Non-invasive scoring systems for predicting NASH in Japan: evidences from Japan Study Group of NAFLD
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Abstract
A disease spectrum of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) ranges from nonalcoholic fatty liver (NAFL), a benign condition to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) which can lead to liver-related mortality such as hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatic failure, and portal hypertension. Although liver biopsy is the gold standard for the diagnosis of NASH, it has several drawbacks such as complication, cost, and sampling errors. A reliable and inexpensive noninvasive parameter for predicting NASH or severe hepatic fibrosis is required in patients with NAFLD. In this article, we reviewed several scoring systems which have been constructed by the Japan Study Group of NAFLD (JSG-NAFLD), a multi-center study group for the diagnosis and management of NAFLD in Japan. First of all, the NAFC score calculated from the ferritin, insulin, and type 4 collagen 7S levels was established to diagnose NASH. We recently suggest that modified NAFC score may be better than conventional NAFC score, although external validation studies using a large population of NAFLD are essential. In order to exclude severe fibrosis (stage 3-4), FIB4 index and NAFLD fibrosis score (NFS) are the most simple and reliable scoring systems in consistent with the Western studies. The combination of platelet count and AST/ALT ratio (PAAR index) is useful and easily determined for excluding severe fibrosis. To detect cirrhosis (stage 4), we formed an easily calculated composite score, called the PLALA (platelet, albumin, AST/ALT ratio) score. Introduction of these scores in clinical practice may reduce the proportion of patients that require liver biopsy to diagnose mild disease.

Introduction
Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is an important cause of chronic liver injury in many countries including Japan. NAFLD represents a wide spectrum of conditions that are characterized histologically by macrovesicular hepatic steatosis (>5% of hepatocytes affected), and the disease is made after excluding a history of consumption of alcohol in amounts sufficient to be considered harmful to the liver. NAFLD ranges over a wide spectrum, extending from nonalcoholic fatty liver (NAFL) which is generally benign, through to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) to liver cirrhosis, end-stage liver disease, and even hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) despite the absence of significant alcohol consumption [1]. The probability of developing advanced fibrosis and HCC is significantly greater in individuals with NASH than in those with NAFL. Liver biopsy as the gold standard tool of NASH can reveal the histologic steatosis with hepatocyte ballooning. However, it is difficult to perform liver biopsy for every patient with NAFLD to ascertain the presence of NASH and determine the stage and grade of the disease. In 2010, we established the Japan Study Group of NAFLD (JSG-NAFLD), represented by the following 10 hepatology centers: Yokohama City University, Asahikawa Medical College, Kurume University, Nara City Hospital, Hiroshima University, Saga Medical School, Osaka City University, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kochi Medical School, and Saiseikai Suita Hospital. In 2014, JSG-NAFLD includes eleven hepatology centers through all over Japan (Figure 1). The aim of our study group is 1) to clarify prevalence, natural history, and prognosis in Japanese NAFLD, 2) to establish and validate parameters or scoring systems for the noninvasive diagnosis of NASH or severe fibrosis, and 3) to develop the pharmacological treatments for NASH.
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Several lines of evidence have confirmed that NASH cannot be differentiated from NAFL by routine clinical parameters. Serum transaminase activities are known to be usually elevated in patients with NAFLD, but those levels do not always reflect severity of NAFLD. Two representative cases were shown in Figure 2. A 27 year-male patient with NAFLD had elevated transaminase activities (AST/ALT 74/233 IU/L). His histological examination revealed hepatic steatosis (≥66% of hepatocytes affected). He was diagnosed NAFL (Matteoni type 2, NAS score: 3+1+0=4) (Figure 2a). On the other hand, a 57 year-female patient with NAFLD almost mildly elevated levels of transaminase activities (AST/ALT 39/40 IU/L). Her liver histology revealed moderate steatosis with hepatocyte ballooning and extensive fibrosis. She was diagnosed NASH stage 3-4 (Matteoni type 4, NAS score: 2+1+2=5) (Figure 2b). A variety of parameters has been suggested to detect NASH [2], including oxidative stress markers (thioredoxin, lipidperoxides), iron-related parameters (ferritin), hepatic fibrosis markers (type4 collagen 7S, procollagen III peptide), and insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), adipokines (adiponectin, leptin), and apoptosis markers (soluble Fas, cytokeratin 18 (CK-18)). Among those, circulating levels of CK18 fragments have been investigated extensively as novel biomarkers for the presence of steatohepatitis in patients with NAFLD [3]. Although these are very encouraging results, currently this assay is not commercially available. Furthermore, as each study utilized a study-specific cut-off value, there is not an established cut-off value for identifying steatohepatitis. According to the guidelines of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) [1], CK18 is not recommended in routine clinical practice. The first aim of JSG-NAFLD is to construct a simple index for detecting NASH among a large population of NAFLD in Japan. NAFIC score assigns one point for 200 (female) or 300 (male) ng/ml or higher ferritin, one point for 10 μU/ml or higher fasting insulin, and two points for 5.0 ng/ml or higher type 4 collagen 7S. The total of these points is regarded as the NAFIC score (Table 1), and the possibility of NASH is high when the score is two or higher [4]. NAFIC score was 1 in the NAFL case (Figure 2a), while that was 4 in the NASH case (Figure 2b). A limitation of NAFIC score is low sensitivity and negative predictive value (NPV). At a cutoff value of NAFIC score 2, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and NPV were 60, 87, 85, and 64%, respectively. We reported the usefulness of the modified NAFIC scoring system, modified by changing the weightage assigned to the serum insulin levels, to obtain a reduced number of false-negative cases. Modified NAFIC is calculated as follows: serum ferritin ≥ 200 ng/ml (female) or ≥ 300 ng/ml (male), 1 point; serum type IV collagen 7S ≥ 5.0 ng/ml, 2 points; serum fasting insulin 10-15 μU/ml, 1 point and ≥ 15 μU/ml, 2 points. The modified NAFIC score showed improved sensitivity and NPV for the diagnosis of NASH [5]. Three parameters including serum ferritin, insulin, type4 collagen 7S can help us pick up NASH in NAFLD.

Scoring systems for predicting severe fibrosis in NAFLD

Since patients with severe fibrosis (stage 3-4) are at high risk for the development of HCC [6,7], noninvasive diagnosis of severe fibrosis is one of the fields that has evolved most rapidly in recent years [8]. The NAFLD fibrosis score (NFS) [9], BARD score [10], APRI (AST to platelet ratio index) [11], and FIB4 index [12] are among the more widely investigated non-invasive tools to cross-sectionally predict advanced fibrosis in NAFLD. NFS is comprised of six parameters such as diabetes/impaired fasting glucose, age, AST, ALT, platelets, BMI, and albumin (http://www.nafldscore.com/). Of the four scoring systems, NFS has received the most extensive validation [4,13,14] and it has been recommended for clinical use in the recent practice guideline on the diagnosis and management of NAFLD [1]. The BARD score developed by Harrison et al. represents the weighted sum of three easily developed by Harrison et al. on the diagnosis and management of NAFLD [1]. The BARD score and maintained an excellent NPV. APRI was calculated as the following formula: APRI=(AST/upper limit)/platelet count [17]. In contrast, the diagnostic accuracy of APRI or BARD score seems to be inferior to other complex invasive scoring systems [18]. FIB4 index (http://gihep.com/
fibrosis in NAFLD. NAFLD patients with platelet count >19.5×10⁴/μL and AAR can be reliable and simple parameters for predicting severe fibrosis. Thus, we have hypothesized that the combination of platelet count and ALT may differentiate cirrhosis from non-cirrhosis in NAFLD patients. The combination of platelet and AAR, we call PAAR index, is useful and easily determined even in routine clinical practice or health checkups. PAAR index was 0 in the NAFL case (Figure 2a) and 2 in the NASH case (Figure 2b). The platelet count and AAR (PAAR index) is a biomarker panel using Age, AST, platelet count, and ALT (FIB4 index=age [yr] × AST (IU/L)/platelet count (10⁹/μL) × √ALT (IU/L)) is a biomarker panel using Age, AST, platelet count, and ALT (FIB4 index=age [yr] × AST (IU/L)/platelet count (10⁹/μL) × √ALT (IU/L)), although derived in patients with HCV/HIV co-infection [19]. In four separate validation studies in NAFLD subjects in the United States (n=541), the United Kingdom (n=145), France and Hong Kong (n=246), and Japan (n=576), FIB4 index appeared to have the highest AUROC among all tested clinical prediction scores for detecting severe fibrosis [12,20-22]. FIB4 has been known to be one of the best parameters for predicting advanced fibrosis even in diabetic patients [23], children [24], and Latin [25] or Asian population [26]. However it is unknown whether these scoring systems are useful even in NAFLD patients showing normal ALT levels, because ALT level is sometimes within upper normal limit even in patients with severe fibrosis. FIB4 index has been observed to detect or exclude severe fibrosis even in NAFLD patients with normal ALT levels [27,28].On the basis of these results, the guideline committee of Japan Society of Gastroenterology recommended the FIB4 index and NFS to detect severe fibrosis in Japanese patients with NAFLD. The distribution of FIB4 index was examined in 5,410 people with NAFLD who were diagnosed at health checkups. Overall, 4729 (87.4%) had FIB4 index above the low cut-off point (<1.45), 654 (12.1%) had FIB4 index between indeterminate range (1.45-3.25) and 27 (0.5%) had FIB4 index above the high cut-off point (>3.25) [29,30]. This result suggested about 90% of NAFLD patients were unlikely to have severe fibrosis. NFS and FIB4 index have several drawbacks. First, these scoring systems can be cumbersome and difficult to apply in every practice, although the relevant details can easily be entered onto a pre-designed Excel spreadsheet that can produce an instant result in front of the patient. Second, it is possible that the aged patients seem to be an ideal biomarker of the severity of fibrosis, because it is simple, easy to measure and handle, cost-effective, and accurate for diagnosis of the severity of fibrosis.

**Scoring systems for predicting cirrhosis in NAFLD**

At first, Fuji and colleagues who are members of JSG-NAFLD, confirmed the clinical usefulness of two scoring systems such as cirrhosis discriminant score (CDS), and the hepatitis C antiviral long-term treatment against cirrhosis (HALT-C) model [31]. These scores consist of platelet count, AAR, and PT-INR. Our study group (JSG-NAFLD) tried to originally develop a simple scoring system to differentiate cirrhosis from non-cirrhosis in NAFLD patients. The three variables platelet, albumin, and AAR were combined in an unweighted sum (platelet < 15.3×10⁴/μL ; 1 point, serum albumin <4.0 g/dL ; 1 point, and AAR >0.9 ; 1 point) and formed an easily calculated composite score for predicting cirrhosis in NAFLD patients, called the PLALA score. A PLALA score (2 and 3) was useful for detecting liver cirrhosis in NAFLD patients (sensitivity, 86.8%; specificity, 90.8%; NPV, 99.5%; PPV, 26.2%) (Figure 3) [32].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAFIC score</td>
<td>Ferritin ≥200 (female) or 300 (male) ng/ml = 1 point Insulin ≥10 μU/mL = 1 point Type IV collagen 7s ≥5.0 ng/ml = 2 points Scale: 0-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified NAFIC</td>
<td>Ferritin ≥200 (female) or 300 (male) ng/ml = 1 point Insulin ≥10 μU/mL = 1 point, ≥15 μU/mL = 2 points Type IV collagen 7s ≥5.0 ng/ml = 2 points Scale: 0-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAFLD fibrosis score</td>
<td>-1.675 + 0.037 × age (years) = 0.094 × BMI (kg/m²) + 1.13 × IFG/diabetes (yes = 1, no = 0) + 0.99 × AST/ALT ratio (AAR) – 0.013 × platelet count (10⁹/L) – 0.66 × albumin (g/dL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIB4 index</td>
<td>(Age [years] × AST [IU/L])/platelet count [×10⁹/μL] × √ALT [IU/L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAAR index</td>
<td>Platelet &lt; 19.5 × 10⁹/μL = 1 point AST/ALT ratio (AAR) ≥ 0.8 = 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale 0-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLALA score</td>
<td>Platelet &lt; 15.3 × 10⁹/μL = 1 point Albumin &lt; 4.0 g/dL = 1 point AST/ALT ratio (AAR) ≥ 0.9 = 1 point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale 0-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.** Non-invasive scoring systems to detect NASH or severe fibrosis.
Scoring systems for predicting all-cause or liver related mortality and systemic complications in NAFLD

A major limitation of these scoring systems is that they have largely investigated in cross-sectional studies and thus their utility in monitoring disease natural history, predicting outcomes or response to therapeutic intervention remains unknown. Ideal non-invasive scoring systems can predict all-cause or liver related mortality and systemic complications in NAFLD. It was recently reported that the scores derived from the fibrosis-predicting scoring systems NFS, APRI, and FIB4 index also serve as prognostic factors [33]; the prognostic values of these scores still need to be verified in Japan. In Japan, Kawamura et al. reported that the annual liver carcinogenic rate in NAFLD patients was 0.043%, and that APRI was useful in predicting liver carcinogenesis [34]. Among previously established scoring systems, NFS is the best predictor for mortality in US and China [35-37]. NFS and FIB4 index could identify patients at risk of onset of DM and carcinogenesis [34]. Among previously established scoring systems, NFS, APRI, and FIB4 index also serve as prognostic factors [33]; the prognostic values of these scores still need to be verified in Japan. In Japan, Kawamura et al. reported that the annual liver carcinogenic rate in NAFLD patients was 0.043%, and that APRI was useful in predicting liver carcinogenesis [34]. Among previously established scoring systems, NFS is the best predictor for mortality in US and China [35-37]. NFS and FIB4 index could identify patients at risk of onset of DM and carcinogenesis [34].

Conclusions

In this article, we extensively reviewed several scoring systems to detect NASH or severe fibrosis in NAFLD, mainly on the basis of data from the JSG-NAFLD study in Japan. Although external validation or longitudinal studies are required for practical use, these scoring systems can be easily determined and useful for the management of NAFLD (Figure 3).
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