Surgical Apgar score predicts postoperative complications after surgery for gastric cancer
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Abstract

Aim: Complete surgical resection plays the most important role in the cure of gastric cancer, although surgery for gastric cancer remains a high-risk procedure. Prediction of postoperative complications thus plays an important role in gastric cancer treatment. The aim of this study was to evaluate whether the Surgical Apgar Score (SAS) can predict the risk of complications after gastrectomy.

Method: We retrospectively compared clinical characteristics, surgical factors, and oncological factors between patients with and those without postoperative complications. Multivariate logistic-regression analysis was used to assess predictors of postoperative complications.

Results: The study group comprised 190 patients who underwent gastrectomy. Grade 2 or higher complications developed in 20 patients (10.5%). Total gastrectomy and an SAS of ≤6 were significantly more frequent among patients with complications, and these factors were identified to be independent predictors of postoperative complications. The odds ratio of an SAS of ≤6 was 3.606 (95% confidence interval, 1.080-12.020; p=0.037).

Conclusions: SAS is a useful predictor of complications after surgery for gastric cancer.

Introduction

Gastric Cancer (GC) is the third most common cause of cancer-related death in the world, and 723,027 patients are estimated to have died from this disease in 2012 [1]. Complete surgical resection plays the most important role in the cure of gastric cancer, although surgery for gastric cancer remains a high-risk procedure. Morbidity from radical gastrectomy ranges from 9% to 46% [2-6]. Recently, several large phase III trials have demonstrated that adjuvant chemotherapy, adjuvant chemoradiotherapy, and perioperative chemotherapy improves survival in patients with locally advanced GC [7-10]. However, postoperative complications sometimes delay or lead to the discontinuation of such postoperative therapy and can negatively affect patients’ quality of life and survival. Therefore, the prediction of postoperative complications has an important role in gastric cancer treatment.

Previously, several scoring systems were used to predict the risk of postoperative complications. However, systems such as the Physiologic and Operative Severity Score for the Enumeration of Mortality (POSSUM), the National Surgical Quality Improvement Programme (NSQIP), and the Estimation of Physiologic Ability and Surgical Stress (E-PASS) require complex calculations based on too many perioperative variables, making them inappropriate for clinical practice [11]. Another drawback is that these tools cannot evaluate the physical response to surgical stress during operation.

Gawande et al. [12] developed and validated the surgical Apgar score (SAS) and demonstrated that SAS can be useful for rating the condition of patients after general or vascular surgery. SAS is based on intraoperative blood loss, blood pressure, and heart rate [12]. ‘The score is very simple and easy to calculate and can be available immediately after surgery. However, the value of such a simple and useful tool for predicting postoperative complications remains to be fully confirmed in patients who undergo gastric surgery. We evaluated whether SAS can predict the risk of postoperative complications after gastrectomy.

Methods

Patients

We retrospectively studied consecutive patients with gastric adenocarcinoma who underwent elective gastrectomy in the Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Kanagawa Cancer Center from November 2008 through December 2009. Patients with other types of cancer were excluded.

Data collection

All data were retrieved from the patients’ clinical records. The 7th edition of the International Union against Cancer (UICC) TNM classification of malignant tumors was used for tumor staging [13]. The following data were extracted: gender, age, American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status (ASA-PS), comorbidity, type of surgery, surgical approach, extent of lymph-node dissection, operation time, estimated blood loss, complications, depth of tumor invasion
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(pT category), lymph-node status (pN category), presence or absence of distant metastases (pM category), pathological TNM stage (pStage) [13], and SAS.

**Surgical Apgar score**

SAS is calculated from the estimated blood loss, lowest mean arterial pressure, and lowest heart rate. The score is the sum of the points from each category (Table 1).

**Complications**

Complications were defined as grade 2 or higher complications according to the Clavien-Dindo classification that occurred within 30 days after surgery [14].

**Ethics**

This study, a retrospective analysis, was approved by the institutional review board of Kanagawa Cancer Center. Informed consent for using the clinical data without disclosing any personal information was obtained from all patients before surgery.

**Statistical analysis**

We compared patients with postoperative complications with those without postoperative complications. The groups were compared with the use of the χ² test for binary data. We set the cutoff value for the SAS between 6 and 7 points [12,15]. The cutoff values for operation time and estimated blood loss were set at the medians. We assessed predictors of postoperative complications with the use of multivariate logistic-regression analysis, including all assessed factors. All data analyses were carried out using SPSS version 20 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Two-sided P values were calculated, and a difference was considered statistically significant at P<0.05.

**Results**

A total of 191 patients were identified during the study period. Complete medical records were not available for 1 patient. Thus, 190 patients were assessed in this study (Figure 1).

**Patient clinicopathological characteristics**

There was no significant difference between patients with complications and those without complications in gender, age, ASA-PS, number of comorbidities, surgical approach, lymph-node dissection, operation time, pT category, pN category, pM category, or pStage. Total gastrectomy and an SAS of ≤6 were significantly more common among patients who had complications (Table 2).

**Complications**

Grade 2 or higher complications developed in 20 patients (10.5%). Pancreatic fistula occurred in 4 patients (2.1%), obstruction in 4 patients (2.1%), anastomosis leakage in 3 patients (1.5%), anemia in 3 patients (1.5%), postoperative bleeding in 2 patients (1.0%), abdominal abscess in 1 patient (0.5%), lymphorrhea in 1 patient (0.5%), cholangitis in 1 patient (0.5%), and ascites in 1 patient (0.5%) (Table 3).

**Table 1. Surgical Apgar Score.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Patients undergoing gastrectomy N=201</th>
<th>Patients with adenocarcinoma N=196</th>
<th>Patients with adenocarcinoma N=191</th>
<th>Assessed patients N=190</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender Male</td>
<td>15 (75)</td>
<td>118 (69)</td>
<td>138 (81)</td>
<td>104 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt;75</td>
<td>18 (90)</td>
<td>137 (81)</td>
<td>130 (79)</td>
<td>86 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA-PS 1</td>
<td>7 (35)</td>
<td>65 (38)</td>
<td>80 (47)</td>
<td>56 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation time (min.) ≥187</td>
<td>13 (65)</td>
<td>103 (61)</td>
<td>105 (62)</td>
<td>96 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated blood loss (ml) ≥96</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
<td>70 (42)</td>
<td>80 (48)</td>
<td>50 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical Apgar score ≤6</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
<td>32 (19)</td>
<td>39 (22)</td>
<td>20 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT category T1</td>
<td>10 (50)</td>
<td>58 (35)</td>
<td>68 (42)</td>
<td>40 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN category N1</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>23 (14)</td>
<td>34 (18)</td>
<td>18 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pM category M0</td>
<td>19 (95)</td>
<td>155 (91)</td>
<td>160 (92)</td>
<td>100 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pStage I</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td>51 (30)</td>
<td>59 (33)</td>
<td>39 (21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Complications were defined as grade 2 or higher complications according to Clavien-Dindo classification within 30 days after surgery.

*ASA-PS, American society of anesthesiologists physical status
Predicting the risk of complications associated with various procedures [12,15,19-24]. In patients who underwent gastrectomy, Miki et al. [15] demonstrated that a "modified" SAS was a useful predictor of the development of severe complications. They modified the estimated blood loss score by using quartile values based on their own data set. However, estimated blood loss for the same surgical procedure differs among institutes. In fact, our median estimated blood loss was lower than theirs (96 ml vs. 274 ml). Surgical stress as evaluated on the basis of estimated blood loss seems to be similar among different procedures [18]. From the viewpoint of general use and the surgical stress of patients, we consider the original SAS classification better than the modified version.

Our study had several limitations. This was a retrospective observational study that was performed in a single institution that specializes in cancer therapy. The validity of our results therefore must be confirmed in larger studies including a wider range of hospitals. Another limitation was that the SAS is strongly affected by the protocol for anesthesia management, such as the use of beta-blockers or epidural anesthesia. This factor might have biased our results.

A recent study has shown that postoperative intra-abdominal infectious complications adversely affect overall survival and relapse-free survival [25,26]. On the other hand, the SAS might be a scoring system that mirrors general physiological performance, considered an important factor in overall survival. Hence, further studies should be performed to confirm whether SAS is an accurate predictor of survival.

In conclusion, our results suggest that SAS is useful for predicting the risk of complications after surgery for gastric cancer.
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