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Abstract
Migraine is a debilitating neurological disorder which is characterized by recurring pulsatile pain on one or both sides of the head. Triptans are used for the management of migraine. These therapeutic agents act by activating the serotonin 5-HT signaling pathway. In this project, our aim was to develop transdermal drug delivery systems for two medications [frovatriptan succinate monohydrate and rizatriptan benzoate] commonly used for the management of migraine. The rationale is that transdermal drug delivery systems are capable in increasing the bioavailability of medications. In this project, we wanted to find out if microneedle rollers can significantly increase the percutaneous transport of frovatriptan succinate monohydrate and rizatriptan benzoate across pig ear skin in vitro. The flux value for microneedle-assisted drug permeation of frovatriptan across pig ear skin was 25.7 ± 2.5 µg/cm²/h in comparison to passive transdermal flux which was 13.46 ± 1.3 µg/cm²/h. The mean passive flux of rizatriptan was 20.2 ± 5.0 µg/cm²/h as compared to microneedle-mediated flux [51.8 ± 9.3 µg/cm²/h]. Student’s t-test determined that there was a statistically significant difference [p<0.05] between the flux values of microneedle-mediated transport of frovatriptan succinate monohydrate and rizatriptan benzoate across pig ear skin in comparison with passive diffusion.

Introduction
Migraine is a common neurological disorder which is characterized by recurring pulsatile pain on one or both sides of the head [1]. In the United States, there are nearly 37 million patients who suffer from migraine [2,3]. Migraine attacks can last from 4-72 hours, aggravated by movement and may cause individuals to often experience a significant decline in their routine physical activities [4]. The episodic disorder is a significant socioeconomic problem because migraine attacks are ranked sixth in the cause of years of life lost in individuals due to physical or mental impairment in the universal population and also rank third for years of life lost in individuals <50 years old [5-7]. Symptoms of this disorder present as moderate-to-severe headaches, nausea, emesis, dizziness, blurred vision, and sensitivity to light, sound, and touch [1]. There are two main types of migraine, the common migraine and the classic migraine. Classic migraine is accompanied by visual disturbances (aura) [8]. The etiology of migraine is still unknown; however, it is postulated that the disorder involves abnormal activation of the trigeminal vascular system (TGVS) [9]. Several researchers have pointed out that migraine is associated with the imbalance in inhibitory and excitatory factors affecting brain function [10]. Although there is no cure for migraine, various drug treatments are available to alleviate symptoms [11].

There are numerous medications used in management of migraine, especially when patients complain of frequent attacks [12,13]. Frequencies fluctuate back and forth from a moderate to severe pattern and each year about 3% of individuals who suffer from episodic migraine attacks report headaches occurring more than 15 days/month [7]. Pharmacotherapy is aimed at eliminating attacks and providing prompt relief from pain. Triptans are commonly used as medications for the management of migraine attacks [14]. Serotonin (5-HT) is mainly found in three main cell types—(a) serotonergic neurons in the CNS and in the intestinal myenteric plexus, (b) enterochromaffin cells in the mucosa of the gastrointestinal tract and (c) in blood platelets [15]. Three distinct pharmacological actions have been implicated in the antimigraine effect of the triptans [16] – (1) stimulation of presynaptic 5-HT1D receptor, which serves to inhibit both dural vasodilation and inflammation (2) direct inhibition of trigeminal nuclei cell excitability via 5-HT1B/1D receptor agonism in the brainstem and (3) vasoconstriction of meningeal, dural, or cerebral vessels as a result of vascular 5-HT1B receptor agonism [1,17]. 5-HT is a key mediator in pathogenesis of migraine. It has been suggested that migraine is associated with a low level of serotonin which activates the trigeminovascular nociceptive pathway, altering 5-HT neurotransmission and manifesting a migraine headache [18]. Consequently, serotonin agonists are used to treat migraine because they narrow cranial blood vessels [19-21]. (19-21). The successful use of the first generation triptan, sumatriptan, has led to the development of other compounds with much improved pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties, efficacy, and safety [22]. Second generation triptans are more lipophilic and capable of penetrating the blood brain barrier, thereby reaching their site of action readily [22].
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Frovatriptan succinate monohydrate (FSM) is a second-generation triptan used for the management of acute migraine (with or without aura) [23]. It has a molecular weight of 379.41 g/mol [24]. The precise mechanism of action of frovatriptan is unknown, but is thought to be associated with agonism at serotonin 5-HT1B and 5-HT1D receptors, resulting in inhibition of intracranial and extracerebral artery vasodilation [8]. FSM has the highest 5-HT1B potency in the triptan class. With a long terminal elimination half-life of 26 hours, FSM has greater clinical consistency and sustained effect with lower risk of recurrence [23,25,26].

Rizatriptan benzoate (RB) is a selective agonist of serotonin and stimulates 5-HT1B/1D receptor [27]. It has a molecular weight of 391.47 g/mol and a weak affinity for subtypes 5-HT1A, 5-HT5A, and 5-HT7 [27]. Like FSM, RB binds selectively with high affinity for 5-HT receptor subtypes 1B/1D. RB acts by stimulating the presynaptic 5-HT1B/1D receptor, inhibiting dural vasodilation, inflammation, and reducing the excitability of trigeminal nuclei cell [28,29]. This action aids in the prevented release of nociceptive neuropeptides from presynaptic nerves and reduced trigeminal pain signal transmission [30].

Triptans are commonly administered orally as tablets. However, there are many limitations with the oral route of drug administration such as erratic absorption, gastric irritation, enzymatic degradation and pre-systemic metabolism [31]. In addition, patients may experience vomiting and nausea symptoms [32]. Currently there are 35 approved transdermal products [33] with about 21 active pharmaceutical ingredients.

Transdermal drug delivery (TDD) refers to the application of a drug to the skin for systemic effect [34]. The drug initially penetrates through the stratum corneum [SC] and then passes through the viable epidermis and dermis [35]. When the drug reaches the dermis, it becomes available for systemic absorption through blood vessels located in the dermis [32,36,37]. TDD has many advantages over the oral and injection routes such as drug delivery at a controlled rate, non-invasiveness, and avoidance of needle sticks, controlled high blood concentrations, and simple to use [38-40]. Furthermore, enhanced bioavailability and a more uniform drug plasma level may lead to increased efficacy and a reduction in adverse events [32]. TDD improves patient compliance due to the reduction of dosing frequencies and is also more amenable to self-administration [41]. Despite the advantages, TDD faces a challenge against the skin barrier due to the unique structure of the human skin.

The skin is the largest organ in the body and provides protection for internal organs [42,43]. The human skin comprises the epidermis, dermis and the subcutaneous layer. The stratum corneum is the outer most layer of the skin with an average thickness of 13-20 μm [44,45]. The epidermis consists of keratinocytes with proteins and tight junctions [46]. With an aqueous environment, diffusion rate of lipophilic compounds may be affected. To penetrate the skin, drug molecules must cross the lipid-rich stratum corneum [32,34]. Percutaneous transport of drug molecules through the skin can be also achieved by diffusion through hair follicles and sweat glands [35].

There are numerous methods for enhancing drug delivery through the skin [47]. These include chemical enhancers, and physical enhancers such as sonophoresis, iontophoresis and microneedles [29,48].

Microneedles [MNs] are micron-sized needles used for transdermal drug delivery [49]. These devices create microchannels in the skin thereby facilitating drug transport [49,50]. MNs can be categorized into five types. These are solid, hollow, coated, dissolving and hydrogel-forming [51,52]. MNs are painless, minimally invasive and are easily disposable [51]. The use of microneedles does not always guarantee that there will be an increase in the transdermal diffusion rate. For example, Vitorino and co-workers showed that the use of a 500 μm microneedle roller [Dermaroller*] had little or no effect on the drug transdermal delivery of olanzapine and simvastatin [53]. The interactions between the skin, drugs, and microneedle devices are complex and can only be determined experimentally.

The aim of our research was to investigate the effect of solid microneedle rollers on the transport of two antimigraine drugs, frovatriptan succinate monohydrate and rizatriptan benzoate, across pig ear skin in vitro.

Materials

The microneedle rollers were purchased from Pearl Enterprises LLC [Lakewood, New Jersey, USA]. RB, FSM, ethanol, and 0.1M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), were purchased from Sigma Aldrich [Saint Louis, Missouri, USA]. Distilled ionized (DI) water was obtained from NanoPure Infinity Ultrapure [Barnstead, Dubuque, Iowa, USA]. High-vacuum silicone grease gel (Dow Corning*) was also purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Saint Louis, Missouri, USA).

Methods

Pig ear skin preparation

Pig ears were bought from Pel-Freez Biologicals (Rogers, Arkansas, USA). Each pig ear was first thawed to room temperature and shaved with a hair clipper. An electric dermatome (Nouvag) was used to prepare split thickness skin samples. The thickness of each pig ear skin sample was measured with Mitutoyo micrometer (Mitutoyo, Tokyo, Japan) with an average of 542 μm. Skin membranes were then wrapped in aluminum foil and stored at -20 °C for experiments and LC-MS analysis. Laboratory experiments were authorized by the Institutional Biosafety Committee (IBC) and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Touro University California.

Visualization of microchannel by Confocal Microscopy

Confocal microscopy (CFM) was used to visualize microchannels created using solid microneedles on porcine ear skin. CFM was carried out at the Laura Van Winkle Laboratory at the University of California, Davis. Pig ear skin samples were initially treated with a microneedle roller by applying pressure in vertical and horizontal strokes. Next, the pre-treated skin samples were stained with Alexa Fluor dye 488 from Sigma Aldrich followed by a rinse with DI water to remove superfluous dye. For the control, intact porcine ear skin samples (without microneedle pretreatment) were similarly stained with Alexa Fluor dye 488 and rinsed as well. Images of the treated and untreated skin samples were obtained using the Leica DFC-295 (Davis, California, USA).

Diffusion Studies

In vitro diffusion studies were carried out using a Franz diffusion cell system consisting of 6 cells. Each individual cell was made up of a donor compartment, receptor compartment, a sampling port and a magnetic stirrer, maintained at 37 °C to mimic the human body temperature. The acceptor compartment had a volume capacity of 12 ml filled with PBS and diffusion surface area of 1.77 cm².

Porcine skin samples were treated with a solid microneedle roller to create pores and microchannels. This was done so by applying pressure (~9kg for 60 seconds) using the microneedle roller against...
the skin membrane, five times in each direction, per application. This effort ensures that the skin samples will have a greater number of microconduits increasing the efficiency of TDD. After the application, both pretreated and untreated porcine skin samples were placed between the donor compartment and the receptor compartment, sandwitching the skin membrane. A high-vacuum grease gel (Dow Corning®, Midland, Massachusetts, USA) and a metal clamp were used to seal the system. During each experiment, the donor compartment would consist of 1 mL of either FSM or RB loaded over all 6 skin samples. The top of the donor compartment and the sampling port were covered with parafilm and aluminum foil to ensure that there is no contamination or evaporation of the liquid compound.

Diffusion experiments of FSM and RB were repeated 6 times (n=6). The experiment was designed to last for a 12-hour period and 1 mL samples were taken every 2 hours from the sampling port of each cell and then carefully put into glass vials (Agilent Santa Clara, California, USA) and stored at -8 °C for LC-MS analysis. The cumulative amount of FSM and RB which permeated skin samples was plotted against time [0-12 hours]. Transdermal flux values were calculated from the linear portion of the cumulative amount versus time curves.

**Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS) Conditions**

High performance LC-MS analysis and diode array detection (DAD) of FSM and RB was performed using Agilent 1200 Series system. Time-of-flight mass spectrometry (TOF-MS) and Agilent 6230 Ion Trap detector (Agilent, Santa Clara, California, USA) were used. Chromatographic separation of FSM and RB was preformed using Zorbax Eclipse Plus C18 [100 mm x 2.1 mm, 3.5 µm column], which was maintained at a temperature reading of 30 °C. The analytical column was protected by a guard column with a similar stationary phase (Agilent, Santa Clara, California, USA). The mobile phase was made up of 0.1% formic acid + water (solvent A) and 0.1% formic acid + acetonitrile (solvent B).

A sample volume of 5 µL was injected during the initial mobile phase B of the linear gradient program, starting from 3% to 100% in three minutes. Later the mobile phase B was increased from 20% to 100% in two minutes. An equilibration was conducted at mobile phase of 3% for 4 minutes before each sample was tested. To avoid ion suppression and MS contamination, flow rate was redirected during the first one minute of analysis, yielding a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min⁻¹. Calibration curves were prepared in fresh PBS.

In positive mode, MS data was collected using the electrospray ionization (ESI) potential and collision-induced dissociation potential. Absolute values were recorded at 3500 V and 175 V, respectively. Parameters of MS data collected were set to the following: gas temperature of 350 °C, N₂ drying gas, flow rate of 10 L/min⁻¹, and lastly the nebulizer pressure was 2.4 x 10⁴ Pa. In centroid mode, analyses were conducted at an m/z range of 90 amu to 500 amu. Both compounds, FSM and RB, were quantified in positive ESI MS/MS and reconstructed ion mode yielding values of 244.13 m/z and 270.16 m/z, respectively (Table 1).

**Data Analysis**

The average cumulative amount of drug diffusing through each porcine ear skin was plotted against time. Transdermal flux values were calculated from individual linear portions of the cumulative amount versus time curves. Six replicates [n=6] were used in this study. The corrected drug concentration for sampling effects was calculated with Equation 1 (Hayton-Chen equation) [54]:

\[
C_n = \frac{V_t}{(V_f - V_r)C_{n-1}} \left( C_{n-1} - C_{n-1} \right)
\]

**Table 1. HPLC-MS Quantification Parameter**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>Quantification (m/z)</th>
<th>Limit of detection (ng)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSM</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>244.13</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>270.16</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results**

**Characteristics of Microneedle Roller**

Solid microneedles leverage the advantages of minimal invasiveness, painlessness, ease of application, and avoidance of skin irritation [52]. A solid, stainless-steel microneedle roller was used in this study to deliver antimigraine agents FSM and RB across pig ear skin (Figure 1). Each roller was applied to the pig ear skin with force, vertically and horizontally, to ensure proper penetration of micronized needles. The microneedle roller has a density of 192 needles and a length of 300 µm. Following the use of a microneedle roller, channels were imaged and visualized, as shown in Figure 2A and 2B.

**Visualization of Microchannels by Confocal Microscopy (CFM)**

Both treated and untreated porcine skin membranes were stained with Alexa Fluor dye 488 and later sectioned using the Leica CM-1950 cryostat. Samples were then placed to rest on a clean microscope slide and observed under the CFM. Figure 2A shows an intact layer of skin in which the SC has not been disrupted, therefore showing no visualization of a microchannel. In contrary, Figure 2B shows a sample of pig ear skin which has been pretreated with a microneedle roller. The microchannel depth is 265 µm.

**In Vitro Diffusion Studies**

The purpose of carrying out an in vitro diffusion study was to identify the effects and influence solid microneedles had on the transcutaneous absorption of FSM and RB. This experiment used porcine ear skin samples as a representative of the human epidermal layer. In our experiments, the mean skin thickness of porcine skin samples were 462 ± 0.15 µm.

The transdermal transport of FSM and RB was plotted as a cumulative amount versus the function of time in hours (Figures 3A and 4A), respectively. It showed a steady increase after the use of microneedle rollers when compared to control (passive diffusion). There is an increase in transdermal flux of FSM in vitro from 13.4 ± 1.3
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Figure 1. Solid stainless-steel microneedle roller (length=500 µm; density=192 needles)

Figure 2. Visualization and characteristics depth of a single microchannel by CFM. (A) shows a sample of untreated porcine skin (control) and (B) displays a microneedle-treated porcine skin (depth=265 µm)

µg/cm²/h across untreated porcine skin to 25.7 ± 2.5 µg/cm²/h across microneedle-treated porcine skin, as shown in Figure 3B. RB showed a transdermal flux value of untreated porcine skin (20.2 ± 5.0 µg/cm²/h) compared to an enhanced flux value across microneedle-mediated porcine skin (51.8 ± 9.3 µg/cm²/h) (Figure 4B).

Discussion

The focus of our study was to test an alternative route of administration, transdermal drug delivery, for two anti-migraine agents (FSM and RB). We hypothesized that the use of solid microneedle rollers will increase transdermal flux values for FSM and RB. Our results indicated that there was a statistically significant difference in transdermal flux values of FSM and RB when microneedle rollers were used in comparison to passive diffusion.

The intended development of an alternative route of administration such as TDD was driven by an unmet medical need. Hoang et al. described the long-term AEs of using oral non-ergot dopamine agonists in the management of Parkinson’s disease [54]. By using MNs, the authors investigated the influence of solid microneedles on the percutaneous transport of amantadine hydrochloride and pramipexole dihydrochloride(54). Building on their rationale in developing transdermal formulations to yield stable plasma concentrations and better patient compliance [54], we studied the transcutaneous flux of FSM and RB. Transdermal drug administration is painless, and can lead to improved patient compliance [54] particularly in the elderly, adolescents, and patients who have difficulty with swallowing oral tablets [49]. Some of the issues associated with oral tablets and injections can be overcome by utilizing the transdermal route [49].

The human skin is the largest organ and constitutes a formidable defense against microorganisms and toxic chemicals [55]. The skin is made up of three layers: the epidermis, dermis, and the hypodermis. The SC is made up of corneocytes embedded in a lipid matrix [55,56], however the SC poses a challenge to drug delivery [49,54]. Before absorption in the dermis, a drug molecule has several barriers to overcome [46]. In our study, we used solid stainless-steel microneedle rollers with a length of 500 µm and a density of 192 needles to create microchannels in the SC. Confocal microscopy images confirmed that solid microneedle roller did in fact successfully break intact porcine skin and create microchannels (average 265µm), allowing percutaneous penetration of FSM and RB.
Paracellular or intercellular routes between the corneocytes are identified as a primary transport pathway for lipophilic drug molecules [33,52]. This route requires the drug to diffuse through bilayers of ceramides made of free fatty acids, esters, and cholesterol. Depending on the anatomical site, rate of diffusion may be affected by differences in corneocytes sizes.

Diffusion rate also can depend on multiple factors such as molecular weight, kinetic energy of the molecule, concentration gradient, use of physical or chemical enhancers, and distance from the SC to the main bloodstream. Current physiochemical requirements for transdermal drug candidates for passive diffusion is that the molecules must be potent, highly lipophilic, low in molecular weight [<500 Da], low melting point (<150 °C), sufficient pH (between 6 to 7.4), and pKa which determines solubility of the unionized state of the drug molecule.

FSM and RB are potent 5-HT<sub>1B/1D</sub> receptor agonists and have molecular weights of 379.41 g/mol and 391.47 g/mol, respectively. Pharmacological studies have demonstrated that FSM is cerebroselective [57]. FSM and RB are good TDD candidates because of their small molecular weight, lipophilicity, partition coefficient and solubility.

Only few compounds can traverse the skin in sufficient quantity to provide a therapeutic effect. FSM has a long terminal elimination half-life time of 26 hours compared to other triptans (RB half-life is 2-3 hours). Evers <i>et al</i> investigated the efficacy of oral FSM as compared to other triptans in migraine with aura through a metaanalysis study [57]. Their data confirmed that FSM provided an efficacious treatment for migraine attacks with aura when taken during the headache phase and had significantly lower relapse rate as compared to rizatriptan, zolmitriptan, and almotriptan[57].

In our study, FSM had a flux value of 25.7 µg/cm²/h when microneedle rollers were used on porcine skin in comparison to passive diffusion (13.4 µg/cm²/h). RB had a microneedle-mediated flux rate of 51.8 µg/cm²/h and a passive flux rate of 20.2 µg/cm²/h. The drugs had enhanced transport rates across microneedle-mediated skin in comparison with passive diffusion. Microconduits created by the microneedle rollers led to higher transdermal flux values. Microneedle rollers significantly increased the percutaneous transport of both frovatriptan succinate monohydrate and rizatriptan benzoate across pig ear skin in vitro.
Though there are several reports using microneedles for transdermal drug delivery, there are still many hurdles for scientists and researchers to cross. In summary, the results of our study provide evidence that solid microneedle rollers can be used to deliver antimigraine drugs, FSM and RB in vitro across the skin.

Conclusion

The use of a microneedle roller led to a statistically significant difference in transdermal flux values of FSM and RB in comparison to passive delivery. There was an increase in flux values for medications when microneedles were used, in contrast to passive diffusion. In our study, it was demonstrated that the microneedle device disrupted the SC leading to enhanced delivery of FSM and RB. Future studies can investigate the usefulness of other types of microneedles such as coated, dissolving, hollow, or hydrogel-forming microneedles for the transport of these medications, as well as the use of physical and chemical enhancers.
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