease of the excitatory activity in thalamic and cortical projections is believed to work [36-40], remaining crucial to advance experimental investigations to understand how brain stimulation works and how it can be implemented on patients with drug-resistant epilepsy. The cerebellum's ability to inhibit clinical and experimentally induced seizures has been demonstrated by several authors who have examined the effects of cerebellar electrical stimulation on animal models and carried out in humans since the 1970s [36-45]. Generating lesions in deep cerebellar nuclei [46-48] has been an experimental alternative for the control of generalized seizures induced by kindling. Cerebellum nuclei have also been stimulated to counteract epileptic seizures. Stimulation of the dentate nucleus has been reported to generate improvements in patients with different types of intractable epilepsy [36]. The knowledge we have about the cerebellum's participation in epilepsy is thanks to animal models, in which we have also been able to prove that the stimulation of the cerebellum has beneficial results for epileptic seizures. Seizures induced by electrical stimulation in animal models reproduce the epileptogenic features in the intact brain with low mortality and high reproducibility. Several studies support the effectiveness of this stimulation on difficult epilepsy control. For example, cerebellum cortex stimulation has been reported to counteract epileptic seizures produced by a penicillin cat model [49,50], Hutton (1972); Cooke and Snider (1955) [43,51], have reported that stimulation on the cerebellum counteracts seizures induced by stimulation of the cerebral cortex.

Moreover, as described by Mutani and Fariello in 1969 [51], even when only the anterior lobe of the cerebellum is stimulated, the seizures produced by cobalt can be inhibited. With this model of cobalt in cats, stimulation of the vermis was reported to produce a prolongation of seizures [52]. Conversely, Maiti and Snider [53], found that vermis stimulation suppresses epileptic activity produced by hippocampus stimulation. Hablitz [44], found that cerebellar stimulation has no effect on the model of penicillin-induced seizures. In cerebellum stimulation reports aiming to eliminate the seizure, we invariably found differences in methodology and models, so the results showed can have contradictory conclusions.

Paradoxically, the model of epileptogenesis that has garnered the most knowledge for the study of epilepsy is the model of electric kindling, described by Graham Goddard in 1969 [54]. Kindling means the repeated application of subthreshold electrical stimuli in specific brain areas, such as the cerebral amygdala. This progressive stimulation produces behavioural and electrophysiological changes culminating in a complex partial seizure secondarily generalized. Kindling has served to study secondary generalized seizures which have the highest incidence in the epilepsy suffering population, as well as many of the known mechanisms of epileptic seizures. Thanks to this model it has been possible to prove the cerebellum's participation in the epileptic phenomenon [45,48,55-57].
Likewise, experimentally injuring some cerebellar areas has led to beneficial effects in epileptic seizures, as proven in different animal models by Dow (1962) [41], pioneers on the role of the cerebellum in epilepsy performed studies in rats that showed that the lesion of the cerebellum increases the duration of generalized seizures produced after the application of cobalt in the cerebral cortex.

The cerebellum communicates with the central nervous system through three pairs of peduncles: inferior cerebellar peduncle, medium and superior cerebellar peduncle (SCP), forming the main cerebellar efference through the synaptic action of Purkinje cells [58]. The lesion or the stimulation of the SCP reduces the duration of epileptic activity recorded during the kindling model generalization phase reported by Paz (1991) and Rubio (2004) [45,56]. The SCP is formed by axons coming from the Dentate Nucleus (DN) and Interpositus Nucleus (IN) cells.

Stimulation of the DN has shown inhibition of epileptic seizures caused by a penicillin model [43]. Our investigation group has suggested injuring the cellular DN or IN selectively with kainic acid, considering its ability to injure neuronal bodies without damaging input or output fibers [59,60], in order to decrease the duration of generalized seizures caused by electrical amygdaloid kindling in rats [48]. Histological findings of this study confirm destruction of neuronal bodies of DN and IN without damaging fibers (Figure 1); as it has been described in previous studies where rabbits have been used to determine the participation of these cerebellar nuclei in conditioning [61-63]. In addition, some other studies have described the role played by these cerebellar nuclei in epileptic seizures. A number of authors have suggested that an electrolytic lesion in the DN has a suppressive effect of epileptic activity, while the IN is not involved in this process [46,47]. Unfortunately, the electrolytic lesions are not lesions circumscribing a cell group; instead, they destroy entry and exit fibers.

The axons of DN and IN fibers are mostly glutamatergic and aspartatergic [64]. These establish synaptic contacts mainly with brain structures related to epileptic activity, such as: The Red Nucleus (RN), described as a motor nucleus due to its relation to motor coordination. Previous work by our research group demonstrated a delay in behavioral stage 5 and 6 presentation in cats when the RN coordination. Previous work by our research group demonstrated a delay in behavioral stage 5 and 6 presentation in cats when the RN
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Figure 1. Coronal section of the cerebellum projected onto photographic paper processed using the rapid procedure technique. (a) The interpositus nucleus (I), (b) Dentate nucleus (D). The rectangle shows a microphotographic image of a sagittal section stained with hematoxylin-eosin, indicating the presence of fibers on the lesioned side and cell bodies on the non-lesioned side. Kainic acid (K)
The authors whose names are included certify they do not have any reasons cannot be offered a conventional surgical procedure. Patients who have uncontrollable seizures and because of functional motor skills or social stigma cannot be prevented. Therefore, we propose a selective lesion of the Dentate Nucleus as a possible alternative for generalized seizures induced by kindling stimulation. Thus, we suggest this type of dentate injury as a strategic therapy in medically intractable epilepsy treatment (Figure 2).

**Conclusion**

Patients with drug-resistant epilepsy may benefit from epilepsy surgery. Per contra, sequels such as alterations in cognitive procedures, motor skills or social stigma cannot be prevented. Therefore, we propose a selective lesion of the Dentate Nucleus as a possible alternative for patients who have uncontrollable seizures and because of functional reasons cannot be offered a conventional surgical procedure.
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