Evaluation of genotoxicity and cytotoxicity amongst in dental surgeons and technicians by micronucleus assay
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Abstract

Introduction: Dental surgeons and technicians are continuously exposed to agents could be affect the genetic material and induce mutations. The aim of this study was to evaluate the genotoxic and cytotoxic occupational risk of dental surgeons and technicians through the micronucleated cells (MNC) and nuclear abnormalities (NA) assay in oral mucosa.

Methods: Case-control study. We have collected a buccal mucosa from dental surgeons, dental technicians and healthy individuals (matched by BMI, age and gender). The smears were fixed (ethanol 80%/48 h), stained (orange acridine) and analyzed (microscope, 100×). The frequency of MNC and NA (binucleated cells [BNC], lobulated nucleus [LN], condensed chromatin [CC], karyorrhexis [KR], pyknosis [PN] and karyolysis [KL]) were counted in 2,000 cells per participant.

Results: 90 samples were collected (26 surgeons, 19 technicians and 45 controls). Compared with controls, exception of PN, in surgeons was higher frequency and positive association of MNC and all NA (p<0.05). Technicians were higher frequency of MNC, BNC, CC, KR and CD (p<0.05).

Conclusion: The dental surgeons and technicians have genotoxic and cytotoxic damage, demonstrated by MNC and NA, which are related to an increase risk of cancer, chronic degenerative diseases and premature aging. It is necessary to improve biosecurity actions and implement routine genotoxic risk detection methods.

Abbreviations: BNC: Binucleated cells (damage to cytokinesis); CC: Condensed chromatin; CD: Cell death (CC+KR+KL); D-DNA: DNA damage (MNC+LN); KL: Karyolysis; KR: Karyorrhexis; MNC: Micronucleated cells; LN: Lobulated nucleus; PN: Pyknotic nucleus.

Introduction

The genetic integrity of numerous organisms, including humans, is compromised as a result of exposure to diverse potentially genotoxic; chemical, physical and biological agents. For this motive, it is important to determine the ‘tolerable’ level of genetic damage and to implement trials that allow routine evaluation of this type of damage, in order to identify risk populations and enforce biosecurity actions [1]. For their part, dental surgeons and technicians have chronic exposure to agents that increase oxidative stress and could be dangerous to genetic material [2]. This materials can be applied to tissues with a high rate of cell division like epithelia [1,2], among them oral mucosa. The mucosa is a non-keratinized flat stratified epithelium, and may reflect the genotoxic effect that happened in cells of the basal layer, which will migrate to the superficial layer where they are exfoliated [13,14].

MNs are whole chromosomes or fragments of chromosomes that are delayed during cell division and appear in the cytoplasm of daughter cells as an additional minor nucleus, smaller than the main nucleus.
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[11-13,15]: NAs are cells with a lobulated nucleus (LN), binucleated cells (BNC), condensed chromatin (CC), karyorrhexis (KR), pyknotic nucleus (PN) and karyolysis (KL). These are phenomena that can appear in anomalous process of cellular differentiation and are indicators of DNA damage; (D DNA), variations in cytokinesis (BNC) and cell death (CC, KR, PN and KL), the mechanism of development or the biological significance of each of these nuclear abnormalities is not completely explained so far, it has been associated with a sizeable number of chronic degenerative diseases, neoplastic progressions, premature aging, among others [11,12,15-20]. These phenomena can be identified by the criteria established by Tolbert and Thomas et al. [21-23]. The aim of this study was to evaluate the genotoxic and cytotoxic occupational risk of dental surgeons and technicians through the micronucleated cells (MNC) and nuclear abnormalities (NA) assay in oral mucosa.

Material and methods

Study population
Case-control study. We carried this study at January 2017 to February 2018. The study subjects were divided into 4 groups: 1) Dental surgeons (n=26), 2) Control of dental surgeons (n=26), 3) Dental technicians (n=19), and 4) Control of dental technicians (n=19); All participants worked in different dental labs in Guadalajara city Jalisco, Mexico. Dental surgeons and dental technicians had to have at least one year of labor in the area; the controls were defined as individuals not related to the profession, which were matched by age, sex and BMI, with apparently good health status and without habits of alcohol or tobacco. None suffered from chronic degenerative diseases and the intake of medications known as micro nucleogenic was ruled out. We excluded from the trial all insufficient or poor-quality samples and subjects who withdrew their consent.

Sampling and sample processing
Two samples of exfoliated epithelial cells of the oral mucosa of each participant were taken, these were obtained by a soft rubbing of the previously moistened cheek (cheek-swab). The smears were made on previously coded slides, dried at room temperature, fixed with 80% ethanol for 48 hours and stained with orange acridine base (Sigma-Aldrich 235474-25G Lot. #MKBD5351V) in buffer phosphate (Sodium phosphate dibasic S5136-500G Sigma-Aldrich Lot. # SLBN3067V; Sigma-Aldrich S9638-500G Lot. # SLBN4713V). They were examined under the microscope (Carl Zeiss IVFL Axiostar Plus * equipped with fluorescence IVFL filters of 450-490 nm) with 100x optical amplification (planacromatic, 1.25 oil) where 2,000 cells were examined per sample to quantify the frequency of cells with MNC or NA (LN, BNC, PN, CC, KR and KL) (Figure 1).

Data analysis
We compared the variables (MNC, NA, age, gender, BMI, smoking, exposure time in years) of dental surgeons vs. control of dental surgeons and dental technicians vs. control of dental technicians, and an evaluation was made between dental surgeons and dental technicians. The Mann-Whitney U was used for non-parametric variables and the t-student (Statistical significance test methods were p<0.05) through the GraphPad Prism 7 program for the parametric ones. For the intra-group data association analysis, each of the at-risk populations was divided by age (years):<25 and>25 years; BMI (Kg/m²): 18.5-24.9 and>25; working time (years): <5 and>5; smoking: positive and negative; Gender: male and female.

Ethical consideration
This study was registered in the Directorate of Research of the Autonomous University of Guadalajara (5-029-302-15-022), it was performed under the Mexican health laws established in PROY- NOM-012-SSA3-2007 (DOF: 05-11-2009) and all the points established in the Federal Law for the Protection of Personal Data (DOF: 05-07-2010) were accomplished.

Informed consent
Each participant had a verbal explanation of the objectives and risks of the study, after which they signed an informed consent, in addition to responding a questionnaire to collect demographic information.

Results
90 samples of subjects between 18 and 68 years of age were gathered; 26 were from dental surgeons and 19 from dental technicians each with their respective control group, deprived of statistically significant differences in age, gender or BMI (Table 1). It should be noted that all subjects at risk in both populations, used the basic established biosecurity measures (coppins, lenses, covers, gowns and gloves).

When analyzing the frequency of MNC and NA in dental surgeons, it was perceived that they report a higher frequency of nearly all of them compared to their respective controls (p<0.05) except in PN. The magnitude of association of the work of dental surgeons with the presence of each variable was inconstant, the one that obtained the highest degree of association was the presence of KR with an OR of 18.9 (2.5-210.3) p<.02 (Table 2, Figure 2). When analyzing the frequency of MNC and NA in dental technicians, higher frequency (p<0.05) of MNC, BNC, CC, KR and CD was discovered.

The degree of association of the work of dental technicians with the presence of each of these variables was inconstant, the only one that had

Figure 1. Microphotographs of MNI and NA, identified according to the HUMNxl scoring criteria. The figure shows a series of 8 microphotographs of oral mucosa cells stained with acridine orange at 100x optic amplification with a Carl Zeiss IVFL Axiostar Plus microscope, 450-490 nm fluorescence filters. a) Normal buccal cell without any MNI or NA, b) A buccal cell with the presence of Micronucleus (CMN) (white arrow), c) a Lobed-nuclei cell (LN), d) a Binucleated cell (BNC), e) a Karyorrhetic cell f) a Condensed-chromatin cell (CC), g) a Pyknotic cell (PN) and h) Karyolitic cell (KL)
Table 1. Data of dental surgeons, dental technician and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Dental surgeons (n=26)</th>
<th>Control (n=26)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>U from Mann Whitney (p-value)</th>
<th>Or (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female n (%)</td>
<td>17 (65.4)</td>
<td>17 (65.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age yrs±sd</td>
<td>25.8±2.9</td>
<td>25.6±5.4</td>
<td>P=8; t=.2</td>
<td>28.4±13.8</td>
<td>29.2±12.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI Median (min-max)</td>
<td>23.5 (18.2-28.2)</td>
<td>24.9 (20.7-29.8)</td>
<td>p=.1; t=.95</td>
<td>27.4 (22.0-38.3)</td>
<td>25.2 (20.7-34.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smock n (%)</td>
<td>5 (19.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work yrs±sd</td>
<td>5.9±1.9</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D.: Dental; BMI: Body mass index; NS: Not significant; n: Sample size; *Data are expressed as mean±standard deviation, t-Student and U from Mann Whitney

Table 2. Micronucleated cells and nuclear abnormalities in dental surgeons and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Dental surgeons (n=26) Median (min-max)</th>
<th>Control (n=26) Median (min-max)</th>
<th>U from Mann Whitney (p-value)</th>
<th>Or (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNC</td>
<td>1.6 (0-5)</td>
<td>0.6 (0-3)</td>
<td>T 210 (0.003)*</td>
<td>9.3 (0.05)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LN</td>
<td>5.8 (1-18)</td>
<td>2.6 (0-8)</td>
<td>T 135.5 (0.0004)*</td>
<td>3.9 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-DNA</td>
<td>7.5 (1-23)</td>
<td>3.2 (0-8)</td>
<td>T 128 (0.0002)</td>
<td>11.2 (0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNC</td>
<td>8.1 (2-18)</td>
<td>2.0 (0-7)</td>
<td>T 53 (0.0001)</td>
<td>16 (0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN</td>
<td>0.8 (1-3)</td>
<td>1.6 (0-5)</td>
<td>T 225.5 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>3.4 (0-12)</td>
<td>0.7 (0-5)</td>
<td>T 160 (0.001)</td>
<td>7.7 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KR</td>
<td>2.8 (0-13)</td>
<td>0.6 (05)</td>
<td>T 144 (0.0003)</td>
<td>18.9 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>3.4 (0-12)</td>
<td>1.6 (0-6)</td>
<td>T 188 (0.01)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>10.4 (0-30)</td>
<td>4.5 (0-12)</td>
<td>T 182 (0.01)</td>
<td>7.9 (0.005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values reported in 2,000 cells, n: Sample size, MNC: Micronucleated cells, LN: Lobulated nucleus, D DNA: DNA damage (MNC+LN), BNC: Binucleated cells (damage to cytokinesis); PN: Pyknotic nucleus, CC: Condensed chromatin; KR: Karyorrhexis; KL: Karyolysis; CD: Cell death (CC+KR+KL).

Figure 2. Dispersion of micronucleated cells and nuclear abnormalities in dental surgeons and controls (D: Dental surgeon; C: Control; MNC: Micronucleated cells; NL: Lobulated nucleus; D-DNA: DNA damage(MNC+LN), BNC: Binucleated cells (damage to cytokinesis); PN: Pyknotic nucleus; CC: Condensed chromatin; KR: Karyorrhexis; KL: Karyolysis; CD: Cell death (CC+KR+KL); *P<0.05)

When comparing dental surgeons and dental technicians, an increase in the frequency of D DNA, BNC and PN was witnessed (p<0.05) in the dental surgeons while the frequency of the LN was higher in dental technicians (p<0.05). No associations or differences were found in the intragroup analysis of dental technicians or dental surgeons regarding the presence of MNC and NA. No differences were found when stratifying the respective groups in the variables of age, BMI, time of work, smoking and gender.

Discussion

It should be noted that genotoxic damage usually goes unnoticed, however, it produces a directly or indirectly effect on DNA and could be express as mutations with teratogenic or carcinogenic effect; these phenomena have been associated with a higher risk of developing cancer, premature aging and multiple chronic degenerative diseases [11,14,22,23,25-27,34,35]. This study shows damage to the genetic material of both populations exposed to dental materials, where it is mostly catastrophic, since they put the organism's life at risk [3,11-15,24]. The increase of oxidative stress and genotoxic damage has been described in dental patients as a result of exposure to different materials and procedures [10,17,25,26]. However, in dental-health professionals there are no studies in which this type of risk is evaluated, and it should be considered that dental surgeons and dental technicians are continuously exposed to mixtures of agents related dental procedures which can be physical, chemical and biological; for example, infective microorganisms [25,27], welding materials [11,26,28,29], x-rays [8,24,30], ultraviolet light [31,32], acrylics, adhesives, and some more [4,6,33]. It is clear that these agents can produce health problems, increase of reactive oxygen species and damage to the genetic material; these phenomena have been associated with a higher risk of developing cancer, premature aging and multiple chronic degenerative diseases [11,14,22,23,25-27,34,35]. This study shows damage to the genetic material of both populations exposed to dental materials, where it
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Table 3. Micronucleated cells and nuclear abnormalities in dental technicians and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Dental technicians (n=19)</th>
<th>Controls (n=19)</th>
<th>U from Mann Whitney (p-value)</th>
<th>Or (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median (min-max)</td>
<td>Median (min-max)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNC</td>
<td>1.7 (0-4)</td>
<td>0.7 (0-3)</td>
<td>T 106 (0.04)</td>
<td>8.3(0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LN</td>
<td>2.7 (1-5)</td>
<td>3.9 (0-6)</td>
<td>T 132 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.4(0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-DNA</td>
<td>4.4 (1-9)</td>
<td>4.8 (0-6)</td>
<td>T 165 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.8(0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNC</td>
<td>4.3 (0-10)</td>
<td>2.1 (0-5)</td>
<td>T 85 (0.004)</td>
<td>11.69(0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN</td>
<td>1.7 (0-4)</td>
<td>4.2 (0-5)</td>
<td>T 157 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.4(0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>1.7 (0-4)</td>
<td>0.9 (0-6)</td>
<td>T 107 (0.02)</td>
<td>3.0(0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KR</td>
<td>1.3 (0-4)</td>
<td>0.4 (0-3)</td>
<td>T 86 (0.002)</td>
<td>2.1(0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>2.1 (0-5)</td>
<td>2.6 (0-8)</td>
<td>T 149 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.2(0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>6.7 (2-14)</td>
<td>2.6 (0-9)</td>
<td>T 49 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>1.3(0.99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values reported in 2,000 cells, n: Sample size; MNC: Micronucleated cells; LN: Lobulated nucleus; D-DNA: DNA damage (MNC+LN); BNC: Binucleated cells (damage to cytokinesis); PN: Pyknotic nucleus; CC: Condensed chromatin; KR: Karyorrhexis; KL: Karyolysis; CD: Cell death (CC+KR+KL).

Figure 3. Dispersion of micronucleated cells and nuclear abnormalities in dental technicians and controls (T: Dental technicians; C: Control; MNC: Micronucleated cells; NL: Lobulated nucleus; D-DNA: DNA damage (MNC+LN); BNC: Binucleated cells (damage to cytokinesis); PN: Pyknotic nucleus; CC: Condensed chromatin; KR: Karyorrhexis; KL: Karyolysis; CD: Cell death (CC+KR+KL). *P<0.05

It was appreciated that dental surgeons present a higher frequency of genotoxic and cytotoxic damage in comparison to dental technicians, this could be because dentists are exposed to a greater number of agents for a longer time, in addition to being in direct interaction with patients.

Conclusions

The professions related to dental procedures involve a risk of cytotoxicity or genotoxicity demonstrated by the increased frequency of MNC and NA, phenomena related to cytokinesis and cell death; both as a dental technician and as a dental surgeon, although the latter involves higher risk. The MNC and NA are markers that reflect damage related to an increased risk of cancer, chronic degenerative diseases and premature aging in these populations.
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