Socio-demographic, behavioral, and mental health and wellbeing correlates of university student binge drinking: does frequency matter?
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Abstract

Objectives: To assess whether the relationships between binge drinking and various socio-demographic, behavioral, attitudinal, mental health and wellbeing correlates differ according to frequency of binge drinking.

Methods: This study (n = 507) used survey data collected from a convenience sample of undergraduate students at a mid-western urban Canadian university. Ordinal logistic regression was conducted with frequency of binge drinking as the outcome variable.

Results: Binge drinking frequency was positively associated with impulsivity, negative alcohol-related consequences, and life satisfaction and negatively associated with religiosity. “Frequent” binge drinkers (14.8%) were 2.7 times more likely to have smoked cigarettes, 1.5 times more likely to have used other drugs, 1.8 times more likely to have engaged in “risky” sex, and to have had a negative gambling-related experience than “occasional” binge drinkers (57.8%), who were, in turn, proportionally more likely to have reported these behaviors and/or experiences than non-binge drinkers (28%).

Conclusion: Although there were a number of correlates that were related to frequency of binge drinking as predicted, the expected relationships between mental health and wellbeing and binge drinking frequency were not evident. Future research should seek to assess to what extent this is due to methodological issues and to what extent it is due the particularities of university drinking culture that promotes binge drinking as normative and adaptive, engendering higher tolerance for what constitutes problematic alcohol use, and the implications of this for alcohol education and treatment on campus.

Introduction

A number of studies examine the prevalence of binge drinking and its correlates among American university students; however, there is a dearth of Canadian studies. Most Canadian research uses the 1998 and 2004 Canadian Campus Survey, or older, datasets [1-3]. Moreover, existing Canadian studies focus on establishing the prevalence of alcohol use among Canadian university students [4], rather than exploring bivariate or multivariate levels of analysis of binge drinking.

The present study builds on our recent work [5] which used logistic regression analysis to compare binge drinking to non-binge drinking university students on a number of socio-demographic, behavioral, mental health and wellbeing correlates. Against expectations, we did not find any significant differences between binge and non-binge drinkers in terms of mental health (e.g. depression, anxiety, positive mental health) socio-demographic (e.g. gender, living with parents, being Canadian born) or behavioral (e.g. smoking cigarettes, using other drugs, or having “risky” sex) variables. However, we did find significant differences in terms of religiosity, impulsivity, life satisfaction, and alcohol-related problems; binge drinkers were less religious, more impulsive, reported more negative alcohol-related consequences, and higher life satisfaction. We posited that one reason for the apparent lack of significant differences between binge drinkers and non-binge drinkers, particularly regarding mental health and wellbeing, might be due to the dichotomous nature of the binge drinking outcome variable. We suggested that use of a more graduated measure of binge drinking, one that captures differences in frequency of binge drinking, might better enable detection of such differences, if they exist. Building on this recommendation the present study conducts an ordinal logistic regression analysis to assess whether the relationship between alcohol consumption and various socio-demographic, behavioral, mental health and wellbeing correlates, differs according to frequency of binge drinking.

Previous research suggests the following hypotheses regarding correlates of university student binge drinking:

Socio-demographics:

1. Being male, born in Canada, not living with one’s parents, and having a family member with alcohol problems will each be associated with increased probability of frequent binge drinking [1,2,6].

Mental health and wellbeing:

2. Positive affect, positive mental health, life satisfaction, and self esteem will be negatively associated with frequency of binge drinking;
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that is, higher scores on measures of each will be associated with decreased probability of frequent binge drinking [7,8].

3. Negative affect, anxiety, depression, impulsivity, and social alienation will be positively associated with frequency of binge drinking; that is, higher scores on measures of each will be associated with increased probability of frequent binge drinking [7,9-11].

Behaviors and attitudes

4. Religiosity will be negatively associated with frequency of binge drinking, with higher religious faith scores being negatively associated with probability of frequent binge drinking [12].

5. Engaging in risky sex, consuming cigarettes and other drugs, and having reported at least one gambling-related negative consequence in the previous year will each be associated with increased probability of more frequent binge drinking [13,14].

6. Age of first alcohol consumption/intoxication will be negatively associated with probability of frequent binge drinking: that is, the older the reported age of first consumption the lower the probability of frequent binge drinking [14].

7. GPA will be negatively associated with probability of frequent binge drinking [2].

8. The number of reported alcohol-related negative consequences will be positively associated with probability of frequent binge drinking [15].

Method

Data

The current study (n = 507) uses data from the Student Leisure and Well-Being Survey (SLWBS) administered to undergraduate students at a mid-western urban Canadian university. Comparison of the SLWBS sample to available institutional demographics (Table 1) suggests the data are reasonably representative of the general university population.

Analytical procedures

All statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS 24. An insignificant Little’s MCAR test indicated that missing data were missing completely at random, but to preserve sample size and power, multiple imputation was used to generate 20 datasets from which final model estimates were pooled. The analysis aimed to assess which correlates differentiate frequent binge drinkers in the sample of Canadian university students. Accordingly, the analysis aimed to assess which correlates differentiate frequent binge drinkers in the sample of Canadian university students. Accordingly, multivariate analysis was conducted using ordinal logistic regression.

Ordinal logistic regression is an extension of the basic logistic regression model, allowing for analysis of a categorical outcome that is the same irrespective of which two categories are being compared [16]. A test of proportional odds indicated that this assumption was satisfied (the null hypothesis of parallel lines was retained in all 20 imputed datasets) and that ordinal logistic regression was appropriate for this data.

Measures

Binge drinking

Based on previous research, an incident of binge drinking was defined for males as having consumed 5 or more alcohol drinks in one session, and for females as having consumed 4 or more drinks in one session or sitting [5,17]. Respondents were asked how often they had consumed this amount over the past 30 days: "0 times," "1 time," "2-3 times," "1-2 times per week," "3-4 times per week," "5+ times per week." Responses were coded into 3 categories as ‘non-binge-drinker’ = 0 (0 times), ‘occasional binge drinker’ = 1 (1 to 3 times), or ‘frequent binge drinker’ = 2 (1-2 times per week or more).

Socio-demographics

The socio-demographic variables included in the analysis were gender, immigrant status, family history of alcohol problems, living arrangements, and GPA. With the exception of GPA, socio-demographics were coded into dichotomous variables with female, Canadian-born, indicating a member of one’s family has an alcohol problem, and living with parents coded to one, respectively.

Mental health and wellbeing

Two measures of mental health disorders were included in the analysis, depression and anxiety. Anxiety was measured using the GAD-7 anxiety scale (α=.90) a seven-item index [18]. Depression was measured using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D scale), a 20-item index (α=.91) [19]. For both indices, high scores indicate high levels of disorder.

Four measures of mental wellbeing were included in the analysis. Positive and negative affect (α=.87, .82, respectively) were measured using the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule short-form (PANAS short-form) [20]; life satisfaction was measured using the Diener’s Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS) (α=.87) [21]; positive mental health was measured using the Mental Health Continuum Short Form (MHC-SF) (α=.92) [22]. In addition, social alienation was measured using the Social Alienation Scale (α=.83) [23], and self-esteem was measured using the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSE) (α=.89) [24].

Finally, impulsivity was measured using the Barratt Impulsiveness Scale (BIS-11), a 26-item index (α=.82) with higher summative scores indicating greater levels of impulsivity [25].

Behaviors and attitudes

Religiosity was measured using the Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith (SCOSORF) questionnaire [26], a 10-item index measuring the level of religious faith among respondents (α=.98). The measure ranged from 0 to 30, with 30 indicating the strongest level of religiosity.

“Risky” sexual behavior was computed by combining two variables—measures of unprotected and unplanned sexual relations (α=.71). Respondents were asked whether, in the past 12 months, they
had, while under the influence of alcohol, engaged in (a) unplanned sex or (b) unprotected sex with a person they did not know before. The risky sexual behavior variable was coded into a dichotomous variable, with those answering ‘yes’ to one or both of the risky sexual behavior items receiving a score of 1 and those answering ‘no’ to both measures receiving a score of 0.

Drug and Alcohol Use. A number of variables were used to measure experiences with drugs and alcohol. The validated shorter 18-item version of Rutgers Alcohol Problem Index (RAPI) was used to measure the number of alcohol-related consequences (α=.88) [27]. Early experiences with alcohol were measured using one variable: the age at which one first became intoxicated from alcohol. Drug use was measured by two dichotomous variables: tobacco cigarette use ("yes" = 1) and use of other drugs including marijuana, heroin, and cocaine (use of one or more = 1).

Gambling Problems. Measured by the Problem Gambling Severity Index (PGSI), a 9-item subscale contained within the Canadian Problem Gambling Index (CPGI) [28]. Possible scores on the PGSI range from 0 to 27, with higher scores indicating greater severity of risk for problem gambling (α=.91). The score is derived from Likert scale items where the respondent is presented with a number of negative consequences of gambling and asked to indicate whether they have experienced any in the past 12 months, respond options are ‘never’, ‘sometimes’, ‘most of the time’, or ‘almost always’. In the present analysis PGSI scores were dichotomized, so that anyone who answered ‘sometimes’ to at least one negative consequence (i.e. a raw PGSI score of at least 1) received a code of 1, while those who answered ‘never’ to all questions were coded 0.

Grade Point Average was coded using the high school average for participants that were only in their first year of university, and using university GPA for participants that indicated they were in their second year or beyond. The categories ranged from 4.0 to 4.5 (or A/A+), 3.5 to 3.9 (or B+), 3.0 to 3.4 (or B), 2.5 to 2.9 (or C+), 2.0 to 2.4 (or C), and 1.0 to 1.9 (or D or lower).

Results

In our sample 83.1% of respondents reported consuming alcohol in the previous 12 months, and 69.7% of these students reported engaging in binge drinking at least once in the previous 30 days. There were no significant gender differences among the 28% of respondents categorized as non-binge-drinkers, the 57.2% of respondents categorized as occasional binge drinkers (reported binge drinking 1 to 3 times in the previous month), nor the 14.8% categorized as frequent binge drinkers (reported binge drinking 1-2 times a week or more in the previous month) (Table 2).

Means, proportions, and standard errors for modeled predictor variables—overall and broken down by binge drinking category—are reported in Table 2. Correlations are reported in Figure 1. As expected, impulsiveness and number of negative alcohol related consequences were positively associated with frequency of binge drinking, while religiosity and age of first alcohol consumption were negatively associated with frequency of binge drinking. Also as expected, having reported at least one gambling-related problem, consumption of cigarettes and other drugs, and having engaged in risky sex were all associated with higher frequency of binge drinking. Contrary to expectations, neither satisfaction with life, nor any of the mental health and well-being variables were significantly correlated with frequency of binge drinking, as was also true of all the demographic variables.

Ordinal logistic regression results are reported in Table 3. Consistent

with our hypotheses, religious faith was negatively associated with frequency of binge drinking; that is, each 1 unit increase in religious faith score was associated with a significant decrease (4%) in the
probability of being in the frequent (vs. occasional) or occasional (vs. non-binge drinker) category. Likewise, impulsivity was, as predicted, positively associated with frequency of binge drinking, with each 1 unit increase in BIS-11 scores associated with a significant increase (5%) in the probability of being in the next higher binge drinking frequency category. Finally, the number of alcohol-related negative consequences was positively associated with increased frequency of binge drinking, with each 1 unit increase in RAPI scores associated with an increased probability (11%) of being in a higher binge drinking category.

Also as hypothesized, engaging in risky sex, smoking cigarettes, and having experienced gambling-related negative consequences were associated with more frequent binge drinking. That is, frequent binge drinkers were 2.7 times more likely to have smoked cigarettes, 1.5 times more likely to have used other drugs, 1.8 times more likely to have engaged in risky sex, and 1.8 times more likely to have had a negative gambling-related experience in the previous 12 months than occasional binge drinkers, who were, in turn, proportionally more likely to have reported these behaviors and/or experiences than non-binge drinkers. None of the hypothesized relationships between the mental health and wellbeing variables and binge drinking frequency were supported by the data. Interestingly, life satisfaction, which was not significantly related to binge drinking frequency at the bivariate level, was significantly associated with binge drinking frequency at the multivariate level, but not in the expected negative direction—life satisfaction was actually positively related to frequency of binge drinking, with a 1 unit increase in life satisfaction score associated with a significant increase in the probability (7%) of membership in a higher binge drinking category. None of the demographic variables (gender, Canadian born, living with parent, GPA, age of first consumption, family alcohol problems) were significantly related to frequency of binge drinking, nor was having reported consuming drugs.

**Discussion**

Although older research reported greater rates of binge drinking among males, the increasing use of gender weighted measures of binge drinking and recent trends in university student drinking patterns suggest—consistent with present findings—that this gap is closing [17,29]. None of the other socio-demographic variables—living with parents, being born in Canada, history of family alcohol problems—are associated with differences in frequency of binge drinking.

Surprisingly, only one hypothesis regarding the relationships between the mental health/wellbeing variables and frequency of binge drinking is supported by the data. Impulsivity is positively related to binge drinking, with higher impulsivity being associated with increased probability of binge drinking and/or more frequent drinking. This finding is in line with previous Canadian results [30]. Interestingly, the relationship between life satisfaction and frequency of binge drinking is insignificant at the bivariate level, but significant at the multivariate level, although not in the expected negative direction—with life satisfaction increasing slightly with binge frequency. While this contradicts some previous findings [9], it fits with other evidence suggesting that university students may attribute positive social consequences to alcohol consumption [31,32] and that some amount of binge drinking is accepted as a normal aspect of college culture and social integration into the collegiate community [7, 33].

Several other proposed research hypotheses are supported by the data. Consistent with previous Canadian studies [12], the present findings indicate a negative relationship between religious faith and binge drinking, with the probability of binge drinking (vs. non-binge drinking) and/or more frequent binge drinking (vs. occasional binge drinking) decreasing as strength of religious faith increases. Also as hypothesized, and in keeping with previous Canadian findings [15], binge drinking and/or more frequent binge drinking is associated with higher frequency of alcohol-related negative consequences—such as not being able to complete homework or study for a test, getting into a fight, causing shame or embarrassment to someone, neglecting responsibilities, and missing a day of school or work. Additionally, smoking cigarettes, using other drugs, engaging in risky sex and negative gambling-related consequences are all positively associated with binge drinking: frequent binge drinkers are 2.7 times more likely to have smoked cigarettes, 1.5 times more likely to have used other drugs, and 1.8 times more likely to have engaged in risky sex and to have experienced at least one negative gambling-related consequence (e.g. chasing loses, health and/or financial problem) than occasional binge drinkers, who, in turn, are proportionally more likely to have reported these behaviors and/or experiences than non-binge drinkers. The link between cigarettes, drug use and binge drinking echoes previous findings [14,34], and the association between problematic gambling behavior and alcohol use is well-documented [13] but evidence of a connection between binge drinking and risky sex—more
frequent binge drinking is associated with greater probability of having engaged in risky sex—is a novel finding in a Canadian university sample—although in line with previous non-Canadian findings [14].

The findings of this study are subject to the limitations of cross-sectional self-report surveys, and due caution should be exercised in generalizing from a single university sample to all Canadian university students. Also, while the absence of negative associations between mental health/wellbeing and frequency of binge drinking was unexpected, it may be due to the insensitivity of self-report measures used. Students who engage in excessive alcohol consumption often do not see themselves as having an alcohol problem [35], tend to overestimate the average amount alcohol that a typical university student consumes [36,37], and often have higher thresholds for what they consider heavy drinking to be [38]. It could be that the attenuation of social benefits and the mounting negative effects on health and wellbeing manifest more palpably (and perhaps undeniably) at more intense levels of binge drinking and so went undetected in the present study due to the small number of very frequent binge drinkers in the sample. A larger sample, with a proportionally larger subsample of very frequent binge drinkers (comprising an additional “very frequent” ordinal category) might provide the statistical power necessary to detect problematic associations, if they exist. It may also be that the relationships between mental health and wellbeing and heavy alcohol consumption are affected by motivations and normative perceptions about alcohol consumption [37,39,40], and future research should include such measures. Additionally, data could be collected from both university students and university-aged non-students to help distinguish developmental effects of emerging adulthood [41] from the contextual effects of the university environment [42,43]. Finally, our understanding of possible causal linkages would benefit greatly from longitudinal data that would enable consideration of the temporal ordering of relationships and tracking changes over time, such as how drinking behavior, and its correlates, fluctuate over the course of the academic year. Such insights will be key to helping improve educational and treatment policies on contemporary Canadian campuses.
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