Real life second-line nivolumab in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: A French observational multicenter study of 259 patients (ABCT-IMMUNOBZH)
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Research highlights

» Nivolumab provides an objective response rate of 22.4% in a real-life setting.
» Survival data in a real-life setting are similar than published clinical trials.
» Nivolumab confirms a satisfactory safety profile in unselected patients.
» Occurrence of immune-related adverse events was correlated with efficacy.

Abstract

Introduction: Data regarding nivolumab as second line treatment in advanced non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) are based on selected populations and might not reflect daily practice. We aimed at assessing efficacy and safety of nivolumab in a real-life setting and determining a subtype of NSCLC patients that are more likely to benefit from immunotherapy.

Methods: Between 01/09/2015 and 30/09/2016, all consecutive advanced NSCLC patients treated with nivolumab after failure of at least one line of chemotherapy were included. Nivolumab was administered at a dose of 3 mg/kg q2w until progression or unacceptable toxicity. Primary endpoint was objective response rate (ORR), according to RECIST 1.1. Progression-free survival (PFS), overall survival (OS) and predictive factors were secondary objectives.

Results: 259 patients treated with nivolumab were enrolled from 9 centers. The main characteristics of patients at baseline were: median age of 62 y [29-85]; 73.5% of males; 85.8% of smokers. There were 85.3% with a stage IV disease, 63.7% with adenocarcinoma. 61.4% of pts received nivolumab in second line. ORR was 22.4% [17.7%; 27.9%]. Median PFS and OS were 2.3 [1.9-3.3] and 11.0 [8.9-14] months respectively. Multivariate analysis confirmed that squamous histology was correlated with better response (HR=1.98, 95% CI [1.08-3.61], p=0.03) and occurrence of Immune-Related Adverse Events (IRAEs) with both better response and OS (HR=2.41, [1.21-4.80], p=0.01 and HR=0.42, 95% CI [0.26-0.70], p <0.01 respectively).

Conclusion: Our study confirms the efficacy of nivolumab in real-life setting with a satisfactory safety profile. Squamous histology and occurrence of IRAEs emerge as predictive factors.

Introduction

Immunotherapy has recently evolved into a new standard of care for second-line treatment in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [1]. Nivolumab is the first PD-1 antibody-mediated inhibitor approved in second line treatment of squamous cell (SCC) and non-squamous cell carcinoma [2], as demonstrated by two phase III randomized trials, which compared nivolumab to standard
chemotherapy by docetaxel [3,4]. Both studies have shown a gain of three months on overall survival with nivolumab. Response rates (ORR) were higher in patients treated by immunotherapy (20% [3] and 19% [4]) than chemotherapy (8.8% [3] and 12% [4]). This anti-tumor response was maintained independently of PD-L1 expression. Two other phase III studies assessing pembrolizumab (anti-PD-1), for patients with an expression of PD-L1 ≥1%, and atezolizumab (anti PD-L1) also demonstrated a superiority of immunotherapy on docetaxel [5,6]. However, response to nivolumab remains heterogeneous and difficult to predict. While a subgroup of patients has a prolonged response under nivolumab, other has deleterious outcomes with immunotherapy comparing standard chemotherapy. These seemingly contradictory results suggest the existence of two subpopulations of patients with underlying predictive features yet to be identified [4].

Current data regarding the efficacy of nivolumab are derived from prospective and patient-selected studies and/or included in compassionate programs that do not reflect daily clinical practice. The main objective of this study was to evaluate the therapeutic effectiveness of nivolumab in the real-life setting. The secondary purpose was to identify potential predictive markers. Different parameters were collected: clinical (age, sex, ECOG PS, smoking history and weight loss since cancer diagnosis), biological (Lymphocytes (Lc), Neutrophils (PNN), Eosinophils counts (Ec), LDH level and albumin level at the time of nivolumab initiation), pathological (histology and KRAS mutation status) and therapeutic (prior radiotherapy, type of response to previous treatment(s)).

Study oversight

This non-interventional study was approved by a regional ethics committee and France's national data protection authority (CNIL), according to French law.

Statistical analysis

Based on a study population of 250 patients, a two-sided 95% confidence interval (CI) for a single proportion using the large sample normal approximation will extend 5% from the observed proportion for an expected proportion of ORR of 20%. Statistical analysis was conducted using SAS® software (SAS Institute, North Carolina, USA) V9.4 on Windows®. Continuous variables were described by the number of valid cases and missing data, mean, standard deviation, median, Q1, Q3, minimum, and maximum. Categorical variables were described as the total number and percentage per category. For primary analysis, Wald 95% two-sided confidence intervals of the ORR were calculated. For ORR, missing data was considered as non-responder. A Cox/logistic model including all the factors identified was performed for OS/PFS/ORR.

First, univariate analyses using the logrank/Chi² test were done to identify variables to enter in the multivariate Cox/logistic model. Variables with a significant p value on univariate analysis and variables that are deemed clinically important were entered in a multivariate Cox/logistic model with backward selection. The usual rate of 1 variable for 10 events will be followed in the Cox/logistic model. Hazard ratios (HR)/Odd ratios and associated 95% CI were provided. Statistical significance was set at p less than 0.05.

Results

Patient characteristics

Between September 1st, 2015 and September 30th, 2016, 337 patients were selected for data collection and analysis. Final analysis was conducted on a total of 259 patients. Patient selection is shown in Figure 1. The baseline clinicopathological characteristics of patients are summarized in Table 1. In the studied population, 72.2% of the patients were men, with a median age of 62 years [29-85]. 73% had PS ≤1 and 85.8% a smoking history. 85.3% of the patients had stage IV disease, 20.5% with brain metastasis at baseline. The main pathological type was adenocarcinoma (63.7%). Status towards KRAS mutation was assessed for 180 patients and found in 30.6% of cases (Supplementary Figure 1).

Patient characteristics at the time of nivolumab initiation are shown in Table 2. 61.4% of patients received nivolumab in second line treatment. In most cases, a platinum based-doublet with pemetrexed was administered for first line systemic therapy. About 60% of patients had responded to one or more previous treatment(s), mostly chemotherapy; 45.2% were responders to the treatment line prior to nivolumab. 50.6% of patients had received radiation therapy previously - either curative or palliative. The biological characteristics at initiation of nivolumab are shown in the appendix (Supplementary Table 1). Most patients had a satisfactory nutritional status (albumin level ≥ 30 g/l) and moderate systemic inflammation, with a blood count of PNN <7 G/l and Lc >1 G/l.
Efficacy of nivolumab

Response to nivolumab

With a median follow-up of 17.7 months [16.7-19.0], the ORR was 22.4% [17.7%; 27.9%], including one patient (0.4%) with complete response and 57 (22.0%) with partial response. 46.0% of the patients progressed at first evaluation. The DCR was 42.5% [36.6%; 48.6%]. No evaluation could be carried out on 30 patients (11.6%) because of poor general condition or early deaths (Table 3). The median duration of response was not reached [8.1; NR]. Among the 58 responders, only 12 progressions were observed during the follow-up and 6-months PFS rate was of 76.7% [59.8; 87.2%].

Survival

The median PFS was 2.3 months [1.9-3.3] (Figure 2). The 6-, 12- and 18-month PFS rates were 32.2% [26.5-38.0], 21.5% [16.2-27.2] and 13.5% [7.7-20.9] respectively. The median OS was 11.0 months [8.9-14]. The 6, 12- and 18-month survival rates were 67.8% [61.7-73.2], 47.9% [41.5-53.9] and 36.6% [30.2-43.0] respectively.

Safety and tolerability

One hundred patients (38.6%) presented one or more toxicity(s) during immunotherapy. Main toxicities are summarized in the Supplementary Table 2. The most frequent were dysthyroidism (13.5%), mostly grade 1-2, followed by cutaneous and digestive toxicities (10% and 7.7% respectively). Myalgia was observed in 18 patients (6.9%). IRAEs have been frequently described, in at least 20% of cases. Rare cases of autoimmune toxicities have been reported: type 1 diabetes, Behçet’s disease, rheumatoid arthritis, adrenal insufficiency, peripheral gonadotropin deficiency, pancytopenia, leading to treatment discontinuation. 37 patients (14.3%) experienced grade 3-4 toxicities attributed to nivolumab. None of them were fatal.

Predictive factors of efficacy

An analysis of different clinico-pathological features influencing efficacy to nivolumab in terms of response and survival has been performed. In the univariate analysis, squamous histology was statistically associated with a better response (HR=2.09, [1.16-3.79], \(p = 0.01\)) as \(\text{Lc} \geq 1 \ \text{G} / \text{L} \) at initiation of nivolumab (HR=0.16, [0.04-0.72], \(p = 0.02\)), prior radiotherapy >6 months (HR=14.08, [5.36-37.01], \(p <0.01\)) and occurrence of IRAEs (HR=2.57, [1.30-5.05], \(p <0.01\)).

Table 2. Population characteristics at the time of nivolumab initiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of previous line(s)</th>
<th>Total (N=259)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1 (1-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>159 (61.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>69 (26.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 3</td>
<td>31 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG PS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 1</td>
<td>146 (77.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>43 (22.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss since diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 10%</td>
<td>153 (78.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10%</td>
<td>41 (21.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best response to previous treatment(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete response</td>
<td>6 (2.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial response</td>
<td>153 (59.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable disease</td>
<td>51 (19.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive disease</td>
<td>44 (17.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not evaluated</td>
<td>5 (1.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior radiotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>131 (50.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>128 (49.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior radiotherapy &gt; 6 months (N=131)</td>
<td>54 (20.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior radiotherapy &lt; 6 months (N=131)</td>
<td>77 (29.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECOG PS: Eastern cooperative oncology group performance status

MD: Missing data
Multivariate analysis confirmed that predominantly squamous histology and IRAEs were correlated with better response (HR=1.98, [1.08-3.61], p=0.03 and HR=2.41, [1.21-4.80], p =0.01 respectively). Response rate was 37.5% (18/48) for patients with IRAEs whereas it was 19.0% (40/211) for patients without IRAEs. PFS improvement was statistically associated with squamous histology (4.1 months [2.1-7.0] versus 2.1 months for adenocarcinoma [1.8-2.7], p=0.02) and occurrence of IRAEs (8.8 months [3.9-17.3] versus 2 months [1.8-2.4], p <0.01). Multivariate analysis revealed response or stability to systemic treatment(s) prior to nivolumab and the absence of prior radiotherapy, as markers statistically associated with increased OS (HR=0.56 [0.39-0.83], p <0.01 and HR=1.67 [1.21-2.30], p <0.01 respectively) (Supplementary Table 3). The occurrence of IRAEs was also correlated to better OS (HR=0.42 [0.26-0.70], p <0.01).

Response to post-nivolumab systemic treatments

At the time of data analysis, 165 patients (63.7%) experienced progressive disease with nivolumab. Among them, 106 patients (64.2%) received post-nivolumab systemic treatment while therapeutic abstention was decided for 59 patients (35.8%). The drugs used in the first and second line post-nivolumab and the type of response are detailed in the appendix (Supplementary Table 4). In the first post-progression line, taxane-based monochemotherapy was most frequent (41 patients) with an ORR of 17%; secondly, gemcitabine with an ORR of 11.5%. The ORR in 1st line post-nivolumab progression was 14.2%. Only 27 patients received a second line post-nivolumab, mainly chemotherapy, with a response rate of 18.5%. The post-progression OS was 5.6 months [4.6-7.0].

Discussion

The results of this study confirm the efficacy of nivolumab, in a real-life setting, with an ORR of 22.4% and a DCR of 42.5% in second or subsequent line of treatment of advanced NSCLC. We report a median PFS of 2.3 months and a median OS of 11 months after initiation of nivolumab, with a satisfactory safety profile. Furthermore, predominantly squamous-cell carcinoma was correlated with better response and occurrence of IRAEs with both better response and OS.

Randomized clinical trials are designed to evaluate efficacy of new treatments in selected patients who do not represent the entire population of lung cancer. Therefore, it seemed essential to compare the results of phase III trials with real life, in all-comer patients treated in daily practice [9]. Interestingly, we report an ORR of 22.4% with nivolumab, similar than previous results published in CheckMate-017 and 057 studies (20% and 19% respectively) evaluating nivolumab in second-line treatment [20,21]. The DCR and PD rate are similar to those described in studies. Although several characteristics of patients were similar, 45.2% were responders to the treatment line prior to nivolumab in our study versus 56% [3] and 25% [4] in previous studies, which could explain these results. We describe median PFS of 2.3 months, similar than published results and perhaps explained by adenocarcinoma as histological predominant subtype. Median OS of 11 months is better than the one reported in Checkmate-017 but slightly shorter than other estimations [4-6]. With nearly 40% of the cohort presenting toxicity more than the one reported in Checkmate-017 but slightly shorter than other estimations [4-6].

Search for predictive biomarkers of response to PD-1/PD-L1 inhibition likely to improve survival is currently a major issue in immuno-oncology [10-12]. In this study, we evaluated epidemiological, clinical and biological markers. The role of the histological subtype...
seems to be confirmed for patients with SCC with a benefit in terms of response and PFS but not OS. Lc ≥1 G/l at nivolumab initiation appears to be a biomarker of response but also a prognostic factor for PFS and OS. The other main prognostic markers were weight loss > 10% and albumin level <30 g/l. Occurrence of IRAEs seems to emerge as a predictive factor of response, with a longer duration of response and an improvement of PFS and OS. In the literature, PD-L1 expression was not by itself a predictor of checkpoint inhibitors outcome [13]; several additional determinants seem to emerge: an immune-active tumor microenvironment [14], mutational landscape and mutational load [15,16], mismatch repair deficiency [17]. In accordance with our results, the host immune sytem has a key role with absolute number of PNN and Lc [18] as well as low baseline LDH. Contrary to what is described, high absolute Ec was not correlated with response improvement [19-21]. At last, we confirm a correlation between IRAEs and efficacy of nivolumab as already described [24]. Several real-life publications report similar results to ours [25-31] but these retrospective series are often based on efficacy outcomes in selected patients and/or having benefited from nivolumab during a compassionate program. In most cases, data are reported from smaller cohorts limiting the comparison.

A major weakness of our study is the limited control over the data collection who was gathered retrospectively generating missing data, including the PS at nivolumab initiation and several biological criteria. Most patients performed pre-nivolumab blood test externally, which was not systematically reported in the files. There was no centralized database because of the multicentric aspect of this study. But it is the reality of care of these patients in daily practice. Nevertheless, we provided original estimations of efficacy and survival outcomes for patients receiving nivolumab as second-line treatment in real-life. Effectiveness studies can contribute to our knowledge about the use and efficacy of medications [32].

### Conclusion

With an ORR of 22.4%, as described in phase III trials, an 11-month OS, and a satisfactory safety profile, nivolumab offers hope for identical results in a real-life situation. Some predictive factors of response seem to emerge such as squamous histology and occurrence of IRAEs. These results in clinical practice highlight the need for further investigations in larger prospective cohorts.
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