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Abstract
It is proved that if a smooth function \( u(x) \), \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), such that \( \inf_{s \in S} \| \phi(s) \| > 0 \), where \( \phi \) is the normal derivative of \( u \) on \( S \), then the function \( u(s) + \epsilon \phi(s) \) has also a closed smooth surface \( S_\epsilon \) of zeros. Here \( \epsilon \) is a small number, and \( \epsilon > 0 \) is a sufficiently small number.

Introduction
Let \( D \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) be a bounded domain containing inside a connected closed \( C^2 \)-smooth surface \( S \), which is the set of zeros of a function \( u \in C(D) \), so that Consider the scattering problem:

\[
\mathbf{u} \big|_{\partial D} = 0
\]

Let \( N = N(s) \) be the normal to \( S \), such that \( u_N = \nabla u(s) \), where \( u_N \) is the normal derivative of \( u \) on \( S \). Let \( \eta \geq u + \epsilon \nu \), where \( u \in \mathbb{C}(D) \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \) is sufficiently small. Assume that

\[
\inf_{s \in S} \| u(s) \| \geq 2c_1 > 0, \quad c_1 = \text{const} > 0.
\]

The purpose of this paper is to prove Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. Under the above assumptions there exists a smooth closed surface \( S^0 \), such that \( u = 0 \) on \( S^0 \).

In Section 2 Theorem 1 is proved.

Although there are many various results on perturbation theory, see [2], [3], the result formulated in Theorem 1 is new.

Proof of Theorem 1
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u(s + tN + \epsilon \nu \ s + tN = 0 \]

where \( N = N(s) \) is the normal to \( S \) at the point \( s \) and \( t \) is a parameter. Using the Taylor’s formula and relation (1), one gets from (3)
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\[ c_3 = \max_{s,t \in \mathbb{R}^3} \left( 2t \left| \phi(t,s,\epsilon) \right| + t^2 \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right| \right) \leq c_4 \delta < 1, \quad (15) \]

if \( \phi \) is sufficiently small. Here \( c_4 \) is a constant.

Thus, \( B \) is a contraction on \( M \). By the contraction mapping principle, equation (6) is uniquely solvable for \( t \). Its solution \( t = t(s) \) allows one to construct the zero surface \( S \) of the function \( u \) by the equation \( r(s,t) = \varphi \), where \( r(s,t) \) is the radius vector of the points on \( S \).

Theorem 1 is proved.

Remark 1. Condition (2) is a sufficient condition for the validity of Theorem 1. Although this condition is not necessary, if it does not hold one can construct counterexamples to the conclusion of Theorem 1. For example, assume that \( u(x) \geq 0 \) and \( u(x) = 0 \) on \( S \), and let \( \nu > 0 \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \). Then the function \( u_{\nu} = u + \epsilon \nu \) does not have zeros in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

Remark 2. In scattering theory the following question is of interest: assume that \( u(x) \) is an entire function of exponential type, \( u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{i \beta x} f(\beta) d\beta \), where \( f \in L^1(\mathbb{S}^2) \). Assume that \( u = 0 \) on \( S \), where \( S \) is a closed smooth connected surface in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Is there another closed smooth connected surface of the function \( U \) of exponential type, \( U = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{i \beta x} \left( f(\beta) + g(\beta) \right) d\beta \), where \( g \in L^1(\mathbb{S}^2) \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \) is a small parameter?

We will not use Theorem 1 since assumption (2) may not hold, but sketch an argument, based on the fact that \( S \) in the above question is the intersection of an analytic set with \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), see, for example, [1] for the definition and properties of analytic sets. The functions \( U \) and \( U_{\nu} \) in Remark 2 solve the differential equation

\[ \nabla^2 u + k^2 u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^3, \quad k^2 = \text{const} > 0. \quad (16) \]

The function \( U_{\nu} \) may vanish on \( S \) at most on the closed set \( \sigma \subset S \), which is of the surface measure zero (by the uniqueness of the solution to the Cauchy problem for equation (16)). For every point \( s \in S \setminus \sigma \) the argument given in the proof of Theorem 1 yields the existence of \( t(s) \), the unique solution to (6). Since \( S \) is real analytic, the set \( S_{\nu} \), defined in the proof of Theorem 1, is analytic and \( S \) is a part of the analytic set defined by the equation \( u = 0 \). In our problem \( S \) is a bounded closed real analytic surface. The set \( S_{\nu} \) can be continued analytically to an analytic set which intersects the real space \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) over a real analytic surface \( S \). It is still an open problem to prove (or disprove) that the analytic continuation of the set \( S_{\nu} \) intersects \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) over a bounded closed real analytic surface \( S_{\nu} \).
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