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Abstract

Despite progressive improvements in pregnancy-related health care in high-income countries and the concomitant decline in maternal mortality, heart failure (HF) remains a principal cause of non-obstetric maternal deaths in women with a pre-existing or undiagnosed cardiac disease. Although a structurally and functionally normal heart tolerates pregnancy-related physiological stress, the presence of cardiac diseases can deteriorate cardiac function leading to HF (the inability of the heart to function as a pump). With increasing ageing obstetric population, obesity, immigration and survival to adulthood of babies operated for congenital heart disease, the need to identify women at risk of developing heart failure in pregnancy (HFP) and to plan their careful management will also inevitable increase. Thus, in pursuit of safe motherhood, there is a need for a better understanding of aetiology, risk factors, pathophysiology, diagnosis and management of HFP. In the present paper, we review published evidence on HFP to advance the understanding of its clinical status as well as to highlight areas of limited knowledge that could benefit from additional research.

Abbreviations: ACE-I: Angiotensin Converting Enzyme – Inhibitors; AHA: American Heart Association; ARBs: Angiotensin Receptor Blockers; BACH: Boston Adult Congenital Heart; BP: Blood Pressure; bpm: Beats per minute; CARPREG: Cardiac Disease in Pregnancy; CEMACH: Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths; CHD: Congenital Heart Disease; CO: Cardiac Output; CT: Computed Tomography; CV: Cardiovascular; CVD: Cardiovascular Diseases; DCM: Dilated Cardiomyopathy; ESC: European Society of Cardiology; HF: Heart Failure; HFP: Heart Failure in Pregnancy; LA: Left Atrium; LV: Left Ventricular; MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging; NYHA: New York Heart Association; PH: Pulmonary Hypertension; PO: Pulmonary Oedema; PPCM: Peripartum Cardiomyopathy; RV: Right Ventricular; SVR: Systemic Vascular Resistance; WHO: World Health Organization; ZAHARA: Zwangerschap bij Aangeboren HARt Afwijkingen (Pregnancy in women with CHD II risk index).

Introduction

Non-obstetric causes of maternal deaths account for a significant proportion of pregnancy-related mortality in both developed and developing countries [1,2]. Globally, they account for ~25% of deaths in Europe and the United States with considerably higher rates in Southern Asia (~29.3%) and Sub-Saharan Africa (~28.6%) [3]. In particular, previously known or undiagnosed cardiac diseases complicate about 1 to 4% of pregnancies [4]. Physiological stress during pregnancy and the peripartum period may precipitate or exacerbate pre-existing cardiac diseases leading to deterioration in ventricular myocardial function and depressed cardiac output that is insufficient to satisfy the body’s metabolic demands [1]. Thus, a working knowledge about normal morphological and functional changes in pregnancy is critical for timeous recognition of cardiac diseases in the management of affected pregnant women [4]. Moreover, familiarity with treatment of commonly encountered cardiac diseases during and after pregnancy, and contraindicated HF medication is becoming increasingly important in the pursuit of safe motherhood. This review therefore appraises published evidence on the aetiology, risk factors, aetiology, pathophysiology, diagnosis and clinical management of HFP.

Definition

The American Heart Association (AHA) [5] defines HF as a complex clinical syndrome resulting from any structural and/or functional impairment of ventricular filling or ejection of blood. The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) further characterizes HF as having typical symptoms of breathlessness, ankle swelling and fatigue that may be accompanied by signs of elevated jugular pressure, pulmonary crackles, and peripheral oedema, which leads to reduced cardiac output (CO) [6]. Although HF may result from a diversity of pathologies including disorders of the pericardium, myocardium, endocardium, heart valves or great vessels or from certain metabolic or congenital abnormalities, many patients with HF usually exhibit symptoms due to impaired left ventricular (LV) myocardial function [5,6]. During pregnancy, anatomic and hemodynamic perturbations impose a physiological stress on the cardiovascular system, which in some women, may exacerbate pre-existing cardiovascular conditions such as hypertension or precipitate the development of new cardiovascular conditions such as cardiomyopathy, which if unattended may progress into HFP [7]. Thus, in this context, HFP may be defined as a new onset of HF during pregnancy and up to six months postpartum in the absence of any other known cause.
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Epidemiology

Accurate epidemiological data on maternal deaths are available in a few countries that have pursued statutory notification of all maternal deaths [8]. In the United Kingdom (UK), the Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths (CEMACH) reported an increase in the overall rate of mortality due to cardiac causes from 7.3 per million births in the 1982-1984 triennium [9] to 22.7 per million births in the 2003-2005 triennium [10]. A greater majority of this increase is attributed to acquired heart diseases, which accounted for 4.7 per million births to 20.8 per million births within the two triennia respectively [9,10].

Maternal mortality rates per 100,000 live births in the U.K. are in single digits [11]. By contrast, South African data, also accumulated based on statutory and confidential enquiry, reports maternal mortality rates of 179 per 100,000 live births. While the HIV related mortality (40% of all deaths) dominate the South African data, medical and surgical disorders account for 8.8%, which is the fourth leading cause of all deaths after HIV, haemorrhagic and hypertensive deaths [12]. Cardiac deaths account for 36.5% of deaths due to medical and surgical disorders [11].

In the U.S., post hoc analysis of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) 2001-2011 data on 50 million pregnancy-related hospitalization reports the overall incidence rate of HFP of 112 per 100,000 pregnancy-related hospitalizations. Of these, ~60% of HFP occurred postpartum, 27.3% during delivery and 13.2% during antepartum [13]. Genetic and social variations also influence incidence of HFP. In the US, significant disparities have been identified in the incidences of HFP secondary to peripartum cardiomyopathy (PPCM): African Americans (1: 1,421); Asians (1: 2.675); Caucasians (1: 4.075); and Hispanic origin (1: 9.861) [14]. In terms of the underlying disease, hypertensive heart disease is the most prevalent complicating ~2 to 8% of all pregnancies in the western world, predominantly in Latin America and the Caribbean, in which the disease accounts for 25% of all maternal deaths [15]. By contrast, in developing countries, rheumatic heart disease is predominant but rare in the Western world [16].

Risk factors

Women with pre-existing cardiac diseases are at an increased risk of developing HFP. In these women, it is recommended to have a preconception discussion on contraception and on the impact of the disease on pregnancy [8]. Women with New York Heart Association (NYHA) Functional Class III or IV have a mortality rate ≥ 7% and morbidity rate >30% during pregnancy, and thus, pregnancy is usually not advisable in these women [4]. The most common cardiac complication frequently detected in pregnant women include arrhythmias, thromboembolic events and HF [17]. Early clinical trials investigating the risk of cardiac complications in pregnancy identified NYHA functional class and cyanosis as important risk factors [18-20]. At present, several pregnancy risk indices assessing the likelihood of developing cardiac complications have been developed based on large cohorts of pregnant women [21-27].

Pregnancy risk indices

Cardiac Disease in Pregnancy (CARPREG) investigators [21] developed the initial prospective 4-item pregnancy risk index based on clinical outcomes in women diagnosed with congenital and/or acquired heart diseases: prior cardiac events, NYHA functional class > II or cyanosis, left heart obstruction and systemic/sub-aortic ventricular systolic dysfunction (Table 1). The Boston Adult Congenital Heart (BACH) group investigated outcomes of women with congenital heart disease, an in addition to the CARPREG risk factors, identified smoking history and decreased sub-pulmonary ventricular function and/or severe pulmonary regurgitation [22]. ZAHARA (Pregnancy in women with CHD II risk index) investigators [23] developed an expanded 8-item weighted pregnancy risk score (Table 2).

Recently, the updated ESC guidelines on management of cardiovascular diseases (CVD) during pregnancy created lesion-specific risk factors based on modified World Health Organization (WHO) classification of cardiac lesions [28] (Table 3), which is now a widely used tool for pregnancy risk prediction in several studies [29]. The WHO classifies cardiac lesion into four: low (I), medium (II), high (III) and lesion contraindicated in pregnancy (IV) [30].

Despite remarkable improvement in the understanding of pregnancy risk factors in women with undiagnosed or known HF including the development of risk indices, clinical judgment remains a critical aspect of risk stratification. In practice, other pregnancy risk indices and/or symptoms are considered. For example, a history of prior arrhythmia or other cardiac event should modify the risk associated with the pregnancy risk index score. In addition, women with certain prior valvular diseases should be considered for early delivery. Other factors that modify the risk of pregnancy in women with congenital heart disease include: the underlying cardiac lesion, the period of pregnancy, and the mother’s age at delivery.

Table 1. CARPREG pregnancy risk index for cardiac complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor (1 point each)</th>
<th>Total points</th>
<th>Risk for cardiac complications (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior event (arrhythmia/ stroke/HF)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA Class &gt; II or cyanosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left heart obstruction</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic ventricular dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HF: Heart Failure; NYHA: New York Heart Association

Table 2. ZAHARA pregnancy risk index for cardiac complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Weighted points</th>
<th>Total points</th>
<th>Risk of cardiac complication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior arrhythmias</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA Class &gt; 2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.5-1.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left heart obstruction</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.5-2.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac medication at baseline</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>2.5-3.5</td>
<td>43.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic AV valve regurgitation</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>&gt; 3.51</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-pulmonary AV valve regurgitation</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical valve prosthesis</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanotic heart disease</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AV: Aortievenous; NYHA: New York Heart Association

Table 3. The ESC (Modified WHO) pregnancy risk prediction model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHO class</th>
<th>Details of risk factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Uncomplicated/mild pulmonary stenosis; patent ductus arteriosus; mitral valve prolapse; unsuccessful repaired simple lesions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II (if well and uncomplicated)</td>
<td>Unrepaired atrial/ventricular septal defect; unrepaired tetralogy of Fallot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-III (depends on individual)</td>
<td>Mild LV impairment; native/tissue valvular heart disease (not considered in class I and IV); Marfan syndrome without aortic dilation; aorta &lt; 45 mm with bicuspid aortic valve disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Mechanical valve; systemic RV; Fontan circulation; unrepaired cyanosis; other complex congenital heart disease; aortic dilation 40-45 mm in Marfan syndrome; aortic dilation 45-50 mm in bicuspid aortic valve disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV (Pregnancy Contraindicated)</td>
<td>PPH from any cause; severe systemic ventricular dysfunction (LVFE&lt;30%, NYHA III-IV); severe mitral stenosis; severe symptomatic aortic stenosis; Marfan syndrome + aorta dilated &gt; 45 mm; aorta dilation &gt; 50 mm in aortic valve disease associated with bicuspid aortic valve; native severe coarctation of the aorta.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LV: Left Ventricular; LVFE: Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction; PPH: Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension; RV: Right Ventricular; NYHA: New York Heart Association: Adapted from the ESC guidelines on the management of CVD in pregnancy [28]
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variables either unknown or not well described in literature whose effect on maternofoetal outcomes have not been captured in current pregnancy risk indices may require assessment by obstetricians and cardiologists with experience in pregnancy [17].

**Obstetric and perinatal risks**

In addition to pregnancy risk factors, obstetric and perinatal outcome have risks that may also precipitate or exacerbate HF and associated adverse outcomes in pregnancy. About 32% of women with congenital heart disease are at risk of adverse obstetric complications including pre-term delivery, premature rupture of membranes and postpartum haemorrhage [29]. Cyanotic heart disease in pregnancy has been associated with miscarriages and low-birth rates in 43% of all pregnancies, and haemorrhaging during delivery [31], while coarctation of the aorta has been associated with increased risk for hypertension, preeclampsia, and HF [32]. On the other hand, risk factors for perinatal complications include poor maternal NYHA functional class, left heart obstruction, maternal age < 20 or > 35 years, multiple gestations, smoking during pregnancy and anticoagulant therapy [26]. Perinatal risks may be further increased by the presence of obstetric risks such as history of premature delivery, rupture of membranes, caesarean delivery, ante partum haemorrhaging > 12 weeks gestation, and febrile illness or uterine/placental abnormalities [17,26].

**Aetiology**

Several cardiovascular pathologies that may HFP can be classified into two main categories: (a) pregnancy-specific aetiologies of HF – preeclampsia, peripartum cardiomyopathy and amniotic fluid embolism; and (b) non-pregnancy related aetiologies that may become co-morbid diseases and complicate pregnancy [17].

**Pregnancy-specific causes**

**Preeclampsia:** The South African statutory and confidential enquiry on maternal mortality reports that pulmonary oedema (PO) caused by preeclampsia together with cerebrovascular haemorrhage is the leading cause of hypertensive maternal deaths [12]. In pregnant women, preeclampsia may cause hyper-dynamic circulation and increase LV contractile function [33]. Raised systemic vascular resistance (SVR) may increase left atrium (LA) filling pressures, and in combination with intravenous fluid administration, increases the risk of developing PO in the setting of diastolic dysfunction [34]. The LV has poor tolerance of intravenous fluid load leading to a rapid rise in left side filling pressure in the absence of similar observable changes in the right heart [33,35]. Although a mild impairment of the systolic function may be seen in severe preeclampsia, in most cases it is transient [17].

**Peripartum cardiomyopathy:** Peripartum cardiomyopathy (PPCM) is a leading cause of HFP during the last month of pregnancy and up to six months after pregnancy in women without known CVD characterized by depressed LV systolic function (LVEF < 45%) [36,37]. Typically, but not always, PPCM is a form of dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) that develops in the absence of any other identifiable cause of HF [38]. Its risk factors include older maternal age, multifetal gestations, and hypertensive disorders [39], obese and multiparous women with preeclampsia aged > 30 years [36]. The mechanism through which PPCM leads to HF is partially understood but myocyte apoptosis, inflammatory changes inducing oxidative stress and development of auto-immunity to proteins of cardiac origin have been suggested as the causative mechanisms [40-42]. Association between PPCM, preeclampsia and multiparity linked with an imbalance in angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors, suggest that this mechanism may be one of the pathways leading to the development of cardiomyopathy [43].

**Amniotic fluid embolism:** Amniotic fluid embolism is an uncommon obstetric labour complication where amniotic fluid and/or foetal squames enter the maternal bloodstream triggering an acute onset of a syndrome marked by cardiovascular collapse, PO, seizure activity, and bleeding diathesis [44]. Although the mechanisms leading to HF are poorly understood, the release of large amounts of amniotic fluid and foetal squames into the maternal circulation triggers acute pulmonary hypertension (PH) followed by LV failure that needs inotropic support for a protracted period. Arrhythmias are also common in amniotic fluid embolism [44].

**Non-pregnancy related causes:** Non-pregnancy related causes of HF might be classified in terms of the main causative mechanism: (a) diseases increasing vascular resistance; (b) aortic root pathologies; and (c) heart disease itself due to obstruction or ventricular failure/ congenital cardiac abnormalities and proximal vasculature [17].

**Diseases increasing vascular resistance:** Diseases that lead to an increase in vascular resistance include hypertensive cardiomyopathy and pulmonary congestion and right heart failure. Hypertensive cardiomyopathy may cause diastolic dysfunction and together with increased pregnancy-related preload predisposes some women to mild PO during 32-34 week gestation when plasma volume peaks [45,46]. Chronic hypertension may also increase the risk of preeclampsia, placental abruption, restricted foetal growth and pre-term birth [47]. PH and right HF may initially present with signs of exertional dyspnoea, weakness and recurrent syncope followed by signs of right HF such as increased jugular venous pressure, loud second heart sound, hepatomegaly and peripheral oedema. Primary PH increases the risk of acute right HF after delivery and may precipitate sudden cardiac death [48].

**Aortic pathologies**

Aortic pathologies may be classified into medical disorders associated with the risk of dissection such as inflammatory diseases of the aorta such as aortic arch syndrome and atherosclerotic disease. Marfan syndrome and Takayasu’s arteritis are the two common aortic arch syndrome and atherosclerotic diseases presenting during pregnancy [49]. Arterial dissection and acute aortic regurgitation are common acute manifestation of Marfan’s syndrome during pregnancy especially in women with dilated aortic root [50].

**Heart diseases**

Heart diseases that can cause HFP include disorders causing ventricular failure, valvular lesions, and congenital abnormalities affecting the heart and proximal vasculature [17]. Cardiomyopathies and ischemic heart disease are common causes of LV failure during pregnancy, and in particular, cardiomyopathies are a principal cause of maternal deaths both during and after pregnancy [25,51]. Besides PPCM, which is a pregnancy-specific cause of HF, other cardiomyopathies such as hereditary, drug-induced, autoimmune and infective cardiomyopathies can lead to ventricular failure and HFP [52]. Hereditary cardiomyopathies – hypertrophic, dilated and RV arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathies represent genetic mutations in the cardiac sarcomere, myocyte and desmosomes respectively. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is well tolerated in pregnancy in the absence of related symptoms. It may manifest as increased LV wall diameter that is not accounted for by co-occurring hypertension or valvular disease [53,54]. Pregnancy complicated by DCM is predicted by the severity of
pregnancy symptoms. RV arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy increases the risk of sudden cardiac death, which is not altered by pregnancy [55].

Drug-induced cardiomyopathy may also lead to the development of HFP. Drugs such as alcohol, cocaine, amphetamines, methamphetamine, catholamine, ephedrine, zidovudine, chloroquine, cyclophosphamide and certain antimitotic drugs used during pregnancy are rarely regarded as a cause of acute HF but may potentially induce cardiomyopathy [17]. Ischemic heart disease on the other hand, is an uncommon complication in pregnancy. Usually, the disease presents with symptoms of ischemia rather than HF; and commonly, the major risk to the mother is death due to acute myocardial infarction [17].

Besides the loss of LV function, valvular lesions such as post rheumatic valvular heart disease may result in PO during pregnancy and ultimately HF. Hyperdynamic circulation and increased plasma volume during pregnancy, which peaks at 34 weeks gestation, may precipitate PO. Increased pulse rate further aggravated by labour pain leads to decreased LV filling times in turn increasing the risk of HF in women with stenotic mitral valve disease. In addition, arrhythmia and co-morbidities such as anaemia, hypertension and thyroid disease all increase the risk of PO in women with valve disease. Arrhythmias, obstetric haemorrhage are complications of valvular diseases [25].

Finally, uncorrected CHD rarely complicates pregnancy or lead to HF during pregnancy. However, uncorrected Marfan syndrome with aortic root dilation and Eisenmenger syndrome carry the greatest risk of death in pregnant women due to dissection or HF [56]. Congenital stenosed valves, cyanotic heart disease without PH, systemic RV or Fontan circulation carry a moderate risk of HF while septal defects and coarctation carry the lowest risk of HF [57].

Physiology during pregnancy

Pregnancy induces major hemodynamic alterations necessary to meet progressively increasing maternofoetal metabolic demands. These hemodynamic alterations include increases in blood volume, stroke volume, and reduction in SVR and blood pressure. Table 4 provides a summary of major hemodynamic perturbations at different stages of pregnancy (normal pregnancy and during layout and delivery) and postpartum [4].

Hemodynamic alterations in pregnancy begins in the fifth lasting to the eight week of gestation because of systemic vasodilation to reach its peak in the late second trimester. In women with pre-existing cardiac disease, cardiac decompenstation coincides with this peak. Plasma volume reaches a maximum of 40-50% above baseline at 24 weeks gestation. The increase in plasma is greater than the increase in red blood cells leading to a decrease in haemoglobin concentration to create the perception of anaemia during pregnancy [4]. Cardiac output (CO) also rises to a maximum of 30 to 50% above baseline, peaks towards the end of the second trimester and then plateaus until delivery. Mechanisms leading to increased CO include increased plasma volume; decreased afterload due to reduced SVR; and increasing maternal heart rate by 10 to 15 bpm [58,59]. Stroke volume on the other hand increases in the first and second trimester before decreasing in the third trimester due to uterine compression of the inferior vena cava. By the end of the second trimester, blood pressure falls by ~10 mm Hg below baseline because of a reduction in SVR, and the addition of new uterine and placential blood vessels [8].

Uterine contractions, positioning (left lateral/supine), pain, anxiety, exertion, haemorrhaging, and uterine involution result in significant haemodynamic alterations during labour and the postpartum period. In addition, anaesthesia, analgesia, haemorrhage and infection increase cardiovascular stress. Each uterine contraction ejects 300 to 500 mL of blood into the general circulation. An increase in stroke volume leads to a rise on CO by 15% in early labour, 25% during stage 1, by 50% during expulsive efforts and up to 80% in the early post-partum because of auto-transfusion associated with uterine involution and resorption of leg oedema [60]. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure increases by 15-25% and 10-15% respectively during uterine contractions [28]. Maternal pain and anxiety contributes to an increase in mean arterial pressure. Hemodynamic stress also increases because of blood loss during delivery, about 300-400 mL for vaginal delivery and 500-800 mL for caesarean section [4]. During the postpartum period, relief of the compressed inferior vena cava causes an increase in venous return, in turn increasing CO causing brisk diuresis. The hemodynamic changes revert to pregnancy baseline within 2-4 weeks after vaginal delivery and 4-6 weeks after caesarean delivery [4].

Diagnosis

Clinical assessment: Signs and symptoms resulting from hemodynamic changes often complicate cardiac assessment of the pregnant patient. Common symptoms of pregnancy such as breathlessness and fatigue mimic those of HF. Clinical signs of mild dependent oedema, minimally raised jugular venous pressure, collapsing pulses and ejection systolic murmur are common in pregnancy as well as in HF [61,62]. However, some signs and symptoms that may be abnormal during pregnancy assist in cardiac assessment for HFP. These signs and symptoms include extreme breathlessness, significant oedema, fourth heart sound, diastolic murmurs, jugular venous pressure > 2 cm and persistent tachycardia > 100 bpm. Any one of these signs and symptoms should prompt further clinical evaluation for HFP [63].

Diagnostic tests: The ESC Guidelines on the management of CVD during pregnancy recommend the diagnosis of HFP should be based on the combination of careful assessment of the patient's history, physical examination and cardiac imaging [28].

History and physical examination: Taking a careful personal and family history can help clinician to identify many cardiac disorders including cardiomyopathies, Marfan syndrome, congenital heart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemodynamic variable</th>
<th>Change during normal pregnancy</th>
<th>Change during labour and delivery</th>
<th>Change during postpartum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasma volume</td>
<td>↑ 50% to 50%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓ Auto-diuresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td>↑ 10 to 15 bpm</td>
<td>↑ Additional 50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac output</td>
<td>↑ 30% to 50% &gt; baseline</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
<td>↓ 10 mmHg</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke volume</td>
<td>↑ 1st-2nd</td>
<td>3rd Trimester</td>
<td>↑ 300-500 mL per contraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic vascular resistance</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Pushpalatha et al. [4]
disease, juvenile sudden death or Brugada syndrome [5,6]. Patient history should provide specific information about possible sudden deaths in the family. The assessment of dyspnoea is important for diagnosis and prognosis of valvular lesions and HF. A thorough physical examination that considers hemodynamic changes taking place during pregnancy is mandatory for the diagnosis of HF. Physical examination should include auscultation for new murmurs, changes in murmurs and signs of HF. The presence of dyspnoea during pregnancy or new pathological murmur indicates the need for echocardiography assessment. Physical examination should also include measurement for the blood pressure as well as evaluation for proteinuria in patients with a family history of hypertension or preeclampsia. Finally, oximetry should be considered in patients with CHD [28].

Electrocardiography: Electrocardiography (ECG) is a very common and useful diagnostic tool throughout pregnancy for assessing women with complaints of chest pain or arrhythmias. Usually, a majority of pregnant women have normal ECG. During pregnancy, the heart is rotated towards the left and surface ECG shows 15-20 left axis deviation. Common ECG findings in pregnant women include transient ST segment and T wave changes, Q wave due to diaphragmatic elevation, inverted T waves in leads III, attenuated Q wave in lead AVF and inverted T waves in leads V1, V2 and occasionally V3. These ECG changes can be associated with a gradual change in cardiac position and may mimic LV hypertrophy and other structural heart disease [28]. Holter monitoring is indicated in patients with known paroxysmal or persistent arrhythmia such as ventricular tachycardia, atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter or those reporting symptoms of palpitations [5].

Echocardiography: After the ECG, echocardiography is the most common investigation for cardiac function. The modality does not involve exposure to radiation (it is based on ultrasound) and can be repeated as often as needed throughout pregnancy [5]. Echocardiography is able to measure cardiocirculatory indexes during pregnancy and after delivery such as increases in LV end diastolic/systolic dimensions [64]. In the late 2nd and 3rd trimester, the LV assumes a more globular shape with a drop in LV longitudinal function and strain because of the late rise in afterload due to increase in SVR [65,66]. Pregnancy-related changes affect the severity of valvular lesions on echocardiography assessment. When measuring valve regurgitation, it is important to recognize that extra volume load and heart rate in pregnancy can cause an increase in tricuspid regurgitation without any change in valve function [8]. With no standardized measures, it is important for cardiologist to take serial scans and look for trends in valve pathology and analysed together with clinical assessment [28].

Other imaging tests: Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may be useful for diagnosing complex heart diseases and/or pathologies of the aorta [67]. It is recommended when other diagnostic imaging modalities such as transthoracic or transesophageal echocardiography produce findings that do not support a definitive diagnosis. Despite limited available MIR data, the modality is probably safe especially after the first trimester. However, since gadolinium is assumed to breach the foetal blood-placental barrier and the long-term risk of the developing foetus to exposure to gadolinium ions remains unknown, its use should be avoided during pregnancy [68,69]. Computed tomography (CT) is usually unnecessary in the diagnosis of HFP. Since it involves ionising radiation, its use should be avoided during pregnancy. An exception is indication for CT for accurate diagnosis or definitive exclusion of pulmonary embolism [70]. Due to ionising radiation, cardiac catheterization is also not recommended during pregnancy, but if required such as in the case of emergency pacing, radiation dose should be minimized as much as possible and radiation shielding should be used across the abdomen to reduce foetal exposure [70]. Similarly, chest radiograph should be avoided during pregnancy. However, it is only recommended when other imaging modalities not using ionising radiation fail to determine the cause of dyspnoea, cough or other symptoms [71].

Exercise testing: Prior to and during pregnancy, cardiopulmonary exercise testing is useful for objective evaluation of functional capacity, BP response and exercise-induced arrhythmias. It is an important part of the follow-up program of adults with CHD and those with asymptomatic valvular heart diseases [72,73]. The ESC guidelines of CVD in pregnancy recommends exercise testing in women with known heart disease prior to pregnancy to assist in risk assessment. Submaximal exercise tests should reach 80% of the predicted maximal heart rate in asymptomatic patients with suspected CVD. Semi-recumbent cycle ergometry is the most comfortable modality but treadmill walking or upright cycle ergometry are also useful [28]. Stress echocardiography using cycle ergometry may provide additional diagnostic specificity in detecting the presence and the extent of ischaemia in high-risk patients with possible coronary artery disease. Stress echocardiography may also be useful preconception for assessing myocardial reserve in patients with prior PPCM and recovered LV function as well as in patients with other cardiomyopathies, valvular or CHD [72,73].

Clinical management: Clinical management of HFP largely relies on the individual assessment of a patient’s symptoms and hemodynamic changes to select the most appropriate treatment strategy. The ESC further recommends that clinical management of HFP should consider physiological changes occurring during pregnancy that can affect absorption, excretion and bioavailability of drugs. Changes such as increased intravascular blood volume may require higher dosage of drugs to achieve therapeutic plasma concentrations and dose adaptation needed during treatment. Raised renal perfusion and hepatic metabolism increase drug clearance. Altered pharmacokinetics of drugs also vary in magnitude during different stages of pregnancy requiring careful monitoring and dose adjustment [28].

Pharmacotherapy: Pharmacological therapy of pregnant women with cardiac lesion including management of HFP and the possible maternofoetal effect of medication have been recently published in dedicated book series of Current Cardiovascular Therapy titled, “Cardiac Drugs in Pregnancy”. Depending on the severity of symptoms and the risk to mother’s life, traditional HF medication maybe indicated in some women with HFP [74].

Diuretics are usually the first line of medical therapy for most women with HFP. Although there are concerns that diuretics might reverse or limit normal pregnancy-related physiological changes, there is no evidence that this drug is an independent risk factor for limiting foetal growth. Using diuretics in symptomatic HFP due to increased preload complicated by LV dysfunction may be justified as first line pharmacotherapy. Diuretics therapy may also be uses in HFP when ventricular dysfunction is the cause of PO [75]. On the other hand, combined arterial and venous vasodilator such as nitroglycerin may be beneficial in increasing venous capacitance in acute HFP women with hypertension caused by preeclampsia complicated by both LV systolic failure and renal failure [28].

Angiotensin converting enzymes inhibitors (ACE-I) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) intercept the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone axis resulting in natriuresis, decreased intravascular
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Having serious neurological impairment, caesarean delivery may be considered prior to cardiopulmonary bypass if gestational age is > 26 weeks but when gestational age ≥ 28 weeks, delivery before surgery should be considered [84].

**Treating reversible causes:** Reversible diseases that may cause or aggravate HFP include anaemia, overt or occult infection and hyperthyroidism. These diseases are reversible and should be managed on their own merit. In particular, hyperthyroidism in the puerperium is often subclinical and usually under-diagnosed yet it may affect up to 5% of women [85]. Other infections such as urinary infection, frequently leads to sepsis during pregnancy and in the setting of the risk of genital tract sepsis after delivery requires identification and prompt treatment [28].

**Meta-analysis of diagnosis and safety of HF medication during pregnancy:** Definitive diagnosis of HFP is challenging because signs and symptoms due to pregnancy-related physiological stress mimics that of HF. Diagnosis begins with careful assessment of the patient’s history and physical examination, ECG tests and finally cardiac imaging. In addition to diagnosis of HF, determination of the underlying cardiac disease is important to inform treatment if the cause is reversible [28]. After diagnosis, medical therapy is usually the first-line of treatment. Medical therapy in HFP relies on the traditional HF medication such as diuretics, ACE-I/ARBs and beta-blockers, which have proven safe and efficacious in non-pregnant HF patients. Whereas the safety of diuretics in pregnancy has been demonstrated, evidence on foetal safety after exposure to ACE-I remains inconclusive because of the potential to reverse or limit pregnancy-related hemodynamic changes and reduce uterine and placental perfusion. In the present meta-analysis, we evaluate diagnosis and factors complicating HFP, and the safety of ACE-I therapy on foetal outcomes.

**Methods**

**Study search and inclusion**

Online databases PubMed EMBASE and Cochrane were searched for studies investigating the safety of cardiac MRI or HF medication on maternofoetal outcomes from inception to January 2019. Manual search for additional studies was also performed by reviewing references of included studies and relevant review articles and published systematic reviews. The search and eligibility criteria included population in interest (pregnant women with known or undiagnosed cardiac conditions), exposure or interest (cardiac MRI or ACE-I therapy during pregnancy), and outcomes of interest (major foetal malformations). There was no restriction based on language, country, publication year, study design or duration of follow-up. Conference abstracts, case reports and review articles were excluded.

**Study selection and data abstraction**

Figure 1 shows the process of study selection and inclusion. Two reviewers independently screened all the titles retrieved from online search and bibliography review and then screened abstract and full-texts of all the eligible studies. All the studies that met the eligibility criteria were included in this meta-analysis. Any discrepancy in the inclusion of studies was resolved through consensus. The two reviewers also extracted relevant data from all the included studies. Only information from published data was collected on first author, year, study design, number of participants, mean age, HF medication used and foetal outcomes (Tables 5-6).
Table 5. Study design, patient characteristics and outcomes/complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st author (year)</th>
<th>Study design, country year</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Mean age</th>
<th>Patient selection criteria</th>
<th>Outcomes/ complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Siu (2001) [21]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort, Canada, 1994-1999</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>28±6</td>
<td>Pregnant women with congenital or acquired cardiac lesions or cardiac arrhythmias</td>
<td>Pulmonary oedema, arrhythmia, stroke, or cardiac death in 13% of pregnancies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langford (2009) [86]</td>
<td>Prospective Cohort, London UK, 2004-2007</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Referred pregnant women with known or suspected cardiac disease</td>
<td>Cardiac symptoms/signs without known heart disease are unlikely to represent high-risk cardiac disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nqayana (2008) [87]</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort, South Africa, 2007-2008</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>21-25</td>
<td>Pregnant women admitted with cardiac disease</td>
<td>Cardiac disease in pregnancy is associated with high maternal morbidity and adverse foetal outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaghoubi (2013) [88]</td>
<td>Cross sectional, Iran, 2007-2012</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>29±4</td>
<td>Pregnant women with significant cardiac diseases admitted for labour at &gt; 28 weeks gestation</td>
<td>Prolonged hospital stay, maternal and neonatal mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indira (2015) [89]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort, India, 2006-2007</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Women with cardiac diseases complicating pregnancy</td>
<td>Pregnancy complications included congestive HF, PH, atrial fibrillation and PO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruys (2014) [90]</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort (ROPAC) 2007-2011</td>
<td>1321</td>
<td>30±6</td>
<td>Pregnant women with suspected structural heart diseases</td>
<td>HF is common at end of 2nd trimester/ postpartum, resulting from PH, cardiomyopathy, preeclampsia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yassin (2015) [91]</td>
<td>Cross-sectional, Sudan, 2011-2012</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>30±6</td>
<td>Pregnant women with heart diseases presenting to the hospital ± complications</td>
<td>CHF, arrhythmias, pulmonary embolism, and PO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saeza (2017) [92]</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort India, 2014-2016</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Pregnant women with known or suspected cardiac diseases ≥28 weeks gestation</td>
<td>Sustained tachyarrhythmia or bradycardia followed by PO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hossinzadeh (2018) [93]</td>
<td>Cross-sectional, Iran, 2015-2017</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>28±9</td>
<td>Pregnant women with heart disease presenting in Clinic for Obstetrics</td>
<td>Dyspnoea, cardiac palpation, preeclampsia and hypertension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHF: Congestive Heart Failure; PH: Pulmonary Hypertension; PO: Pulmonary Oedema

Figure 1. Flow diagram of literature search and inclusion process
Results

Study characteristics

Fifteen (15) studies that met the inclusion criteria were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis [21, 86-99]. In all, the 15 studied enrolled 12,715 patients. Eight studies were retrospective cohort [94-97,99], four were prospective cohort [21,86,89,98] and three were cross-sectional studies [88,91,93]. Nine (9) studies investigated clinical diagnosis of HFP [21,86-93] (n=1,542; age range 21-30 years) from different countries (Canada, U.K., South Africa, Iran, India and Sudan) while the remaining six investigated safety of ACE-I on foetal outcomes [87,90,92,94-99] (n=10,173) from the U.S., Sweden and Canada.

Study outcomes

Diagnosis of HFP shows the common categories of cardiac diseases contributing to HFP are valvular heart disease (58.4%; 95% CI: 35.0-78.5), congenital heart disease (23%; 05% CI: 7.8-51.5), and cardiomyopathies (7.0%; 95% CI: 4.0-12.1) (Figure 2). The main factors either leading to hospital visit or complicating HFP varied across the studies. Common clinical features included pulmonary oedema, pulmonary hypertension and arrhythmia. Arterial fibrillation, preeclampsia, dyspnoea and palpitations were also reported in some patients [90,93]. HFP was also associated with prolonged hospital stay, and maternal/neonatal mortality [86,88] but in pregnant women with cardiac signs and symptoms without existing heart disease are unlikely to represent high-risk cardiac disease or HFP [21].

Treatment with ACE-I in the first trimester showed a tendency towards increased risk for major foetal malformations. In four studies [94-96,99], the risk was significant for cardiovascular malformations (OR 1.51; 95% CI: 1.26-1.80; p = 0.00) (Figure 3) but in two studies each the effect was not significant for congenital malformation (OR 1.79; 95% CI: 0.9-1.2; p=0.18) [94,97] (Figure 4) and central nervous system malformations (OR 1.46; 95% CI: 0.99-2.1; p=0.07) [94,99] (Figure 5). However, when exposure to ACE-I is compared to non-hypertensive patients or use of hypertension, ACE-I has significant risk for congenital heart defects (OR 1.54; 95% CI: 0.90-2.62; p=0.05) [97]. Compared to healthy non-exposed foetus, ACE-I given in the first trimester was also associated with lower birth weight (3225 vs. 3511 g).

Discussion of findings

This systematic review and meta-analysis evaluated 12,715 pregnant women with known or undiagnosed cardiac disease including those treated with ACE-I, a traditional HF medication with proven efficacy on non-pregnant HF patients [28]. The results reveal that predominant underlying cardiac diseases that lead to the

Table 6. Summary of study design, patient characteristics and foetal outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st author (year) [Ref #]</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study years</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Foetal outcomes (congenital, cardiovascular, and/or central nervous system malformations)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cooper (2006) [94]</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>1985-2000</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>ACE-I is associated with increased risk for foetal malformations of the cardiovascular system and the central nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caton (2009) [95]</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>1997-2003</td>
<td>5021</td>
<td>Antihypertensive medication or the underlying hypertension may increase the risk of having an infant with left/right obstructive and septal defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lennestal (2009) [96]</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1995-2006</td>
<td>1418</td>
<td>Antihypertensive therapy had little effect on foetal cardiovascular malformations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li (2011) [97]</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>1995-2008</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>Compared to hypertensive controls, ACE-I in the 1st trimester is not associated with increased risk of congenital heart defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moretti (2012) [98]</td>
<td>Prospective, observational, controlled cohort study</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>ACEI/ARBs is associated with significantly lower birth weight, gestational age and miscarriage but no significant differences in malformations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bateman (2017) [99]</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>2000-2010</td>
<td>2631</td>
<td>In hypertensive women (adjusted for diabetes) ACE-I has non-significant effect on congenital malformations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACE-I: Angiotensin Converting Enzyme – Inhibitor; ARB: Angiotensin Receptor Blockers; NS: Not Stated

Figure 2. Event rate of cardiac diseases in women with hfp

Figure 3. Odds ratio and 95% CI for cardiovascular malformation after ACEI therapy p<0.001, gestational age (39.6 vs. 37.6 weeks; p<0.001) and miscarriage (18.0% vs. 11.8%; p<0.001) [98].

Discussion of findings

This systematic review and meta-analysis evaluated 12,715 pregnant women with known or undiagnosed cardiac disease including those treated with ACE-I, a traditional HF medication with proven efficacy on non-pregnant HF patients [28]. The results reveal that predominant underlying cardiac diseases that lead to the
Heart failure (HF) in pregnancy (HFP) describes a new onset of HF during pregnancy and up to six months postpartum not explained by any other known cause. It is prevalent in pregnant women with known or undiagnosed cardiac disease, whose heart cannot tolerate the physiological stress bought about by pregnancy-induced hemodynamic alterations leading to the inability of the heart to function as a pump. It is a leading non-obstetric cause of maternal mortality in both developed and developing countries. Pregnancy risk indices (CARPREG, ZAHARA, WHO and the ESC) list NYHA functional class > II or cyanosis, left heart obstruction, systemic or ventricular dysfunction, decreased sub-pulmonary ventricular function and severe pulmonary regurgitation as the major risk factors for developing HFP. The main pregnancy-specific causes are preeclampsia, paripartum cardiomyopathy and anmniotic fluid embolism while non-pregnancy related causes include diseases causing increased vascular resistance (hypertension, pulmonary/congestion and right HF), aortic pathologies (aortic arch syndrome/atherosclerotic disease) and heart disease (cardiomyopathies, valvular diseases and congenital heart diseases). Pregnancy-related hemodynamic alterations that lead to physiological stress include increases in plasma volume, heart rate, cardiac output and stroke volume (1st-2nd trimester) and decreases in blood pressure, stroke volume (3rd trimester) and SVR. Diagnosis depends on careful history and physical examination, risk stratification based on pregnancy indices, electrocardiogram and echocardiogram. If these tests are unclear, additional tests such as magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography and exercise testing may provide additional diagnostic information. Common therapeutic interventions include pharmacotherapy, percutaneous therapy and cardiac surgery as well as treatment of the underlying cause if reversible. However, the efficacy of pharmacotherapy is unclear because of the difficulty in discriminating matrioailetal effect of treatment from that of maternal cardiac disease.
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