Lavender oil supplementation for the management of anxiety disorder
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Abstract

Purpose: Available evidence for the use of lavender oil as a means of managing anxiety disorders, such as generalized anxiety disorder is reviewed.

Summary: Many patients seek alternative or complementary therapies as a means to manage various disease states in lieu of or in addition to traditional treatment modalities. Lavender essential oil is one such complementary therapy available in a variety of dosage forms with many reported uses including management of anxiety and anxiety disorders. A Medline search was conducted for clinical trials or case reports of lavender oil for the management of anxiety disorder. Five clinical studies were identified, all examining the use of an oral lavender oil dosage form. Overall, the majority of patients experienced an improvement in anxiety symptoms based on change in HAM-A score with few, mild side effects.

Conclusion: The use of an oral lavender oil dosage form appears to improve anxiety symptoms in patients with chronic anxiety such as generalized anxiety disorder with minimal side effects, however evidence is limited.

Introduction

With an estimated 38% of adult Americans utilizing some form of complementary health approach and $12.8 billion being spent on natural supplements in the United States, the need for pharmacists to be able to provide education regarding proper use of supplements remains high [1]. One such type of complementary medicine involves the use of essential oils, which are marketed as alternative therapy to manage a wide range of health conditions. Essential oils are volatile organic plant constituents often used as part of a holistic approach to managing disease and promoting well-being [2]. The oils may be absorbed through inhalation via the respiratory system, transdermally via direct contact, or oral ingestion [3].

One such health condition essential oils, specifically lavender oil, have been used to help manage are chronic anxiety disorders, most commonly classified as generalized anxiety disorder (GAD). GAD is estimated to affect approximately 6.8 million adults in the United States [4]. It can be defined as ongoing excessive worry and anxiety that can result in severe distress and impairment. Generalized anxiety disorder is often chronic and persistent in nature and has been associated with poor health outcomes. It often requires pharmacological treatment combined with cognitive behavioral therapy for management. The current first line drug options include selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), and pregabalin. Second line agents include imipramine, buspirone and benzodiazepines [5]. Many of these drugs may cause adverse effects as well as safety concerns, particularly chronic use of benzodiazepines; therefore, alternative methods for managing GAD while maintaining safety are of particular interest [6].

Lavender essences have been recognized for their calming and sedative properties dating back centuries [7,8]. Several small studies have been conducted evaluating the effects of lavender oils on various types of both acute and chronic anxiety [9-17]. The active substance is typically produced through steam distillation of Lavandula angustifolia flowers, or English lavender, though other species may be used [8]. The production of active compounds found within the lavender plant varies depending on the species and the manner in which the compounds are isolated. This production process has the potential to produce variation amongst marketed essential oil products. Cultivation of lavender hybrid species is common to increase essential oil yields [3].

The use of lavender oil as an anxiolytic has been demonstrated in various clinical trials for anxiety, sleep and mood disorders, as well as posttraumatic stress disorder. Furthermore, it has been approved in Germany for treatment of restless-related anxiety disorders [18]. The product is available commercially in Germany as Silexan 80 mg softgel capsules, with a recommended dose of 1 capsule by mouth daily [19]. Though no commercially FDA approved products are available in the United States, lavender is among the FDAs list of substances that are generally recognized as safe (GRAS) for consumption (FDA) [20]. The mechanism of action is not completely understood but has been proposed to modulate gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) similar to benzodiazepines and possibly cause serotonin modulation, similar to SSRI/SNRIs [21]. Given the benefits Silexan has shown in sleep, mood and acute anxiety, its potential use for generalized anxiety disorder
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should be further investigated. This article will analyze and evaluate the data supporting the use of lavender essential oil for the management of chronic anxiety and GAD.

**Literature review**

A computerized search of the published literature was conducted using Medline to locate clinical trials and or case reports pertaining to the use of lavender essential oils in the management of chronic anxiety and GAD. The search was limited to English-language journals and human studies. There was no limitation set on the year of publication. Keywords of the search included lavender, essential oil, generalized anxiety disorder, chronic anxiety disorder, and anxiety disorder. Additionally, any relevant articles referenced in articles retrieved from the Medline search were utilized. Standard tertiary drug resources were utilized for preliminary knowledge of lavender’s safety, pharmacokinetics, and pharmaceutical information. The use of lavender essential oil in the management of chronic anxiety, primarily GAD, was noted in five clinical studies.

**Evidence of Interaction**

Woelk, et.al. [13] examined the efficacy of lavender oil in the German approved formulation of Silexan versus lorazepam in 6 week randomized, parallel, multi-center, double-blind study in Germany. Seventy-seven patients were randomized to either the Silexan group (n=40) or the lorazepam group (n=36). The Silexan group received one 80 mg lavender capsule and one lorazepam placebo capsule while the lorazepam group received one 0.5 mg lorazepam capsule and one lavender placebo capsule. Patients were included in the study if they were between the ages of 18-65 years old with a primary diagnosis of GAD based on Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV (DSM-IV) and current outpatient treatment. Patients also needed to have selected Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A) - Item 1 "anxious mood" ≥ 2 and Item ≥ 2 "tension" ≥ 2 as well as have a total HAM-A score of ≥ 18. A wash-out period of one week was required prior to study entry and patients with a decrease of ≥ 25% in their HAM-A total score during this time were excluded. Neither power nor the needed noninferiority margin were noted for the study.

The primary outcome was the change in HAM-A total score from baseline to week 6. Secondary outcomes included a comparison of responder and remission rates between Silexan and lorazepam as well as change in the Zung’s Self-ratings Anxiety Scale (SAS), the Clinical Global Impression (CGI), Penn State Worry Questionnaire past week version (PSWQ-PW), the SF-36 Health Survey Questionnaire and patient’s Sleep Diary. Safety was assessed through physical exams, vital signs, ECG and laboratory monitoring.

The HAM-A total score was 25 + 4 points in both treatment arms at baseline. By week 6, it decreased to 11.3 + 6.7 points in the Silexan group and 11.6 + 6.6 points in the lorazepam group. The values were similar for the intention-to-treat (ITT) and per protocol (PP) data sets. The authors noted Silexan to be noninferior to lorazepam (p=0.05). Forty percent of responders in the Silexan group (n=16) and 27% of responders in the lorazepam group (n=10) achieved remission (p=0.04). Similar improvements between both groups were noted in the secondary outcomes. No serious adverse events were noted in either group during the study. The majority of adverse events in the Silexan group were gastrointestinal related and included nausea, belching, breath odor, and dyspepsia. Of those noted in the lorazepam group, fatigue was the most common.

The authors concluded that Silexan is not less effective than lorazepam 0.5 mg capsule in the treatment of patients with GAD, however several limitations exist. Baseline characteristics were not noted with the exception of the majority of the patients being female (76.6%). Information regarding comorbid conditions or concomitant medications was not provided. Though there was a washout period, the types of medications that were discontinued are also not noted. The short duration of this study makes it difficult to know if the effects of lavender oil in patients with generalized anxiety would persist long term. Lastly, while the authors stated inferiority could be excluded based on a HAM-A difference between the 2 groups of 2.8, the needed noninferiority margin as well as power were not provided.

Kasper, et al. [14] examined lavender oil’s efficacy in reducing anxiety versus placebo in a prospective, randomized, double-blind, multi-center study that took place in 27 general or psychiatric primary care centers in Germany. Two hundred sixteen patients with anxiety disorder according to the DSM-IV or the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and related Health Problems, 10th edition (ICD-10) were randomized to either Silexan 80 mg daily (n=107) or placebo (n=109). Additionally, participants had to have a HAM-A total score ≥ 18 points and ≥ 2 points for items anxious mood and insomnia, as well as ≥ 5 points on the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI). Patients were excluded if they also had any clinically important psychiatric or neurological diagnosis other than anxiety disorder, risk of suicide, or substance abuse disorder as well as a decrease ≥ 25% on the HAM-A during the run-in phase. Other psychotropic medication or muscle relaxant use were excluded. The primary outcome was the change in the HAM-A and PSQI from baseline to week 10. Secondary outcomes included the CGI, observer rating scale, SAS, and the SF-36 Health Survey Questionnaire. Two hundred twenty participants were needed to detect a difference of 2.5 + 6.0 points on the HAM-A and a difference of 1.0 + 2.7 points on the PSQI and achieve 80% power.

Baseline characteristics were similar between groups. The majority of participants were female. The average HAM-A score was approximately 27 and the PSQI score was 12. For the primary outcome, the HAM-A score decreased 16.0 + 8.3 points for the Silexan group and 9.5 + 9.1 points for the placebo group (p < 0.001) by week 10. The PSQI score decreased 5.5 + 4.4 points for the Silexan group and 3.8 + 4.1 points for the placebo group (p=0.002). The reported rate of adverse events was 36.4% for the Silexan group and 32.1% for the placebo group. Of those deemed potentially attributable to the study medication, the most common were gastrointestinal related with dyspepsia and eructation. The authors noted Silexan to be superior to placebo in this study.

The small sample size and short duration of this study limit extrapolation. Also, similar to other studies the patient population was mostly female. Other psychiatric or neurological diseases were excluded, however the types of comorbid diseases participants may have had were not noted. The washout period, though brief, may have limited patients willing to participate since they would have to go without medication for 3 to 7 days, however placebo appears to have been given during this time. Lastly, the study possessed two primary outcomes which may increase the chance of a Type 1 error impacting the significance of the study results.

Kasper, et al. [15] examined lavender oil’s efficacy in reducing anxiety in a prospective, randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, placebo-controlled, multi-dose, per-protocol, multi-center trial. Five hundred and thirty-nine adult patients (ages 18 – 65) with GAD who scored ≥ 18 points on HAM-A and selected ≥ 2 items for “anxious mood” and “tension”, Additionally, a subscore of < 21 was needed for...
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Psychiatric and a Covi Anxiety Scale (CAS) score ≥ 9. Patients were recruited from 57 general and psychiatric practices in Germany. Additionally, patients had to score ≥ 2 points for HAM-A items of anxious mood and tension, a score of at least 21 for psychic anxiety, and a Covi Anxiety Scale score of ≥ 9. Exclusion criteria included patients taking psychotropic drugs or undergoing psychotherapy, patients with another DSM-IV-TR Axis I diagnosis within 6 months of study entry, predominant or severe depressive symptoms, risk of suicide, substance abuse, and schizophrenia. This study employed the use of a treatment free screening and a washout period of 3 to 7 days. Patients were then selected and randomized into either Silexan 160 mg (n=128), Silexan 80 mg (n=135), paroxetine 20 mg (n=137), or placebo (n=136) daily. Safety and efficacy were evaluated every 2 weeks for a total of 10 weeks. The primary outcome was a measured reduction in the HAM-A total score from baseline to the end of treatment. Secondary outcomes included response and remission assessed via HAM-A total scores, CAS, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAM-D) and the CGI. Safety was measured by patient reported adverse events, physical exams, and routine lab measurements. One hundred thirty patients in each treatment arm were needed to attain a power of 80% and detect a three point difference between Silexan and placebo for change in HAM-A total score versus baseline. An interim analysis was conducted and the one-sided a priori alpha was set at 0.0152. The trial was not powered to assess the change in HAM-A score for paroxetine versus Silexan or placebo.

Baseline characteristics were similar across all treatment arms, except patients in the Silexan 160 mg group had higher overall anxiety scores versus placebo (p <0.05). Overall, 75% of the patients were female and all but 2 Caucasian. Most of the patients had been suffering from GAD for about 2.5 years in all treatment groups. Silexan 160 mg/d was shown to be superior to placebo after 4 weeks of treatment with a mean difference to placebo of 2.6 points (p <0.001). After 6 weeks of treatment, a statistically significant difference was noted between Silexan 80 mg/d and placebo, with a mean difference of 2.3 points (p=0.02). The effect remained statistically significant until the end of treatment. While a decrease in the HAM-A score was noted with paroxetine versus placebo, statistical significance was not achieved at any measured point throughout the duration of the student. Similar treatment group differences were also noted across all secondary endpoints. The reported rate of adverse events was 25% for the Silexan 160 mg/day group, 34.8% for the Silexan 80 mg/day group, 40.9% in the paroxetine group, and 30.9% in the placebo group. The authors noted the most common adverse events were gastrointestinal, infection, and nervous system disorders, however the specific event was not given. The authors of this study concluded Silexan at both 160 mg/d and 80 mg/d was efficacious in reducing symptoms of anxiety in patients with GAD over a 10 week period.

The majority of the participants in this study were Caucasian women, limiting extrapolation to other populations. Patients taking other psychotropic medications were excluded from the study; however the authors provide no information regarding what medications they considered to be classified as a psychotropic. Additionally, while the authors did include a washout period of 3-7 days, depending on the medication the patient was discontinuing, this may not have permitted adequate time for the medications’ effects to subside. Lastly, the short duration of the study makes it difficult to determine if the differences seen between treatment arms would be noted long term.

Kasper, et al. [16] conducted a second study in Germany which was a 10-week, double-blind, multicenter, randomized trial comparing the efficacy of Silexan 80 mg daily to a matching placebo in patients suffering from anxiety with dominant symptoms of disturbed sleep and restlessness. Participants were included if they were between the ages of 18-65 years old and suffered from agitation and restlessness (per ICD criteria R45.1), while scoring ≥ 18 on the HAM-A. Additionally, they needed a minimum of two points each in the sections of “tension” and “insomnia”, as well as a score of ≥ 6 on the PSQI indicating disturbed sleep. Individuals with either psychiatric or neurological disorders, mild/moderate depression, personality disorders, insomnia, suicidal ideation, history of substance abuse, undergoing psychotherapy, or use of either psychotropic medications or muscle relaxants were excluded from this trial. The study’s co-primary outcomes were the absolute change in HAM-A score and PSQI score. Secondary outcomes included HAM-A sub scores, CGI score, SAS, and the State Check (SC) scale. One hundred fifty-six patients were needed to detect a 3 point mean value difference in the HAM-A scale and a 1.5 point mean value difference in the PSQI. Power was set at 80%.

One hundred and seventy patients were randomized to receive either Silexan (n=86) or placebo (n=84). Baseline demographics were similar between treatment arms and primarily consisted of Caucasian females considered “markedly or severely ill.” The total HAM-A score in the Silexan group decreased from an average of 25.5 + 6.0 points to 13.7 + 7.0 points. The placebo group decreased from 26.5 + 6.1 points to 16.9 + 9.8 points. From week 4 to week 10, a statistically significant difference was found between the two groups (p <0.05). Results regarding treatment effect on PSQI scores were not given. Authors stated superiority of Silexan versus placebo could not be determined for either HAM-A or PSQI based mostly on the small change noted for the PSQI total score (p=0.091). Statistical significance was not met for the primary outcome as a decrease in both the HAM-A and PSQI scores was required. Reported adverse events with Silexan use occurred in 10.5% of participants and included eructation, diarrhea, oral discomfort, and gastritis. No serious adverse events were seen with Silexan use. Although statistical significance was not achieved with the co-primary outcomes, the authors concluded Silexan showed anxiolytic impact, but did not improve patients sleep.

Limitations of this study included the large percentage of Caucasian females, limiting extrapolation to other populations. The authors did note the use of a self-developed scale to assess restlessness which has not been validated as of yet. In addition, information regarding participants’ comorbidities, lifestyle changes or events during the study period (exercise, smoking, tragedy, etc.), and concomitant medications (in addition to psychotropic medications and muscle relaxants) were not noted. This information would have been helpful in determining if confounders were present. Similar to other studies, this study was relatively small and of short duration making it difficult to determine long-term effects of Silexan treatment.

In another study, Kasper, et al., [17] compared the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of Silexan 80 mg (n=159) daily to placebo (n=156) over a 10-week period throughout multiple centers in Germany. This double-blind, randomized, parallel, multicenter trial included participants aged 18-65 years who had a diagnosis of Mixed Anxiety and Depressive Disorder (MADD) according to ICD-10 category F41.2. Participants had to possess a HAM-A score of ≥ 18 AND score ≥ 2 points for both HAM-A items of “anxious mood” and “depressed mood.” Patients who reported a history of suicidal attempts or “auto-aggressive” behavior, possessed ≥ 2 points under the “suicidal thoughts” item within the HAM-A score, possessed neurological or psychiatric diagnoses other than MADD, reported a history of substance abuse, or

were previously (within 30 days of randomization) or concomitantly undergoing psychotherapy or utilizing psychotropic medications, were excluded from the study.

The study’s two primary outcomes were the change in participants’ HAM-A score and Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) score. Secondary outcomes included total score (HAM-A and MADRS) reduction of ≥ 50% and a total score of < 10 points on the HAM-A AND < 10 points for MADRS, as these were considered remission, as well as State-trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), self-rating of anxiety, the self-rated Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), the CGI scale, the Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS), and the SF-36 health survey questionnaire. A sample size of 157 patients in each treatment group was needed to detect a mean value difference of 3 points and provide 90% power for the first primary outcome and 81% power for the second primary outcome. One sided alpha error was set a priori at 0.0152. Participants’ baseline demographics were similar between treatment arms and consisted predominantly of Caucasian women. Almost 40% of participants were either current smokers or ex-smokers and approximately 7% drank alcohol more than twice weekly. The study states participants’ length of MADD diagnosis, comorbidities, alcohol use, concomitant medication use, and smoking statuses were similar between treatment arms, but no p-values were provided.

The HAM-A total score decreased 10.8 ± 9.6 points for the Silexan group and 8.4 ± 8.9 points for the placebo group at 10 weeks (p = 0.02). The MADRS total score decreased 9.2 ± 9.9 points for the Silexan group and 6.1 ± 7.6 points for the placebo group at 10 weeks (p < 0.01). Per the authors, a statistically significant difference was noted from week 4 until the end of the study for change in both the HAM-A and MADRS total score. Adverse effects deemed to be potentially related to the study medication included eructation (10% vs 0%), headache (2.5% vs 5.7%), diarrhea (1.3% vs 2.5%), and nausea (1.9% vs 0.6%). The authors concluded that Silexan showed not only an anxiolytic effect, but an antidepressant effect, making it efficacious in patients suffering from MADD.

Limitations include confounding factors such as tobacco/alcohol use, exercise, and/or other lifestyle modifications or events that may impact participants’ anxiety levels were not noted. Additionally, psychotropic medication use was not permitted during the study, but further mention of other medications and/or comorbid conditions was not noted. Lastly, this study also employed two primary outcomes, allowing the chance of a Type 1 error to increase.

Discussion

Based on the above studies, lavender essential oil may have a role in the management of chronic anxiety disorders such as GAD. One of the strengths of the studies is that each used the same lavender oil formulation, Silexan, which is an approved formulation in Germany. However, since this formulation is not approved for use in the United States, it is difficult to know if different formulations would produce similar effects. Additionally, the supplement was given orally in all studies, and it is unknown if lavender oil is as effective as SSRIs or benzodiazepines, particularly long term. Overall, lavender oil in a standardized capsule dosage form does appear to be more effective for the management of anxiety than placebo based on the evidence presented.

The reviewed studies did have several limitations. Patients with concomitant diagnosed mental disorders were excluded from each of these studies. However, within the general population, many patients suffer from more than one mental illness diagnosis. Additionally, all studies had a brief washout period prior to study start. This requirement could have affected the types of patients who wished to participate in the study. Those with more severe anxiety may not have been willing to discontinue their current medication for even a short period of time. It should also be noted that none of the studies were longer than 10 weeks. Since anxiety disorders often persist over many years, the efficacy of oral lavender essential oil long term is unknown. Four of the 5 studies were conducted by the same investigators, so bias cannot be excluded.

Lavender oil when taken orally had few adverse effects with the most common being gastrointestinal related. However, potential adverse effects would be expected to vary dependent on the dosage form utilized. According to Natural Medicines Database, various other adverse effects have been noted when lavender essential oils have been utilized for various indications, including case reports of gynecomastia in young children utilizing a topical lavender oil formulation. According to the review by Kasper et al., lavender oil in the gel capsule dosage form (Silexan) does not appear to affect the cytochrome enzyme system nor plasma levels of an oral contraceptive containing ethinyl estradiol and levonorgestrel. None of the studies reviewed examined potential drug interactions with lavender oil, though medications for the treatment of various chronic disease states were allowed.

Conclusions

Based on available evidence, oral lavender essential oil may be effective in the management of chronic anxiety. However, since a standard dosage form is not approved for use in the United States, it is unknown if other dosage forms would produce similar results.
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Table 1. Summary for the primary outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study duration</th>
<th>Number of participants</th>
<th>Change in HAMA score with oral lavender essential oil (points)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wroeck, et al. [13]</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>11.3 ± 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasper, et al. [14]</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>16.0 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasper, et al. [15]</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>(160 mg/d) 14.1 ± 9.3 (80 mg/d) 12.8 ± 8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasper, et al. [16]</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>12.0 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasper, et al. [17]</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>10.8 ± 9.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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