Alcohol consumption in Russia: Distorted vision
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The problem of excessive alcohol consumption in Russia is well known; however, there is a tendency to exaggerate it, which seems to be used to disguise shortages of the healthcare and the fact of legal trade with falsified beverages and surrogates [1]. In this way, responsibility for the relatively low life expectancy [2] is shifted upon patients, that is, supposedly self-inflicted diseases due to excessive alcohol consumption. In parallel, there seems to be a tendency to exaggerate efficiency of governmental policies.

To start with, the graph titled “Indices of real price, tax, affordability and per capita vodka sales, real disposable income, total alcohol consumption, total alcohol sales and unrecorded alcohol consumption in Russia (2010-2015)” [3] does not start from zero, thus creating impression that affordability of vodka changed considerably. A similar graph was published in [4]. In fact, the average salary (pension) / minimal vodka price ratio oscillated and did not change significantly over the period 2010-2015 (Table 1), remaining several times higher than it had been prior to the anti-alcohol campaign (hereinafter campaign) started in 1985 [5].

Notes: Early in 2015 one US dollar was equivalent to approximately 60 roubles.

Here follow several citations with comments. “The real price of vodka has increased 2.4 times (from 230 to 559 roubles per 0.5 litre) during this period” i.e. 2010-2015 [4]. The term “real price of vodka” is used inadequately as vodka at minimal price (Table 1) has been broadly available in shops and supermarkets (Figure 1). In fact, the “real price” is the minimal price considering inflation; it is reflected by the ratio average salary (pension) / minimal price (Table 1).

“A significant decreasing in the affordability of vodka appears to have been a major driver of dramatic decreasing in vodka sales between 2010 and 2015” [4]. As discussed above, there was no “significant decreasing in the affordability of vodka” between 2010 and 2015. Alcoholic beverages are several times more affordable than they had been prior to the campaign. Nonetheless, the alcohol consumption and heavy binge drinking in Russia tend to decrease since the last decade at least [6]. In the author’s opinion, among the principal causes of the decrease in alcohol consumption are the responsible way of life under conditions of the market economy, intimidation and harassment of alcoholics, crime against them aimed e.g. at appropriation of their flats and houses [7]. All that do not predispose to leisure drinking.

As for younger people, they seem to overtake the moderate alcohol consumption style prevailing in other countries.

“In 2016, almost 80 people died as a result of mass methanol poisoning in Irkutsk, one of the largest cities in Siberia. The fatalities in this city occurred after people turned into a bath lotion “Bouaryshnik” (howthorn) as a cheap substitute for vodka. The product normally contains 93% ethanol, howthorn extract and lemon oil....” [4]. In our opinion, the poisonings could have been caused by hawthorn (Crataegus) tincture sold in pharmacies and containing 70% ethanol [8]. The hawthorn tincture is known as the pharmacy product most frequently used by drinkers in Russia [9,10]. Some consumers purchase pharmacy tinctures not because of the low price but as they hope to obtain better quality alcohol than vodka from the shop. No information on hawthorn bath lotion containing 93% of ethanol has been found. There could have been misinformation intended to disguise the fact that methanol was used as a substitute for medicinal ethanol.

Table 1. Average monthly salaries, pensions and minimal vodka prices in Russian Federation (roubles).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Average salary*</th>
<th>Average pension*</th>
<th>Min. vodka price 0.5 l</th>
<th>Salary/ vodka price</th>
<th>Pension/ vodka price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>32,500</td>
<td>10,800</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>33,100</td>
<td>10,900</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Vodka in a supermarket near the centre of Moscow (February 2018); minimal price for a 0.5l bottle – 206 roubles.
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The statement “one of the consequences of the legal alcohol shortages in 2006 was the appearance of alcohol surrogates including household chemistry liquids with new denaturant additives” [3] creates impression that consumers deliberately purchase technical liquids for drinking. In fact, the surrogates in vodka bottles, labelled as ordinary vodka, were sold through legally operating shops and kiosks [11,12], being indistinguishable by sight from authentic products. It is known by inside observers that consumption of technical liquids, lotions etc. decreased abruptly after the campaign - thanks to the “sudden availability of cheap alcohol” [13]. The concept that alcoholics would voluntarily drink surrogates when regular beverages are available is unfounded. They have their experience, distinguish between high and low-quality products, know their ailments that may exacerbate after the intake of poor-quality alcohol. Some alcoholics would never drink beer if it smells technical alcohol. However, ethanol from non-edible sources (synthetic and cellulosic) has been used after the campaign for production of legally sold beverages. Official permissions to use such alcohol were issued during the 1990s [14,15]. Permissions were later revoked; however, in conditions of disregard for some laws and regulations, the use of technical alcohol has been continued. Admittedly, the following statement can be agreed with: “…objection to pricing policy as a public health strategy is that heavy drinkers are likely to switch to alcohol surrogates as a substitute for legal alcohol, which it cannot afford to buy” [3]. So it happened during the campaign, when availability of alcoholic beverages was limited indeed, while consumption of technical liquids and lotions increased considerably [14]. Many distilleries producing vodka from grain and potatoes were dismantled at that time. After the campaign, technical alcohol found no demand from the stagnating industry. It was generally known and smelled by the drinking public that technical liquids were used for production of beverages legally sold in bottles with usual labels. The astringent taste of technical alcohol was known by the drinking public as it was purloined from some factories, scientific institutions etc. Reportedly, about a half of lethal poisonings by alcohol-containing liquids in the 1990s was caused in some areas by legally sold beverages [16]. There seems to be an improvement tendency; however, even today, according to Deputy Prime Minister of Russian Federation Alexander Khloponin, about 40% of Cognac legally sold in Russia is falsified (Figure 2). Real figures may be higher.

After the failure of the campaign in 1989, alcoholic beverages have become easily available, queues have disappeared. The state alcohol monopoly was revoked in 1992. As mentioned above, synthetic and cellulosic alcohol gained access to the beverage market: mixed with water, it was sold as vodka, added to beer, wine and other beverages [14,16,17]. Synthetic alcohol was imported to Russia from neighbouring countries: queues of tankers with ethanol were observed at border crossings. Whether and how these gasoline tankers were cleaned, is not known. The disdainful attitude of some new Russian business to the mass consumer is known. North Caucasus has been generally known as a nationwide source of cheap alcoholic beverages [14]. Technical alcohol was diluted and poured out into vodka bottles. It can be reasonably assumed that for small manufacturers it is uneconomic to alcohol… is produced, distributed and sold outside formal channels.” [4]. According to the World Health Organization [20], “unrecorded alcohol… is produced, distributed and sold outside formal channels.” Falsified alcohol is sold in Russia through the formal channels (legally functioning shops and eateries) so it does not correspond to the WHO definition of unrecorded alcohol. If a bottle goes through the counter in a legal shop, it is recorded as sold alcohol. Moreover, the term “non-deceptive counterfeits (products of which the consumers are fully aware that they are counterfeits usually because of their cheap price)” [18] is inadequate in this context as the counterfeits may be “non-deceptive” for resellers but not a priori for consumers - before they smell and taste the product. Note that original and falsified products are often sold at the same shop or supermarket, being indistinguishable from each other by sight.

Finally, the unrecorded consumption of alcohol was commonplace in Russia throughout the study period, especially in the most recent years, when a considerable proportion of vodka came from illicit sources [4]. According to the World Health Organization [20], “unrecorded alcohol… is produced, distributed and sold outside formal channels.” Falsified alcohol is sold in Russia through the formal channels (legally functioning shops and eateries) so it does not correspond to the WHO definition of unrecorded alcohol. If a bottle goes through the counter in a legal shop, it is recorded as sold alcohol. Moreover, the term “non-deceptive counterfeits (products of which the consumers are fully aware that they are counterfeits usually because of their cheap price)” [18] is inadequate in this context as the counterfeits may be “non-deceptive” for resellers but not a priori for consumers - before they smell and taste the product. Note that original and falsified products are often sold at the same shop or supermarket, being indistinguishable from each other by sight.

The last citation to be commented: “There are some indications, that mortality has been improving in Russia in the past decade, due
in part to the government's measures that have made alcohol less affordable" [4]. The mortality is decreasing indeed, although statistics might be overstated to highlight successes of governmental policies [2]. In conclusion, certain publications on alcohol consumption in Russia are biased towards overestimation of successes of governmental policies and obfuscation of the problem of legally sold falsified beverages and surrogates; more details are in [21].
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