Studies on sulfenamides. XVI. [1] New method of generating 2,4-dinitrobenzensulfenylnitrene using a microwave
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Abstract

2,4-Dinitrobenzenesulfenylnitrene was produced via the oxidation of 2,4-dinitrobenzensulfenamide with iodobenzene diacetate using microwave irradiation, and was trapped by various alkenes as an N-sulfonylaziridine. However, β-methylstyrene gave 1-phenyl-2,4-dinitrobenzensulfenamides when it was used as nitrrene trap. The reaction was carried out in various solvents, with dichloromethane providing the best yield with a reaction time of only 30 min. Conjugated double bonds acted as a nitrrene trap and resulted in better yields than unconjugated bonds. Molecular orbital calculation (PM3) revealed that a singlet nitrrene reacted with a carbon-carbon double bond to give aziridines and that a biradical intermediate occurred in the reaction mechanism to produce the sulfenamide from β-methylstyrene.

Introduction

Although there have been many reports on nitrenes [1-10], little is known about sulfenylnitrenes [11-13], in part because there are few methods for generating these compounds. Sulfenylnitrenes have attracted our attention because of the chemical structure of their products (i.e., benzenesulfenamides with an aziridine skeleton). The 2-nitrobenzenesulfenyl or 2, 4-dinitrobenzenesulfenyl group is frequently used for protecting amino groups and can be easily removed with hydrogen chloride or reducing reagents [14-17]. Therefore, the reaction products of sulfenylnitrenes could be important intermediates in organic synthesis.

Recently, Yoshimura et al. reported a new method for generating sulfenylnitrenes from N-sulfenylsulfinimidazoles in good yield [17]. However, the pioneering method developed by Atokinson is still attractive because the source of nitrrene, 2, 4-dinitrobenzensulfenamide (1), is easily obtained from commercially available compounds [18-20].

The typical method for generating sulfenylnitrenes has been the oxidation of 1 or CF₃SNH, with lead tetra acetate (LTA), which is a relatively strong oxidant [21]. However, in order to avoid oxidation of the reaction products, and to obtain good yields, milder oxidants must be used. For this purpose, oxidation of 1 with N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) was proposed in a previous paper [22]. Recent years have seen significant developments in the application of microwave technology in organic synthesis [23]; the aims of this paper were to use microwaves to oxidize 1 to establish a new method for generating 2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfenylnitrene and to used molecular orbital (MO) calculation to closely evaluate the reaction mechanism.

Results and discussion

Compound 1 in dichloromethane was oxidized with iodobenzene diacetate in the presence of styrene overnight at room temperature in order to evaluate the potential of iodobenzene diacetate as an oxidant. Although thin-layer chromatography showed the presence of 1 in the reaction solution, 1-(2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonyl)-2-phenylaziridine (2) was obtained in 28% yield. Iodobenzene diacetate is thus able to oxidize 1, but only very slowly. Microwave irradiation was therefore used to accelerate this oxidation reaction. Dichloromethane containing 1 and styrene was placed in a tube with finely powdered potassium carbonate, iodobenzene diacetate was added to the tube, and then that was sealed with teflon film attached to an aluminum cap. The reaction was carried out in a single-mode cavity for 30 min with a 5-min ramp time at 50°C. Single-mode instruments produce one homogeneous intense locus of energy that is highly reproducible. Due to their uniform energy distribution and high power density, these systems are typically most effective with small samples.

In order to optimize conditions, the reaction was carried out in various solvents. The temperature was adjusted to 10°C above the boiling point of the solvent; the results are summarized in Table 1.

Dichloromethane and chloroform gave relatively good results, but ethyl acetate gave a poor yield. Benzene, tetrahydrofuran and ethyl alcohol resulted in the production of unidentified compounds and the disappearance of compound 1. The best yield was obtained using dichloromethane as a solvent, and thus dichloromethane was used in subsequent reactions.
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Various ratios of olefin to 1 were then examined, and the results are shown in Table 2.

Increasing the proportion of compound 1, which increased the generation of nitrene, did not improve the yield of compound 2. However, increasing the amount of styrene improved the yield of compound 1.

Various olefins were used as nitrene traps, and the results are summarized in Table 3.

Conjugated olefins, such as stilbene, styrene and β-methylstyrene, gave good yields, but cyclooctene and cyclohexene, which have an unconjugated double bond, gave poor yields. α-Methylstyrene gave 1-phenylvinyl-2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfenamide (3), while β-methylstyrene provided 1-(2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfenyl)-2-methyl-3-phenylaziridine (4). Similar results were obtained in the reaction of 1 with NBS, while biradical intermediates shown in Chart 1 were postulated in a previous paper[13].

It is difficult to simulate all reactions, and thus the four reactions in Chart 2 were investigated.

Reactions 1 and 3 are the cyclo-addition of singlet nitrene and reactions 2 and 4 are the insertion of triplet nitrene into the C-H bond of the methyl group. Our initial postulate is as follows: reaction 1 is slower than reaction 2 and reaction 3 is faster than reaction 4.

A detailed comparison of the 4 reactions was then conducted by MO calculation, which was performed using HyperChem release 7.0 and a semi-empirical calculation (PM3) was applied to elucidate the reaction mechanism. Before the single point calculation, geometry optimization was performed using MM+, followed by UHF (spin unrestricted Hartree-Fock) calculation with the Polak-Ribiere algorithm as the minimization algorithm until the total root-mean-square (RMS) gradient was reduced to 0.01 kcal/(Åmol).

The total energy of reactants and products in reactions 1 and 2, were estimated and the results are shown in Table 4.

The difference in total energy of singlet nitrene between triplet 1 is only 4 kcal/mol, and the former is lower than the latter. The heat of reaction for 1–4, as estimated from Table 4, was 41, 56, 40 and 57, respectively. The reaction between triplet nitrene and olefins is more favorable from the standpoint of the heat of reaction. These results cannot account for Table 3, as the actual reaction was reaction 3, not reaction 4.

Activation energy (E = Eo + (sum of total energies of transition state)-Etrans) of reactions 3 and 4 was then estimated, and the former was found to be 14 kcal/mol, while the latter was 12 kcal/mol. Both reactions appear to proceed very rapidly, and reaction 4 is faster than reaction 3, but the actual reaction is the latter. This suggests that the generated nitrene in this reaction system is in the singlet state.
To confirm the existence of biradical in the mechanism, cis-stilbene was used as a nitrene trapper. If biradical intermediate exites, not only cis-1-(2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfenyl)-2,3-diphenylaziridine (8) but also compound 5 will be obtained. The reaction gave 8 (42%) and 5 (2.4%) as the products. Compound 5 was not so pure but too little to purify further. Then the reaction mixture was analyzed with HPLC and the trace of 5 was detected.

The results do not contradict with the hypothesis but the yield of 5 is too small to support it.

Table 3. Results of olefin reaction with sulfenyl nitrene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Olefin</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Comp. No.</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trans-stilbene</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Methylstyrene</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Methylstyrene</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclooctene</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexene</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Total energy of reactants and products of reactions 1 and 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactant</th>
<th>Total Energy</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Total Energy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>-28129 kcal/mol</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>-88036 kcal/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>-28131 kcal/mol</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>-88047 kcal/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>-59866 kcal/mol</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>-88037 kcal/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>-59862 kcal/mol</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>-88050 kcal/mol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To confirm the existence of biradical in the mechanism, cis-stilbene was used as a nitrene trapper. If biradical intermediate exites, not only cis-1-(2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfenyl)-2,3-diphenylaziridine (8) but also compound 5 will be obtained. The reaction gave 8 (42%) and 5 (2.4%) as the products. Compound 5 was not so pure but too little to purify further. Then the reaction mixture was analyzed with HPLC and the trace of 5 was detected.

The results do not contradict with the hypothesis but the yield of 5 is too small to support it.

However, biradical A must be the precursor of 3, the more evidence is need to confirm.

Experimental

Materials

2,4-Dinitrobenzenesulfenamide was prepared as described previously [22]. All other reagents were purchased and used without further purification.
Apparatus

A CEM discover (CEM co. USA) was used as the microwave generator. MO calculation was carried out using an Epson Direct Endeavor AT-900C (EPSON, Japan) personal computer running HyperChem release 7.0 (Hypercube Inc., Canada). NMR spectrum were obtained using BRUKER BIOSPIN DPX-400(Germany)

Typical Example of Isolation of Products from the Reaction Mixture

2,4-Dinitrobenzenesulfonamide (1), 0.34 g, trans-styrene (1.42 g), K₂CO₃ (0.61g) and dichloromethane were placed in a tube and mixed. Then, isodobenzene diacetate (0.51 g) was added to the mixture and the tube was sealed with teflon film attached to an aluminum cap. The reaction was carried out in a single-mode cavity for 30 min with a 5-min ramp time at 50°C. After cooling, the reaction mixture was loaded onto a silica gel column (100 g) with a hexane/benzene (1/1) as the mobile phase. The fraction containing compound 5 was concentrated and 0.62 g of 5 was obtained. The product was confirmed by direct comparison with an authentic sample [22].


trans-1-[2,4-Dinitrobenzenesulfonyl]-2,3-diphenylaziridine (5): 1H-NMR(CDCl₃) : 9.06 (1H, d, J=2.35Hz, aromatic proton), 8.54 (1H, d, J=9.22Hz, aromatic proton), 7.46-7.35 (10H, m, aromatic proton), 3.88 (2H, s, CH).

1-phenylvinyl-2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonamide (3): 1H-NMR(CDCl₃) : 9.08(1H,d,J=2.40Hz aromatic proton),8.20(1H,dd,J=2.37, 9.12Hz,aromatic proton),8.04(1H,dd,J=9.06Hz, aromatic proton), 7.43-7.36(5H, m, aromatic protons), 5.48(1H, s, vinyl proton), 5.32(1H,dd, J=0.90, 2.02Hz, vinyl proton), 4.07(2H, dd, J=0.90,5.68Hz, -CH₂-), 2.94(1H,t, J=5.64Hz, NH).

1-(2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonyl)-2-methyl-3-phenylaziridine (4): 1H-NMR(CDCl₃) : 9.12 (1H,d,J=2.07Hz, aromatic proton),8.37(1H,dd,J=2.37, 9.10Hz, aromatic proton), 7.47 (1H,dd,J=7.26Hz, aromatic proton), 7.35-7.23(4H, m, aromatic proton),3.76(1H,br,CH), 3.53(1H,br,CH),3.35(1H,d,J=17.85Hz,CH₂),3.25(1H,dd,J=4.34,17.84Hz, CH₂).

N-(2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonyl)-1,2-epiminocyclooctane(6): 1H-NMR(CDCl₃) : 9.13(1H, d, J=2.22Hz, aromatic proton),8.47(1H,dd,J=2.26, 9.1Hz, aromatic proton), 2.32-2.17(4H, m, aliphatic protons),1.71-1.35(10H, m, aliphatic proton).

N-(2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonyl)-1,2-epiminocyclopentane(7): 1H-NMR(CDCl₃) : 9.13(1H, d, J=2.22Hz, aromatic proton), 8.47 (1H, d, J=9.36Hz, aromatic proton), 8.42(1H,dd,J=2.26, 9.1Hz, aromatic proton), 2.32-2.17(4H, m, aliphatic protons),1.71-1.35(10H, m, aliphatic proton).
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