Processing and nutrient quality evaluation of defatted soy meat
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Abstract
Defatted soy meat prepared from raw soybean is a very good source of protein and minerals. However, the presence of anti-nutritional factors affects its protein quality and limits the bioavailability of the other nutrients. The effect of soaking and blanching on the lipoxygenase, urease and trypsin inhibitor activity was investigated. Defatted soy meat obtained from soaking at 65°C for 2 h and blanching at 100°C for 10 min showed the highest protein solubility than soaking at 60°C for 2 h and blanching at 100°C for 10 min (p<0.5). Defatted soy meat processing with the help of soaking at 65°C for 2 h and blanching at 100°C for 10 min contained 9.0±1.0% moisture, 67±2.0% protein, 1.5±0.05% ash, and 45.0±2.5 mg genistein per 100 g of soy meat.

Introduction
Soybean is one of the most economical and valuable agricultural commodities due to its unique chemical composition. Among cereal and other legumes, soybean contained the highest protein content around 40% (dry basis) and the second highest (20%) oil content among all legumes, after peanuts. 70% of total soy proteins are glycinin and β-conglycinin [1]. Soy protein are highly digestible after proper heat treatment. However, soy protein is not an ideal protein due to its deficiency in the essential amino acid methionine. Soy contains methionine for only 1.39 g/16 g of N; while the recommendation by the Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) is 3.5 g/16 g of N [2,3]. Protein-bound methionine from some plants is poorly utilized, presumably because of poor digestibility [4]. Other components in the soybean includes varying concentration of isoflavone; high levels of minerals including iron, calcium, zinc; vitamins including α-tocopherol, niacin, pyridoxine and folacin [5]. Soy products has advantages in preventing heart disease, obesity, blood cholesterol, cancer, diabetes, kidney disease, osteoporosis, and blood pressure regulation [1,6,7]. Consumption of 25 g/day of soy protein significantly decreased triglycerides, total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol [8]. Soy products are very good source of phenolic compounds with high antioxidant properties; such as isoflavones, a group of phytoestrogens that have been reported to possibly lower the risk of hormonal and age-related diseases. Among isoflavones, genistein is a powerful inhibitor of tyrosine carry additional small molecular modifiers, such as kinase activity in vitro [9] more importantly, genistein could act as an anti-oxidant and anti-browning agents in vivo and in vitro [10]. However, the presence of natural anti-nutrients, such as trypsin inhibitors (TI), lectins, phytic acids and indigestible oligosaccharides, has limited soybean and its products consumption.

Despite the high nutritional value of soybean and soy products, there are many compounds in the soybean that could interfere with protein quality including protease inhibitors, phytic acid and lipoxygenase enzymes [11]. The presence of protease inhibitors, Kunitztrpsin inhibitor (KTI) and Browman-Birk inhibitor of chymotrypsin (BBI) results the reduction in protein digestibility thus limiting the availability of essential amino acids such as trypsin [12,13]. Phytic acid might decrease the bioavailability of proteins by binding to peptides and amino acids thereby inhibiting proteolytic enzyme action [14]. Moreover, lipoxygenases catalyze lipid hydro-peroxidation leading to the so-called beany flavor thus limiting the consumption of soybean products [11]. It is very much necessary to inactive or destroy the anti-nutritive factors of soy bean and soy products.

World population is nearly 7 billion demands for huge amount of food supply with proper nutrient. Diet based on plant could partially address the problem of food crisis and animal protein malnutrition in several developing countries. Plant proteins provide desirable functional properties, such as solubility, viscosity. In the diet of developed countries, significant reduction in meat consumption (>40%) is possible without risk of lack of micro -nutrients normally supplied through meat products. Therefore, a new alternative forms of food products and room for development of an attractive market around plant protein-based products in food industry is needed [15,16]. Soybeans might be transforming into different verities of soy foods to provide high nutrition and easily digestible products. Soybeans could be processed to produce a textured products and appearance similar to many other foods. For example, soybeans are the primary ingredient in many dairy product substitutes such as soy milk, margarine, soy ice cream, soy yogurt, soy cheese and meat alternatives (e.g. veggie burgers, soy meat). The research aimed to process defatted soy meat and evaluate the nutrient content of the soy meat.
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Materials and methods

Materials

Whole soybean was purchased from local market (Mymensingh) and trypsin, D, L-BApNA, calcium chloride was purchased from Mitali Scientific Stores, Bangladesh.

Soy meat processing

Whole soybean was treated for inactivation or destruction of anti-nutritive factors. Soybean was soaked hot water (60, 65°C) for 2 h with 0.5% NaHCO₃ in water bath. The ratio of water and soybean for soaking was 2:1. The soybean was then de-hulled by using auto-dehulling machine. After removing the hull and other foreign materials form soybean, it was blanched for 10 min with addition of 0.5% NaHCO₃ in water bath. The ratio of water and soybean was 2:1. Soybean was washed with potable water and crushed by using grinder. The crushed sample was defatted by solvent extraction method. Soy meat concentrate was prepared and extruded in to desirable size and shape.

Determination of lipooxygenase (LOX) assays

100 g of samples were blended in 150 ml of water at 4°C for 10 min. The slurry was filtered through multilayered cheese cloth (3 layers). The filtrate was centrifuged at 25000 x g for 20 min at room temperature. The supernatant was used for the crude enzyme extract. LOX activity was measured as the change in absorbance at 234 nm with a linolenic acid substrate solution according to Gokmen et al. [17]. A double beam spectrophotometer (Photolab 7600UV-VIS) and 1 cm path-length cuvette were used for enzyme measurement.

Determination of urease activity

The urease activity was carried out by assay method describe by Croston et al. [18]. To prevent a decrease in urease activity with increasing alkalinity pH 6.8 was maintained for urease-urea reaction. The reactions were carried out at temperature at 35±2°C. The reagents used were 0.1 N HCl, 0.1 N NaOH, phosphate buffer of pH 6.8 made up of 0.025 mole of K₂HPO₄, 0.025 mole KH₂PO₄ and 0.8 g of glutathione per liter, and buffered urea solution was prepared by Croston et al. [19]. To prevent a decrease in urease activity by Croston et al. [18]. To prevent a decrease in urease activity with increasing alkalinity pH 6.8 was maintained for urease-urea reaction. The reactions were carried out at temperature at 35±2°C. The reagents used were 0.1 N HCl, 0.1 N NaOH, phosphate buffer of pH 6.8 made up of 0.025 mole of K₂HPO₄, 0.025 mole KH₂PO₄ and 0.8 g of glutathione per liter, and buffered urea solution was prepared by dissolving 6 g urea in 100 ml of buffer solution without the glutathione.

Urease activity was determined by the method describes by Nowshin et al. [19]. 100 g of samples were blended in 150 ml of water at 4°C for 10 min. The slurry was filtered through multilayered cheese cloth (3 layers). The filtrate was centrifuged at 25000 x g for 20 min at room temperature. The supernatant was used for the crude enzyme extract. LOX activity was measured as the change in absorbance at 234 nm with a linolenic acid substrate solution according to Gokmen et al. [17]. A double beam spectrophotometer (Photolab 7600UV-VIS) and 1 cm path-length cuvette were used for enzyme measurement.

Determination of urease activity

The urease activity was carried out by assay method describe by Croston et al. [18]. To prevent a decrease in urease activity with increasing alkalinity pH 6.8 was maintained for urease-urea reaction. The reactions were carried out at temperature at 35±2°C. The reagents used were 0.1 N HCl, 0.1 N NaOH, phosphate buffer of pH 6.8 made up of 0.025 mole of K₂HPO₄, 0.025 mole KH₂PO₄ and 0.8 g of glutathione per liter, and buffered urea solution was prepared by dissolving 6 g urea in 100 ml of buffer solution without the glutathione.

Urease activity was determined by the method describes by Nowshin et al. [19]. 100 g of samples were blended in 150 ml of water at 4°C for 10 min. The slurry was filtered through multilayered cheese cloth (3 layers). The filtrate was centrifuged at 25000 x g for 20 min at room temperature. The supernatant was used for the crude enzyme extract. LOX activity was measured as the change in absorbance at 234 nm with a linolenic acid substrate solution according to Gokmen et al. [17]. A double beam spectrophotometer (Photolab 7600UV-VIS) and 1 cm path-length cuvette were used for enzyme measurement.

Determination of trypsin inhibitor

Trypsin inhibitor activity was determined according to the modified method described by Erlanger et al. [22]. 50 mg of defatted soy meat and 5 ml of 0.1 M Tris-HCl buffer were mixed together to adjust pH 8.2. The solution was homogenized in an Erlenmeyen containing 20 mM CaCl, and agitated for 3 h followed by centrifugation for 3600 x g for 20 minutes. 100 ml of soy meat extract were added with 450 μl of buffer and 50 μl of trypsin solution in a test tube and homogenized followed by keeping the suspension at room temperature for 10 minutes. 500 μl of the homogenate were transferred to a new test tube containing 500 μl of buffer and 500 μl of the D, L-BApNA solution. The solution was agitated for few minutes and left at room temperature for 10 minutes; the reaction was stopped by the addition of 300 μl of 60% acetic acid. Absorbance of the solution was determined at 410 nm using a spectrophotometer (Photolab 7600UV-VIS) and the results were converted in mg of inhibited trypsin per gram of total protein in the sample.

Determination of nutrient content of soy meat

Moisture content

Moisture content was determined adopting AOAC [23] method. Moisture content was determined by placing an accurately weighed known amount sample in a pre-weighted porcelain crucible in an electric oven at 105°C for 24 hrs. After drying, crucible was removed from the oven and cooled in desicator. It was then weight with cover glass. Drying cooling and weighting were repeated until constant weight was obtained. The loss of moisture was calculated as present moisture. The formula is mentioned bellow

\[
\% \text{ of Moisture} = \frac{(w_1 - w_2)}{w_1} \times 100 \quad \text{equation (i)}
\]

Here, \( W_1 \) = Crucible+Sample weight (g); \( W_2 \) = Crucible+ Dry sample weight (g)

Ash content

Ash content was determined using AOAC [23] method. The accepted method was as follows: five-gram (5 g) moisture free samples
were taken in porcelain crucible and pre-ash at 100°C in a gas burner. The sample was allowed to burn slowly and carefully avoiding any loss of sample with flame because the burning is necessary to avoid the loss of sample into a muffle furnace under high temperature. The crucibles were then placed in a muffle furnace and heated at 550°C for 6 hrs. The crucibles were then cooled in a desiccator. The average weight in percentage of each sample of the remaining material was taken as ash.

\[
\text{Ash content (\%) = } \frac{(w_2 - w_0)}{(w_1 - w_0)} \times 100 \quad \ldots \ldots \quad \text{equation (ii)}
\]

Here, \(w_0\) = weight of empty crucible; \(w_1\) = weight of empty crucible + sample and \(w_2\) = weight of empty crucible + sample (after drying)

**Protein content**

Protein content was determined using AOAC [23] method. The accepted method was as follows:

One-gram (1 g) sample was weighted and transferred into the digestion flask. About 25 ml of concentrated H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and 5 g of digestion mixture was added to the flask. The concepts of the Kjeldahl flask was heated over a low flame in a digestion chamber until the solution became clear (bluish color). After digestion, the flask was cooled carefully, and 100 ml volume was made with distilled water. An aliquot (5 ml) was taken for distillation in a distillation flask. In a 100 ml conical flask, 10 ml boric acid solution, 10 ml ammonia free water and 2 drops of mixed indicator was taken in Kjeldahl. The distillation apparatus was connected with a delivery tube dipping below the boric acid solution in the conical flask. To the distillation flask, about 10 ml of 40% NaOH solution was added and the ammonia was distilled off into the boric acid solution. When the distillation was over (usually 100 ml is collected), the burner was removed, the condenser and delivery tube was washed down into the receiver. The collected ammonia is titrated with 0.1 N HCl solution and titre volume is recorded. The nitrogen value thus obtained were converted to total crude protein by multiplying with a factor of 6.25. The formula mentioned below:

\[
\text{% Nitrogen} = \left( \frac{(\text{Titre value} - \text{Blank titre}) \times \text{N of HCl} \times 14 \times \text{Volume made}}{\text{Aliquot taken for estimation} \times \text{Sample taken} \times 1000} \right) \times 100 \quad \ldots \ldots \quad \text{equation (iii)}
\]

\%

**Determination of genistein by HPLC method**

Extraction of genistein: Extraction of genistein from food stuffs and their qualification and quantification were performed as previously reported [24], with some modification. Samples (5 g) were extracted with 50 ml of 80% methanol by sonication for 30 min at 45°C. These extract solutions were centrifuged at 2500 x g for 20 min and the supernatants were evaporated to dryness using a centrifugal evaporator. The dried extracts were dissolved in 5 ml of 50% methanol. Liquid-liquid extraction by 3 times of 20 ml of n-hexane was carried out to remove lipids from the samples. After evaporation of the aqueous methanol phase, the residue was dissolved in 2.5 ml of 80% methanol, and an aliquot was filtered through 0.2 μm membrane filter before analysis by HPLC.

HPLC analysis for genistein: Acetonitrile and trifluoroacetic acid were filtered separately through 0.45 μm PTFE and de-gassed in an ultrasonic bath for 30 min before use. Six standard concentrations of pure (≥98%) genistein were prepared at the concentration of 0.1 μg/g, 1 μg/g, 5 μg/g, 10 μg/g, 20 μg/g and 40 μg/g in 99.9% ethanol. Twenty μl samples were injected into an analytical octa decyl silane (ODS) column (5 μm particle size, 4.6 x 250 mm) and a mobile phase of a gradient of 0 – 60% acetonitrile in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid was pumped in at a flow rate of 1.2 ml/min at 25°C. Genistein was monitored by UV absorbance at 262 nm. The contents of genistein were estimated from standard curves. For genistein, the retention time was 60 min.

**Determination of protein solubility of soy meat**

Protein solubility of soy meat was determined based on nitrogen (N) solubility [25]. One hundred-gram (100 g) soy meat was centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 10 min at room temperature to separate solid and liquid. The suspension was pipetted and N solubility was determined by using Lowry method [26]. Protein solution (0.3 ml) was added in test tubes with 0.3 ml 2 M NaOH. The solution was heated at 100°C for 10 min and cooled at room temperature (25°C). Three milliliters (3 ml) of complex forming agent (2% sodium carbonate, 1% copper sulphate and 2% sodium potassium tartrate) was added to the solution. The solution was then mixed well. This solution was incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Then 0.3 ml of Folin–Ciocalteau solution (reagent solution) was added to each tube and incubated for 30 min (not more than 60 min). Absorbance of the solution was determined at 550 nm using a spectrophotometer (Photolab 7600UV-VIS). The absorbance was plotted against protein concentration to get a standard calibration curve and calculate percent nitrogen solubility (Figure 1).

**Results and discussion**

**Effect of soaking and blanching on lipoxygenase (LOX) activity**

The residual activity of LOX during soaking of soybean at different soaking conditions was shown in Figure 2. Soaking of soybean at 60°C for 2 h showed around 80% reduction of LOX activities. Very rapid inactivation was investigated in soybean LOX at 65°C for 2 h. As full (100%) LOX activity reduction was achieved by 65°C for 2 h, the effects of blanching studies did not continue for LOX activity. The results suggested that soaking at 65°C for 2 h was sufficient to reduce 100% LOX activity. Nonetheless, 60°C could reduce 90% LOX activity within
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**Figure 1. Preparation of soy meat**
first 10 min, and the residual activity remained relatively stable during further 20 min.

More than 90% of LOX activity was recommended for optimum quality of vegetable during frozen storage [19]. However, processing of defatted soy meat requires 100% inhibition of LOX activity since soybean contained lot of anti-nutritional factors such as saponins, phospholipids, protease inhibitors, phytates and trypsin inhibitors [27]. Hence, blanching is an obvious pre-treatment during processing of soy meat.

Effect of soaking and blanching on phytate content

Soaking at 65°C for 2 h showed only 25% reduction of phytate activity though it is significantly (p>0.5) different with that at 60°C for 2 h (Figure 3). The result suggested for further heat treatment (blanching) of soybean before processing of defatted soy meat. Combination of soaking, and blanching (100°C for 10 min) showed rapid inactivation of soybean phytate. Maximum amount (85%) of phytate was reduced with combination of soaking (65°C for 2 h), and blanching (100°C for 10 min).

Maximum of the anti-nutrients in the beans and legumes are found in the skin. Many of the anti-nutrients are water-soluble and simply dissolve when soaked in water [28]. In legumes and beans, soaking has been reduced minimum amount of phytate, protease inhibitors, lectins, tannins and calcium oxalate. As for example, 12 h soaking reduced the phytate content of peas by up to 9% [29]. As a result, combination of both soaking and blanching could reduce maximum amount of phytate. It is very much essential to reduce phytate in soy food because phytate inhibits calcium absorption. It reduces iron, zinc, magnesium and calcium absorption and resulted in poor iron absorption in soy foods [10,30]. Reduction of around 90% phytate activity will help to absorb calcium content of soy meat.

Effect of soaking and blanching on urease activity

Urease activity of the raw soybean was significantly higher (p<0.5) than that of the soaked soybean at 60 and 65°C for 2 h. Soaking at 65°C for 2 h showed maximum 50% reduction of urease activity significantly different with 60°C for 2 h (Figure 4). The result suggested that soaking 65°C for 2 h were not sufficient to destroy 100% urease activity; further heat treatment was necessary to inactivate urease activity. Blanching (100°C for 10 min) and soaking at 65°C for 2 h could significantly reduce 100% urease activity. Heat treatment was adequate to reduce urease activity that might be a good indicator of trypsin inhibition.

Effect of soaking and blanching on trypsin inhibitor

Soy meat processing through soaking at 65°C for 2 h, blanching at 100°C for 10 min significantly reduced more trypsin inhibitor than soy meat prepares by soaking at 60°C for 2 h and blanching at 100°C for 10 min. However, blanching at 100°C for 10 min for complete destruction or inactivation of trypsin inhibitor. The result suggested that steam blanching rather than hot water blanching might be more effective for soy meat. Heat treatment at 150°C for 30 min for raw soybean before soy meat will completely destroy trypsin inhibitor. Trypsin inhibitors could be reduced to desirable levels after autoclaving the soybeans at 120°C for 18 minutes and 121°C for 10 minutes, respectively [31,32] (Figure 5).

Effect of soaking and blanching on protein solubility of soy meat

The protein solubility of soy meat was increased rather than raw soybean (75% solubility). Soaking temperature (65°C for 2 h) increased minimum increased minimum amount of protein solubility (maximum
12% increase at 65°C 2 h soaking) suggested that blanching is necessary to increase the protein solubility. However, it is suggested that soaking temperature increasing increased the protein solubility. Soaking temperature 65°C for 2 h and blanching at 100°C for 10 min increased significant amount of protein solubility. This might be due to denaturation of soy protein during high temperature blanching though amino acid content did not change at this temperature [33] (Figure 6).

Nutrient content of defatted soy meat

Soaking of raw soybeans in chemical solutions such as sodium-bicarbonate and/or sodium hydroxide had a significant effect on the chemical composition and reduction in beany flavor in soy products [19,34]. However, sodium carbonate and sodium hydroxide had a significant effect on the reduction in beany flavor in soy milk [27]. For the analysis of nutrient content of soy meat, soybean treatment was selected based on soaking and blanching temperature. Soybean treated at 65°C for 10 min (soaking) and 100°C for 2 h (blanching) for analysis of soy meat. Defatted soy meat contained moisture 9.0±1.0%, protein 67.0±2.0%, ash 1.5.0±0.05%, and 45.0±2.5 mg genistein per 100 g of soy meat. Protein content might be reduced as protein recovery started to decreased slightly at more than 70°C. With high blanching temperature above 70°C, there was an indication that the insoluble carbohydrate fraction, with hydroscopic and swelling properties, might significantly contribute to inhibition of filtration and cause losses in the solid yield of soy meat [19]. Increasing blanching temperature to 100°C could not increase the solid content of soy meat due to fixation of matrix at high temperature. Blanching at high temperature (100°C) denatured soy protein and increased the water holding capacity of soybean, extraction of protein was dramatically reduced after denaturation and matrix formation [35-37].

Conclusion

Soy meat contained high amount of protein and ash content. However, soaking temperature and high blanching temperature effect the nutrient and anti-nutrient content of soy meat. Blanching temperature affect the both nutrient and anti-nutrient content of soy meat. High temperature (100°C) is sufficient to reduce 100% of lipooxygenase, urease activity and maximum amount of phytate and trypsin inhibitor but reduce the protein content of soy meat as well as genistein content of soy meat.
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