The “Hygiene” Hypothesis: repercussions of infectious diseases control programmes
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The Hygiene Hypothesis

Immunological studies and evaluations of allergic conditions [1] and even prevalence of certain auto-immune conditions (for example, inflammatory bowel disease) have increased the thinking that higher levels of personal hygiene might result in increased risk of developing allergic diseases [1,2] and reduced immune response to infectious pathogens. This adds to the propaganda that living in extremely clean environment and never exposed to infectious agents to challenge the immune system exposes you to danger when real infections occur [2,3]. This background of hygiene hypothesis formulated in 1989, has been successfully used to the advantage of improving the immune response to infectious agents (for example malaria, bacteria, hookworm) in the form of probiotics; where individuals are exposed to some flora as therapy or supplement to boost the system awaiting eventuality or even in the case of using bolus of hookworm; for a response that will counteract an inflammatory condition [1,2,4,5].

Immune response and infection

Naturally, when T-cells are produced, they are schooled in the lymphoid system to identify and recognize self and non-self-antigens. This presupposes that the more different foreign antigens that one is exposed to help in building a repertoire that will make it easy to mount and immune response [1,9]. In some instances, a previous exposure shortens the duration it takes for an immune response to peak to eliminate an antigen [9]. Exposure to normal bacteria flora in new babies within some hours after birth improved their ability to fight some infections better than those who were allowed to develop their immunity in the natural course [2,10]. In adults, Crohn’s disease has been successfully managed by giving bolus of hookworm larvae to immunity in the natural course [2,10]. In adults, Crohn’s disease has been successfully managed by giving bolus of hookworm larvae to immunity in the natural course [2,10].

Ascaris lumbricoides infections have been identified to mitigate the fatal effect of cerebral malaria [12]. If such protective potency of a helminth infection could be harnessed, the cerebral malaria menace could be reduced if not completely curbed. The impact of malaria is high among children and even worse in children less than 5 years because of the minimal protective immune response while the repeated exposure to the malaria parasite is known to offer protection in adults in endemic areas [13-15]. These largely support the fact that immune response to a previously exposed antigen facilitates the build-up of protection and for that matter, virtually living in clean environment or getting rid of pathogens. This adds to the propaganda that living in extremely clean environment and never exposed to infectious agents to challenge the immune system exposes you to danger when real infections occur [2,3].

Region engulfed in “filth” and poverty

Obviously, there is no dispute about the fact that the African region bear the brunt of infectious diseases, poverty, poor sanitation and what have you [17]. It is therefore good to know that several interventions target this continent to help mitigate the myriad of problems. Use of Insecticide Treated bed Nets (ITNs) and In-door Residual Spraying (IRS) for malaria have been effective though much need to be done towards elimination. The Mass Drug Administration (MDA) of albendazole targets hookworm control, praziquantel targets Schistosoma spp and ivermectin targets parasites of river blindness. What we have failed to do is to comprehensively appreciate the effects of eliminating the infectious agents who might have an advantageous role to play in our ecosystem and biodiversity. The prevalence or recorded cases of inflammatory bowel disease in the African region is nothing compared to the western world [18,19]. With the information on the beneficial effect of hookworm exposure, sufferers of such autoimmune conditions might have a remedy.

Repercussions of eliminating infectious agents

Restricting ourselves to protozoa, for instance hookworm and malaria, the overlap of these infections in the tropical region and endemic areas might be a natural selection to confer protection [1,7]. One then wonders why where those parasites are already prevalent, the key on the agenda is to eliminate than to accommodate and still benefit from their being around? If that observation could be true, we should not forget that several studies have shown inverse relationship between microorganism exposure and development of autoimmunity [7]. Coming with this knowledge that after-all, an immune response to infectious agents (for example malaria, bacteria, hookworm) in the form of probiotics; where individuals are exposed to some flora as therapy or supplement to boost the system awaiting eventuality or even in the case of using bolus of hookworm; for a response that will counteract an inflammatory condition [1,2,4,5].
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then managers of helminth and malaria control programmes need to assess the impact of eliminating these organisms together in order not to create an imbalance with unbearable consequential effects [1,12,20].
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