Digital breast tomosynthesis: A state-of-the-art review
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Abstract

Digital Breast Tomosynthesis (DBT) is an X-ray mammography technique where multiple low-dose projection images of the breast are reconstructed in multiple tomographic images creating a semi-3D mammogram. This enables the visualization of a sequential set of thin sections of the breast, overcoming the masking effect of overlapping fibroglandular breast tissue, then improving carcinoma detection and reducing false-positive cases. This review aims at describing current DBT technique, analyzing DBT in clinical practice and providing an overview of published studies on clinical experience with DBT in the screening and diagnostic settings.

Introduction

Screening asymptomatic women for breast cancer with mammography can reduce cancer-related mortality up to 30%, since breast carcinoma is a progressive disease and early detection enables better prognosis [1-7]. However, evidence suggests that 15-30% of breast cancers are missed by standard screening mammography, and this rate can be even higher in women under 50 years [8] and in women with dense breast [9-12]. In fact, the primary limitation of mammography is the overlapping of dense fibroglandular breast tissue that can decrease the visibility or hide underlying malignant lesion [13-16].

Moreover, the overlay of normal fibroglandular breast tissue may mimic the appearance of carcinoma, leading to a reduction in specificity and increase in false-positive recalls [16]. In the early 2000s, mammography has transitioned from film-screen system to digital detectors [17,18], with an improved diagnostic performance, especially in women with dense breasts [19]. The introduction of full field digital mammography (FFDM) has also allowed the development of new diagnostic breast techniques like digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT).

DBT is an X-ray mammography technique where multiple low-dose projection images of the breast are acquired moving the X-ray tube in an arc over a limited angular range, and subsequently reconstructed in multiple tomographic images creating a pseudo-3D mammogram [20, 21]. Such acquisition enables the visualization of a sequential set of thin sections of the breast, allowing overcomes the masking effect of overlapping fibroglandular tissue, improving carcinoma detection and reducing the number of false-positives [21].

This technique showed better sensitivity and specificity compared to FFDM, especially for detection of non-calcified breast cancer [22] and it has been proven to be an efficient tool either in screening and diagnostic settings, since its introduction in the clinical setting after the approval by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2011.

DBT provides pseudo-3D images allowing a more detailed delineation of breast lesion contour, enhancing the visualization of distortions and also providing an accurate localization and extension of breast lesions, in addition to possible identification of additional cancer foci [23]. This article describes the current available DBT technique and provides an overview of the state of the art in both screening and diagnostic clinical settings.

Technique

The breast is compressed and held between the compression paddle and the detector, as in performing FFDM. The x-ray tube moves across a limited arc above the breast, acquiring a sequence of low-dose exposures at preset intervals, each from a different angle, resulting in a series of projection images [24-26]. The angle range (from 15 to 50° [20]), the tube motion, the arc length and the time needed to obtain a whole set of projection images vary among different manufacturers [27,28] (Table 1).

In the post processing, raw data from DBT projections can be reconstructed to obtain a series of images of the whole breast, typically with 1 mm spacing, parallel to the DBT platform plane [29]; this process allows a pseudo-3D evaluation of breast tissue distribution. Due to the limited angle of the projections, DBT has a high spatial resolution in the plane parallel to the detector, but a lower spatial resolution in the perpendicular direction [28]; however, spatial resolution in the depth direction is sufficient to reduce the effects of breast tissue overlapping [29,30].

The tomographic slices are then displayed on the tomosynthesis vendor's proprietary workstation or PACS for radiological interpretation; the total number of reconstructed images depends on the thickness of the compressed breast and is equal to the thickness of patient's breast plus five additional slices, that are added to each set of the mammogram.
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images to the image non-receptor side to help define the breast edges. The reader can scroll through the images manually or in a dynamic cine mode, and change the section thickness.

The image quality of DBT is highly dependent on the geometry of the system and on the choice of optimal acquisition, reconstruction, and display parameters.

**Dose**

DBT images can be acquired by using an FDA-approved combined acquisition mode [31] that is FFDM and DBT performed during the same examination: DBT projection images are carried out first, and then standard mammographic images are obtained in a perpendicular position, with no modifications of breast compression, or in an independent acquisition.

DBT images are low-dose, with a mean glandular doses (MGD) of an average-sized breasts of about 2.3 mGy per view, which is about 1 to 1.5-times higher than the dose per view for FFDM [32]; the use in combination of FFDM and DBT doubles approximately the radiation dose [33], therefore, the radiation exposure is one of the main concerns of DBT, especially when using DBT in any program of population screening, also considering that radiation dose is cumulative over time.

In a phantom study on radiation exposure from DBT, Feng and Sechopoulos [34] demonstrated a MGD range of 0.309 - 5.26 mGy for a single caudocranial view acquisition in FFDM mode and of 0.657 - 3.52 mGy for the DBT mode acquisition; for a breast with a compressed thickness of 5 cm and glandular fraction of 50%, the MGD of DBT was only 8% higher than that of FFDM acquisition (1.30 and 1.20 mGy, respectively, per view), while, for a breast with a compressed thickness of 6 cm and glandular fraction of 14.3%, the DBT resulted in a 83% higher MGD compared to FFDM (2.12 versus 1.16 mGy); therefore the range of radiation dose is highly variable, depending on breast size and composition.

MGD is also strongly influenced by some characteristics of the DBT system such as detection process (direct/indirect), scan angle, number of projections, tube motion and reconstruction algorithms, with a large variability among the investigated systems [35], but in any case the dose remains below the FFDM threshold limits established by the European Reference Organization for Quality Assured Breast Screening and Diagnostic Service [36].

The DBT examination may be performed using different combinations [21, 37], including 2-view DBT plus 2-view FFDM or single-view DBT plus single-view FFDM [38, 39], and varies among vendors; the use of a single-view DBT reduces the MGD [39-42]. Acquisition of standard mammogram is needed to have a panoramic view of the breast and for comparison with previous and future studies, so there is wide consensus that the only DBT images are not enough.

To overcome the dose issue, manufacturers have developed a synthesized mammography (SM) that provides the benefits of a combined FFDM plus DBT examination with a reduced radiation exposure; this is a synthesized 2D image generated from the DBT data. SM is a maximum intensity projection (MIP) of frequency-weighted reconstructions created by concentrating the tomosynthesis image set to a single 2D image [43]. In May 2013, the FDA approved the use of SM in combination with DBT to reduce the total radiation exposure by approximately 45% [43].

SM is now considered a valid alternative for FFDM: its aim is to provide the 2D component of the DBT examination, particularly useful when comparing with previous [44]. In SM, algorithms preserve imaging characteristics such as microcalcifications and spiculations but with poorer overall resolution and increased image noise [45]. Recent studies showed that the screening performances of SM plus DBT are not inferior to those achieved with FFDM plus DBT [46] and it is likely that SM will replace FFDM in the near future, with a remarkable dose reduction.

**Additional reading time**

The interpretation of a DBT examination consists of the evaluation of the 2D mammography plus the analysis of the DBT dataset, with a variable number of slices, depending on patient’s breast thickness: the largest number of images to read in comparison to the only FFDM causes an increase of the interpretation time. Studies have shown that interpretation time for 2D plus DBT is about twice that of conventional mammography [47]. Skaane et al. [48] reported reading times of 45 seconds for conventional mammography and 91 seconds for DBT; Wallis et al. [40] confirmed an approximate doubling in the reading time with an average time of 67 seconds for conventional mammography and of 124 seconds for DBT. Zuley et al. [49] described an increase in reading time of about 33%.

The extra reading time will obviously impact on radiologist's activity and has a significant relevance in high workloads of screening examinations, but the increased time for images interpretation could be potentially compensated by time saved in the workflow management.

### Table 1. Characteristics of Clinical DBT Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>HOLOGIC</th>
<th>GE Healthcare</th>
<th>Siemens Healthcare</th>
<th>FUJI</th>
<th>Internazionale Medico Scientifica</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Selenia</td>
<td>Seno Claire</td>
<td>MAMMOMAT Inspiration</td>
<td>Amulet Innovality</td>
<td>Giotto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanning Angle°</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40 HR</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projection</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanning Time (sec)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9 HR</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube Motion</td>
<td>continuous</td>
<td>step and shoot</td>
<td>continuous*</td>
<td>continuous</td>
<td>Healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector Material</td>
<td>a Se</td>
<td>a Si/CsI</td>
<td>a Se</td>
<td>a Se</td>
<td>a Se</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruction Algorithm</td>
<td>FBP</td>
<td>Iterative</td>
<td>FBP</td>
<td>FBP</td>
<td>Iterative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FBP = Filtered Back Projections
ST = Standard Mode
HR = High Resolution Mode
a Se = amorphous selenium
a Si/CsI = amorphous silicon/cesium iodide
*Continuous scanning mode with short pulses of radiation

---


as already reported [48, 50-55], for instance reducing the recall rate in screening setting.

**Information Technology storage and connectivity**

Information technology (IT), image storage, retrieval systems and workstation requirements are important issues when introducing DBT in clinical practice [21, 55]. DBT images require a large amount of storage space, especially in screening programs, due to the high numbers of participating women and considering that storage requirements will increase with time as more patients will have previous DBT studies for comparison.

DBT image files size are 10–20 times that of 2D conventional mammography; the number of slices for each DBT set depends on the breast thickness, but an average combined DBT/FFDM study is about 1GB of data, which could be reduced to approximately 250 MB if the DBT images are stored with a 4:1 reversible (lossless) compression [40,55].

Before introducing DBT in clinical practice, it is also important to account that workstation requirements for reading tomosynthesis could be different from 2D FFDM, since standard workstations may not have sufficient random access memory to display DBT. Workstations for DBT analysis require all the standards needed for FFDM reading (i.e.: high resolution monitor, customized visualization protocols, image resizing tools, image annotation, computer-aided detection marks), but also rapid scrolling, cine capacity and the possibility to visualize 2D and synthesized 2D and DBT images [21].

**Clinical applications for DBT**

In clinical practice, 2 sets of DBT are usually acquired for each breast: the cranio-caudal projection and the medio-lateral oblique projection, as usually performed in conventional mammography. The use of two-view DBT combined with two-view FFDM allows obtaining the best diagnostic accuracy; the availability of 2-view FFDM is useful for the accurate detection and characterization of microcalcifications and comparison with previous [28, 56,57].

In symptomatic patients, presenting with palpable lump, nipple discharge, skin thickening and/or nipple retraction, DBT demonstrated a diagnostic accuracy equivalent or superior to conventional mammography [58, 59] and some studies stated that DBT had the advantage to replace additional spot compression mammography, having the same diagnostic accuracy, and to reduce the use of other diagnostic techniques, such as ultrasound and MRI [58-61]. Moreover, recent studies demonstrated that DBT in the diagnostic settings decreased the number of lesions classified as BI-RADS category 3, particularly masses and asymmetric lesions, with a substantial increase in the number of those categorized as BI-RADS 1, 2, or 5 [62].

In the screening setting, DBT plus FFDM versus FFDM alone showed overall improved accuracy [47], especially in women with scattered and heterogeneous breast densities [63]. Particularly, DBT can provide benefits in some diagnostic contexts: as lobular carcinoma, that is often difficult to detect on FFDM due to its linear pattern of growing without a typical mass shape (Figure 1). Also breast cysts and fibroadenomas are better identified and characterized by DBT than FFDM because their shape and margins are generally better defined in the DBT images as oval or round circumscribed masses with smooth margins. Dermal and vascular calcifications, surgical scars and intramammary lymph nodes are other common findings, better visible and adequately assessed by DBT [64] (Figure 2).

Due to its greater sensitivity for benign lesions, the introduction of DBT in a FFDM-based screening could lead to a recall rate increase related to previously undetected benign masses; for this reason, the overall screening recall rate reduction, seen with the implementation of DBT, is primarily due to more accurate assessment of summation shadows and pseudo lesions [21].

Malignant tumors can be better detected by tomosynthesis when compared with FFDM alone; the standard DBT views show precise details of the tumor, highlighting suspicious irregular shapes, indistinct or spiculated margins and other important features, particularly in cancers surrounded by normal fibroglandular tissue (Figures 3-6).

**Staging**

Mammographic evaluation of breast cancer should include lesion size, number of lesions, and the assessment of contralateral disease. DBT is a valuable tool for breast cancer staging due to its ability to detect multifocal or contralateral disease and its accuracy of lesion measurement. Lesion size evaluation at FFDM can be challenging and usually this feature is better assessed at US or MRI [65]. The tumor is depicted in multiple images at DBT and, only the core nucleus of the mass in the slice with the best cancer visualization should be measured in order to assess the actual tumor size, avoiding the spicules that may be seen on other sections [28]. Several studies have shown that this approach correlates well with pathologic analysis in masses measuring up to 20 mm and is more accurate than FFDM alone [66]. Due to the possibility of having a greater visualization of details, DBT can depict
Figure 2. 53-year-old woman with left nipple bloody discharge, I grade family history of ovarian cancer and a personal history of left breast lumpectomy for fibroadenoma. No previous examinations were available

2A left CC FFDC, 2B left CC DBT (at upper quadrants), 2C left CC DBT (at equatorial line)
The circle in 2B indicates a cyst, with smooth, well-defined margins, better depicted in DBT.
The rectangle in 2C shows the scar of the previous lumpectomy.
The arrow in 2C indicates a 1.5 cm mid-grade invasive ductal adenocarcinoma

Figure 3. Clear visualization of an architectural distortion at DBT in a 45-year-old asymptomatic woman, with no family history of breast cancer, at her first routine screening mammography

3A left ML FFDM, 3B left ML DBT
The presence of a parenchymal distortion, located in the central pre-pectoral breast area, is well visible on DBT, in a heterogeneously dense breast. The lesion was occult on ultrasound and tomosynthesis-guided Vacuum Assisted Breast Biopsy was performed and demonstrated invasive ductal carcinoma with ductal carcinoma in situ

Figure 4. Detection of a small mass at DBT in heterogeneously dense breast, in a 49-year-old asymptomatic woman due to the better visibility of surrounding spicules in DBT images

4A right MLO FFDM, 4B right MLO DBT, 4C right US of the lesion
US-guided core needle biopsy proved an invasive ductal carcinoma
Architectural distortion

Architectural distortion is a subtle imaging finding, usually better detected at DBT than at FFDM, frequently recognizable on only one view; it may be similar in density to surrounding tissue and may have areas of associated fat. The detection of architectural distortions is important due to its known positive predictive value for breast cancer [50,67,68]. In clinical practice, DBT is useful for the evaluation of potential architectural distortion, allowing a better detection of the radiating lines that converge to a point, especially along a fat-glandular interface and at the apex of the breast, where summation is common [28] (Figure 3). Careful scrolling through a suspected architectural distortion on DBT may also reveal an associated mass previously undetected by FFDM (Figure 5). In a study by Dang PA et al. [57], common presentations of cancers on tomosynthesis were irregular spiculated masses (61%, 105/172), architectural distortion (12%, 20/172), and lobulated circumscribed masses (8%, 13/172). Of the cancers presenting as architectural distortion on tomosynthesis, 50% (10/20) were occult on conventional mammography and 20% (4/20) were characterized as asymmetry or focal asymmetry on conventional mammography. Cancers presenting as architectural distortion on tomosynthesis had a disproportionately higher percentage of ILCs (20%).

Radial scars, post-biopsy and post-surgical scars can be detectable as architectural distortions and may be better visible at DBT than on FFDM (Figure 2). When an architectural distortion is detected, an accurate review of breast clinical history is needed: without a correlative surgical history, image-guided biopsy of architectural distortion is often warranted because benign and malignant architectural distortions are indistinguishable [50].

Focal asymmetry

Focal asymmetry is an area of tissue visible on two views in the same breast, unilateral, without a correlate of tissue in the same position of the contralateral breast; it has similar shape on different mammographic projections, but without the conspicuity or the borders of a mass [69]. DBT is useful to confirm and characterize this finding as a true asymmetry, dismiss it as a superimposition, or reclassify it as a mass. In a study by El Maadawy et al. [70] the authors used DBT

5A right CC FFDM, 5B right MLO FFDM, 5C right CC DBT, 5D right US of the hypoechoic area.

Invasive ductal carcinoma with ductal carcinoma in situ was diagnosed with US-guided core needle biopsy.
for evaluation of focal mammographic asymmetry and DBT showed higher sensitivity (93.8%) for lesion visualization and differentiation from summation artifacts in comparison to spot compression imaging (50.2%).

Masses

Masses are characterized by their shape, margin and density. DBT can depict shape and edges with precise details, underlying a suspicious irregular shape, indistinct or spiculated margins. Zuley et al. [49], found that the use of the word "irregular", as shape adjective, decreased significantly at DBT especially for benign lesions, reclassified at DBT as lobulated or oval.

In some cases, the only clues leading to the detection of a subtle malignant mass are fine spiculations presenting as thin isodense lines secondary to straightening of adjacent fibroglandular tissue and Cooper ligaments: these features are often better depicted at DBT [71], in particular for cancers surrounded by normal fibroglandular tissue, in heterogeneously dense breasts [12] (Figures 4 and 5).

Moreover, DBT can depict iso- or hypodense masses: this is particularly relevant because some cancers are characterized by intratumoral fat, entrapped or absorbed from the surrounding adipose tissue during growth, and appear less radiopaque and badly outlined in FFDM, even in low density breasts [72] (Figure 6).
Microcalcifications

Microcalcifications can be the early and unique sign of breast carcinoma. There are many reports regarding the ability of DBT to visualize microcalcifications in comparison with FFDM that is the actual gold standard. Poplack and colleagues [73] compared FFDM with DBT in the evaluation of different lesion subtypes and observed that microcalcification showed better image quality on diagnostic mammography than on tomosynthesis. On the other hand, Kopans and colleagues [74] compared the clarity of microcalcifications on FFDM and DBT, observing that microcalcifications were seen on DBT with better accuracy in 41.6% of the cases, with equivalent accuracy in 50.4% and only in 8% of cases were better seen on FFDM.

Spangler and colleagues [75] demonstrated a statistically significant higher detection rate for calcifications on FFDM than DBT (84% vs 75%) and higher specificity in evaluating the calcifications (71% vs 64%). Indeed, if calcifications are small and spread, single reconstructed DBT slices may show only few calcifications of a clinically significant cluster, not allowing a correct spatial evaluation. If calcifications are large, they may produce significant artifacts, appearing on multiple slices as repeating ghost-like, out-of-focus, white objects bordered by dark shadows, marching in the direction of the x-ray tube motion [56].

New perspectives

Nowadays, studies are focused on evaluating the diagnostic performance of tomosynthesis in screening setting; one example is The Mammographic Imaging Screening Trial (TMIST), which is a randomized breast cancer screening trial that compares FFDM with DBT. The Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) and the American College of Radiology Imaging Network (ACRIN) Cancer Research Group opened the trial on July 6, 2017, and plans to enroll about 165,000 women, aged 45-74 years, by the end of 2020 [76].

One of the major concerns that limit the use of DBT, especially as a screening tool, is the radiant exposure: the introduction of new reconstruction algorithms can potentially solve this issue. There is evidence suggesting that the use of iterative reconstructions allows to obtain better contrast, better image quality, optimal visibility of calcifications and fewer artifacts, compared to traditional filtered back projection reconstructions [77] and to get a better contrast-to-noise ratio with the same radiation dose [78,79].

Further developments are also expected in the area of computer-aided detection (CAD): recent studies showed that CAD allows a non-inferiority of reader interpretation performance, with a faster reading time. The introduction of CAD in clinical practice would allow overcoming a limit of tomosynthesis that is the additional reading time [80,81].

Another important innovation is the development of new equipment, like the combination of DBT with automated breast ultrasound: its goal is to implement sensitivity in patients with dense breast; currently, to our knowledge, there are only few data available on small groups of patients in this setting [82,83], but this new technologies would seem to provide promising results.

Conclusions

Since its recent introduction, DBT is rapidly emerging as a practice changing technique. It improves the accuracy of clinical mammography, by increasing sensitivity and specificity; particularly in screening patients, DBT reduces the recall rates and increases cancer detection. It is a useful tool for further characterization of suspicious findings, improving reading confidence and diagnostic accuracy and for local staging of breast cancer, thus implementing surgical planning.

Although additional data from large multicenter prospective trials and further analysis on cost effectiveness are needed, this technology provides improvement of mammographic imaging and more efficient diagnostic workups.
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