Recurrent spontaneous coronary artery dissection leading to recurrent sudden cardiac arrests: Who is at risk?
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Abstract
Spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD) is a rare cause of acute coronary syndrome (ACS) with medical management and conservative therapies usually resulting in favorable outcomes. SCAD often is recurrent, especially in high risk individuals with underlying connective tissue disorders. Lethal ventricular arrhythmias have been reported in SCAD without clear indications for secondary prevention with implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD). Depending on risk factor for recurrence as well as susceptibility towards ventricular arrhythmias some patients may benefit from early implantations of ICDs.

Introduction
Spontaneous Coronary Artery Dissection (SCAD) is defined as an epicardial coronary artery dissection not associated with atherosclerosis, trauma or iatrogenic causes [1,2]. SCAD is a rare cause of acute coronary syndrome (ACS) that can affect single or multiple coronary vessels [3,4]. Complications of SCAD include ongoing ischemia, cardiomyopathy, arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death [3,4]. Coronary angiography is the primarily imaging modality for diagnosing SCAD [4]. Medical management and conservative therapy for SCAD typically results in favorable outcomes [5], but there is a high recurrence rate of major cardiovascular events with the majority being SCAD [2,6]. Major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) can range from recurrent MI, recurrent SCAD, unanticipated revascularization, congestive heart failure, stroke, and death. To date, there are no definite primary or secondary preventative measures for either SCAD or the associated cardiovascular complications. Our case presents a patient with an underlying connective tissue disorder who developed recurrent SCAD in multiple coronary arteries. This resulted in recurrent episodes of ventricular fibrillation and cardiac arrest despite optimized medical therapy. We discuss the role of implantable cardiovert-defibrillator (ICD) for secondary prevention in patients with recurrent SCAD.

Case presentation
A 59-year-old female with history of hypertension, hyperlipidemia and undifferentiated connective tissue disorder on chronic steroids presented in 2012 for intermittent chest pain following emotional stress. Her initial workup showed new onset left bundle branch block and an elevated high sensitivity troponin. She underwent urgent cardiac catheterization, revealing 100% occlusion of the first obtuse marginal branch (Figure 1, left) with attempted, but unsuccessful percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Left ventriculogram showed ejection fraction (EF) of 40% and severe distal inferior and inferoapical hypokinesis. The wall motion abnormalities were not explained by the coronary anatomy and were attributed to possible concomitant Takotsubo's cardiomyopathy in the setting of acute myocardial infarction. Patient was treated medically. Three years later in 2015, the patient presented for recurrent chest discomfort following the death of a family member. While being evaluated in the emergency room, she developed ventricular fibrillation arrest. She underwent defibrillation and was successfully resuscitated. Urgent cardiac catheterization was performed revealing a healed obtuse marginal branch (Figure 1, right). However, spontaneous dissection of the small caliber first diagonal branch was visualized (Figure 2, left). Echocardiogram revealed an ejection fraction 35-40%. Cardiac Electrophysiologist was consulted for possible ICD placement for secondary prevention of sudden cardiac arrest (SCA). Given her acute ischemic event was within 48 hours of her arrest, patient was treated medically with beta blockers.

The patient returned 2 years later in 2017 at the age of 64, again with substernal chest pain. On arrival, initial high sensitivity troponin was 10.3. Within thirty minutes, she suffered a ventricular fibrillation arrest. She underwent defibrillation and was successfully resuscitated. Emergent cardiac catheterization showed improved first diagonal disease when compared to 2015 (Figure 2, right) but the left anterior descending artery (LAD) showed severe diffuse distal narrowing (Figure 3, left) compared to coronary angiogram in 2015 (Figure 3, middle) suggesting SCAD. Patient remained hemodynamically stable without signs of ongoing ischemia. Given that this was the third occurrence of SCAD, she underwent CT angiography of the head and abdomen. Findings were suggestive of fibromuscular dysplasia involving bilateral carotid and renal arteries. On the third day of the hospital course, she developed recurrent chest pain, with repeat high-sensitivity troponin greater than 40 ng/dl. Cardiac catheterization was again performed, with a worsening subtotal occlusion of the distal LAD with proximal extension of the dissection (Figure 3, right). Echocardiogram was
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Discussion

Spontaneous coronary artery dissection is an important cause of ACS that is increasingly recognized with use of cardiac imaging modalities. Reported risk factors include connective tissue disorders, fibromuscular dysplasia, as well as chronic inflammatory disorders [1,3,5]. SCAD has also been associated with extreme emotional or physical triggers much like Takotsubo’s cardiomyopathy [1,3]. It has a predilection for young, otherwise healthy middle-age women without any traditional cardiovascular risk factors. Although it is quite rare amongst the general population, in a select population of young women who present with ACS, SCAD accounted for up to 24% of cases [3]. There are documented cases of SCAD occurring in different age groups ranging from the second to eighth decade of life.

Outcomes of SCAD can range from complete resolution to infarction, cardiomyopathy, ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. Saw et al. published a cohort study in 2019 of 750 patients who presented with SCAD with 8.1% presenting with ventricular arrhythmias. The rate of MACE at 30 days was quite high at 8.8% although mortality during this time period was less than 1% [7]. This study had short follow up and did not define patients at highest risk of lethal arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. Longer follow up and risk stratification is needed to clearly identify the at-risk population. Literature review shows, 3-11% of SCAD patients present with ventricular arrhythmias or sudden cardiac death [1,2]. In this case report, patient initially received wearable external defibrillator and subsequently underwent ICD placement, due to her recurrent sudden cardiac arrest(SCA) caused by SCAD. While individual cases of ICD implantation for secondary prophylaxis for SCA in SCAD have been reported, there is no consensus on timing or criteria for ICD placement in these patients. The risk of SCA was higher in patient with tobacco abuse, ST-segment elevation at presentation, pregnancy status and recurrent SCAD [8]. A retrospective study by Lane et al., found that multivessel SCAD had more than twice the incidence of SCD (34% vs 16%) compared to single vessel SCAD but did not show any benefit for external or internal defibrillator placement in patients with SCAD and SCD [9]. There are no existing guidelines to identify SCAD patients at highest risk of ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. Prospective or large retrospective studies looking at SCD risk in SCAD as well as role of ICD in SCAD patients who present with SCD, are lacking. The risks and benefits of this invasive procedure should be further evaluated with long term follow up to establish practice standards. Our patient likely represents a sub group with high risk for ventricular arrhythmias. The rate of MACE at 30 days was quite high patients who presented with SCAD with 8.1% presenting with ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. Longer follow up and risk stratification is needed to clearly identify the at-risk population. Literature review shows, 3-11% of SCAD patients present with ventricular arrhythmias or sudden cardiac death [1,2]. In this case report, patient initially received wearable external defibrillator and subsequently underwent ICD placement, due to her recurrent sudden cardiac arrest(SCA) caused by SCAD. While individual cases of ICD implantation for secondary prophylaxis for SCA in SCAD have been reported, there is no consensus on timing or criteria for ICD placement in these patients. The risk of SCA was higher in patient with tobacco abuse, ST-segment elevation at presentation, pregnancy status and recurrent SCAD [8]. A retrospective study by Lane et al., found that multivessel SCAD had more than twice the incidence of SCD (34% vs 16%) compared to single vessel SCAD but did not show any benefit for external or internal defibrillator placement in patients with SCAD and SCD [9]. There are no existing guidelines to identify SCAD patients at highest risk of ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. Prospective or large retrospective studies looking at SCD risk in SCAD as well as role of ICD in SCAD patients who present with SCD, are lacking. The risks and benefits of this invasive procedure should be further evaluated with long term follow up to establish practice standards. Our patient likely represents a sub group with high risk for both SCAD as well as SCD and may have been a good candidate for ICD placement following her first cardiac arrest. This patient was fortunate enough to suffer her lethal arrhythmias inside the hospital, as out of hospital cardiac arrest have lower likelihood of survival to discharge compared to in hospital cardiac arrest (10-12% vs 25%) [10]. Studies are needed to identify SCAD patients who have higher risk for recurrence of SCAD as well as SCD.

Conclusion

Life threatening arrhythmias is one of the complications of SCAD. Conservative management is recommended for most patients with SCAD and revascularization is typically reserved for high risk patients with life threatening arrhythmias and ongoing ischemia. While

consensus exists on revascularization in patients with life threatening arrhythmias, timing and criteria for device therapy for secondary prophylaxis for SCD in the setting of SCAD is unclear. This case highlights the propensity of some patients to have recurrences of SCAD as well as recurrences of life-threatening arrhythmias, who would benefit from placement of an implantable cardioverter–defibrillator. Larger studies are needed to identify high risk patients and to define the role of early device therapy in patients with recurrent SCAD with or without life threatening arrhythmias.
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