Incidental findings in computed tomography scans: Concern for clinicians
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Abstract
The importance of computed tomography scans in patients with suspected trauma was to assess the damage of organs or structures as a result of trauma; however, sometimes findings unrelated to the trauma and not concerned to the immediate patient care are detected. These findings are called as “incidental findings” in trauma patients. Proper clinical examination and focused management follow up of incidental findings can result in appropriate patient care and prognosis.

Introduction
Modern medical science has seen tremendous growth in the use of imaging technology. The objective of imaging application is to use it as a diagnosing tool in helping medical practitioners and play an important role in the management of patients. The quality of medical imaging and access to new devices has increased, assuming that ‘newer is better’ [1]. The number of incidental findings has increased due to growing number of imaging techniques. The pulmonary nodules on chest and ‘incidentalomas’ on abdominal imaging are classical examples for the same [2,3]. The incidental findings help in additional medical care, diagnostic test, procedure and treatment to the patients is known as cascade effect [4]. Clinicians should know how to treat the incidental findings on imaging, in-order to avoid any fatal consequences. The absence of evidence-based control studies has led to unawareness of treatment and prognostic significance and implications for incidental finding. The objective of this review was to determine the incidence, clinical significance and frequency of incidental findings on computed tomography (CT) in trauma patients.

Medical imaging technology has experienced a dramatic change in the last few years. Medical imaging refers to the techniques and processes used to create images of the human body for various clinical purposes such as medical procedures and diagnosis or medical science including the study of normal anatomy and function. With the growth of computers and image technology, medical imaging has greatly influenced the medical field. The diagnosis of a health problem is now highly dependent on the quality and the credibility of the image analysis. This paper deals with the various aspects and types of medical imaging [5].

Image denoising is a procedure in digital image processing aiming at the removal of noise, which may corrupt an image during its acquisition or transmission, while retaining its quality. Image denoising still remains the challenge for researchers because noise removal introduces artefacts and causes blurring of the images [6].

The clinician relies heavily on techniques in diagnosing pericardial disease that demonstrate the presence of pericardial effusion. Currently available investigative tools that aid in the detection of pericardial effusion include electrocardiography, echocardiography, chest X-ray, cardiac fluoroscopy, computerized tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, radionuclide scanning and pericardiocentesis [7].

Today, echocardiography is considered the procedure of choice for evaluating patients suspected of having pericardial effusion. This high place afforded to echocardiography is due to its being an accurate, easy to perform and non-invasive method. It must be remembered, however that the results obtained are entirely dependent on the knowledge, experience and technical skill of the examiner. The echocardiographer must be familiar with the ultrasonic cardiac anatomy and various intracardiac landmarks. Many previously published false-positive and false-negative echocardiograms in pericardial effusion can be directly attributed to faulty techniques [8].

Medical images are usually corrupted by noise during their acquisition and transmission. The main objective of image denoising techniques is to remove such noises while retaining as much as possible the important image features [9].

Review of literature
The incidental findings on CT after trauma evaluation are a growing concern for clinicians in regard to the diagnosis and management of those findings. It was found that 5,831 (52%) had CT scan and 89 (1.5%) had at least one incidental finding. The incidental findings were commonly found in abdominal-pelvis CTs. Results showed that incidental findings were higher in men and older patients. Shella Farooki, lead author of Columbus Radiology Corp. at Grant Medical Center in Columbus, OH, in this study found that patients who were older and had a higher injury severity score were more likely to have incidental findings [10]. A majority of patients come to the emergency room after suspected trauma and radiographic imaging is performed. A radiographic imaging examination of the trauma patient may reveal findings unrelated to their trauma. These are called “incidental findings” and bring into question the role of incidental findings [11].
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department (ED) as trauma patients but are having the diagnosis that is not related to trauma. As a clinician, we have to pay attention to detailed clinical examination, investigations, and follow-up is important. CT scan is commonly used diagnostic screening tools in the emergency department (ED)[11]. The CT scan findings unrelated to the trauma and not related to the immediate patient care in the emergency department are defined as ‘incidental findings.’ The increased availability and the advances in the technology of CT scanners help in detecting incidental finding. Majority of the incidental findings are benign and require no follow-up, others require serial imaging and close supervision of the patient by their primary care clinician [12].

Earlier studies have found the rate of incidental findings in trauma patients require serial and large amount of CT scan in their study group [13-16]. The rate of incidental findings the present study is 1.5% and is lower than that in other studies with reported rate from 34-43% on abdominal CT scans [13-15]. The incidence of proper documentation and referral for follow-up of incidental findings in trauma patients ranged from 21-27%. This study has explored incidental finding rates in trauma patients who underwent CT scans in the ED for trauma and subsequently referred for appropriately follow-up [15].

In another study of cranial CT scan of 2,000 cases of head trauma (HT). A normal CT scan was seen in 60.75% (1215) and an abnormal CT scan in 39.25% (785) of patients. Out of 785 abnormal CT scan, 518 (65.9%) lesions were related to HT. The most common CT scan HT related findings were: soft tissue swelling (8.9%), skull fractures (4.3%), intracranial and sub-galeal hematomas (3.4% and 2.4%), brain swelling (2%) and brain contusion (1.2%). Out of 785 abnormal CT scans, 267 (34.1%) lesions were not related to head trauma. Incidental findings were brain atrophy (5.9%), one calcification (5.2%) several calcifications (2.4%), ischemic infarct (1.9%), and leukoaraiosis (1.3%) [17].

In a study of 3,000 CT scans of brain of trauma patients for incidental findings, the most common incidental findings were large cisterna magna (> 10 cm3) in 11 cases, 8 cases of tumour (3%), ischemic infarct (1.9%), and leukoaraiosis (1.3%) [17].

In a data of 732 CT scans of head trauma patients, 500 (68.3%) were male and 232 (31.7%) were female. The mean age was 27.4 ± 19.2. Incidental findings were detected in 22 patients (3.1%), of them 10 (45%) were male and 12 (55%) were female patients (P = 0.019). The mean age of cases with incidental findings were 37.2 ± 20.6 years and in cases without incidental findings were 27.1 ± 19.1 years (P = 0.011). Among these, there were 5 tumors (0.7%), 8 arachnoid cysts (1.1%), and 5 bony lesions (0.7%) and in 4 cases large cisterna magna (> 10 cm3) was seen [19].

Conclusion

The evaluation of trauma continues to evolve as new technology and improve standards of patients care in ED. The CT scan has become a standard diagnostic tool in trauma patients in identifying acute osseous injuries. A higher incidence of incidental findings is found with abdominal and pelvic CT compared to spinal CT. The frequency of incidental findings is higher among men and older patients. Proper clinical examination and focused management follow up of incidental findings can result in appropriate patient care and prognosis. The diagnostic power is associated with radiation hazards. CT–related radiation leads to increase in cancer mortality. Reduction in the scan length results in linear reduction in radiation hazards.

Incidental findings vary from minor trivial lesions to major fatal catastrophic pathologic lesions. They have to be diagnosed in patients evaluated for trauma in the widespread use of CT scan. Many of these findings require early management or referral to specialty physicians. Incidental findings in these patients might cause a significant challenge in emergency department and an organized approach is needed for successful management and follow-up.
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