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Abstract
In 2018, it is estimated that about 51,540 new cases of oral cavity and pharyngeal cancer will develop, which represent approximately 3-5% of all cancers in the United States. During the same time period it is estimated that there will be approximately 10,030 deaths. Incidence rates are more than twice as high in men as in women (Male cases–37,160, Female cases–14,380). From 2006 to 2010 incidence rates remained stable in men and have decreased by 0.9% per year in women. Oral tongue cancer requires a multidisciplinary approach to treat it that includes a surgical oncologist, a medical oncologist, a radiation oncologist, speech therapists and physical rehabilitation as well as emotional support through the help of psychologists or social workers. In this review paper we will discuss current management of these complex tumors.

Introduction
In 2018, it is estimated that about 51,540 new cases of oral cavity and pharyngeal cancer will develop, which represent approximately 3-5% of all cancers in the United States [1,2]. During the same time period it is estimated that there will be approximately 10,030 deaths [1,2]. Incidence rates are more than twice as high in men as in women (Male cases–37,160, Female cases–14,380) [1]. From 2006 to 2010 incidence rates remained stable in men and have decreased by 0.9% per year in women [1]. From 2005 to 2014, incidence rates decreased by more than 2% per year among blacks, but increased by about 1% per year among whites, largely driven by rising rates for a subset of cancers associated with human papillomavirus (HPV) infection that arise in the oropharynx.

Death rates have been decreasing over the past three decades; from 2006 to 2010, rates decreased by 1.2% per year in men and by 2.1% per year in women [1]. In 2018, it is estimated that 17,110 new cases of oral tongue cancer will occur, of this 12,490 will occur in men and 4620 will occur in women (Male cases–37,160, Female cases–14,380) (Table 1) [1]. Most head and neck cancers present with metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis, with regional nodal involvement and distant metastatic disease in 43% and 10% of the cases, respectively [3].

Head and neck cancer patients often develop second primary tumors, this is because they share common risk factors [4]. These second primary tumors develop at an annual rate of 3-7% and 50-75% of these new cancers are located in upper aero digestive tract or lungs [3].

Surgical anatomy of the oral cavity
• Boundaries of the oral cavity:
  • Superior border—from the vermilion border to the junction of the hard and soft palates.
  • Inferior border—from the vermilion border to the circumvallate papillae of the oral tongue.

  • The lateral border—is the mucosa of the mouth up to the anterior tonsillar pillars.

  The oral cavity includes the lips, buccal mucosa, upper and lower alveolar ridges, gingiva, retromolar trigone, floor of mouth, hard palate and the anterior two thirds of the tongue (“oral tongue”). The main lymphatic drainage is to level Ia (submental triangle), Ib (submandibular triangle) and II (upper deep jugular nodes) [5].

Tongue anatomy
The tongue which is located in the oral cavity and oropharynx is a mass of muscle that is almost completely covered by a thick mucous membrane. The primary function of the tongue is taste sensation, but it also assists with mastication, deglutition, articulation, and oral cleansing [6]. The complex innervation of this multifunctional organ is provided by five cranial nerves [7].

The embryologic origins of the tongue first appear at 4 weeks’ gestation [7,8]. The first branchial arch is responsible for the development of the tongue derivatives. It gives rise to two lateral lingual swellings and one median swelling (known as the tuberculum impar) [7,8]. The two lateral lingual swellings grow over the tuberculum impar and merge, forming the anterior two thirds of the tongue [8]. Portions of the second, third, and fourth branchial arches give rise to the base of the tongue [9]. The intrinsic musculature of the tongue derives from occipital somites which give rise to myoblasts [8].

Macroscopically from anterior to posterior, the tongue has three surfaces: tip, body, and the base. The tip of the tongue is the highly...
mobile, pointed, anterior portion of the tongue. Behind to the tip lies
the body of the tongue, which has a dorsal (superior) and a ventral
(inferior) surfaces. The median sulcus of the tongue separates the body
into left and right halves. The terminal sulcus is a V-shaped furrow
that separates the body of the tongue from the base of the tongue. At
the tip of this sulcus is the foramen cecum, a remnant of the proximal
thyroglossal duct. The base of tongue contains the lingual tonsils, the
inferior most portion of Waldeyer’s ring [7-9].

The body of the tongue derives its characteristic surface appearance
from the presence of lingual papillae, which are projections of lamina
propria covered with epithelium [6]. Four different types of lingual
papillae exist: circumvallate (vallate), foliate, filiform, and fungiform
[6]. The circumvallate papillae are flat, prominent papillae that are
surrounded by troughs. There are approximately eight to 12
circumvallate papillae, located directly anterior to the terminal sulcus.
The ducts of the lingual glands of von Ebner secrete lingual lipase into
the surrounding troughs to begin the process of lipolysis [10]. On the
lateral surface of the tongue foliate papillae are identified, they are small
folds of mucosa. The filiform papillae are thin and long and they are the
most abundant papillae in the tongue. They are located along the entire
dorsum of the tongue, but they are not involved in taste sensation [6].
The mushroom shaped papillae and called the fungiform papillae. They are scattered most densely along the tip and lateral surfaces of the
tongue. The human tongue has roughly 200 to 300 fungiform papillae.

Each circumvallate, foliate, and fungiform papilla contains taste
buds (250, 1000, and 1600 taste buds, respectively) [6], innervated by
multiple nerve fibers. All taste buds can perceive the five different taste
qualities: salt, sweet, bitter, acid, and umami. The taste bud consists of a
taste receptor, basal cell, and edge cell. When a taste molecule binds
to a taste receptor, the receptor cell depolarizes, causing an influx of
Ca++, which results in the release of an unidentified neurotransmitter
[6]. Following the depolarization, the afferent neural pathway depends
on the location of the taste bud that was stimulated. In the anterior
two thirds of the tongue, the chorda tympani branch of the facial nerve
(cranial nerve VII) is stimulated [6,11]. The lingual-tonsillar branch of
glossopharyngeal nerve (cranial nerve IX) relays taste information
to the surrounding troughs to begin the process of lipolysis [10].
The tongue has four intrinsic and four extrinsic muscles [7,9,11].
The muscles on each side of the tongue are separated by a fibrous lingual
septum. The extrinsic muscles are so named because they originate
outside the tongue and insert within it and the intrinsic muscles are
within the substance of the organ and do not insert on bone. Though
the muscles do not act in isolation, intrinsic muscles generally alter the
shape of the tongue, whereas extrinsic muscles alter its position [7].
The extrinsic muscles of the tongue are the genioglossus, hyoglossus,
styloglossus, and palatoglossus [7]. The hypoglossal nerve provides the
motor innervation to all muscles of the tongue except the palatoglossus,
which is supplied by the pharyngeal plexus [7,11].

The arterial supply to the tongue and floor of the mouth is derived
from the dorsal lingual, sublingual, and deep lingual branches of the
lingual artery [11]. The venous drainage of the tongue is into the lingual
veins, which drain into the facial and retromandibular veins, which
join to form the common facial vein.

The oral cavity is continuously, been exposed to inhaled and
consumed carcinogens, and thus it is the most common site for the
origin of malignant epithelial neoplasms in the head and neck region.
The most common location for a malignant tumor of the oral cavity is
the anterior two thirds of the tongue. Known carcinogens in the oral
cavity include those present in tobacco, alcohol, and betel nuts. The
relationship of human papilloma virus (HPV) with oral cancer is not
as well established as in oropharyngeal cancers. Primary tumors of the
oral cavity may arise from the surface epithelium, minor salivary
glands, submucosal soft tissue, and tumors of dento-alveolar origin
[11]. More than 90% of cancers in the oral cavity are squamous cell in
origin and we will be focusing our review on these neoplasms.

**Epidemiology**

Malignant neoplasm of the tongue are by far more common in men
than in women (66-95% of cases), this is similar to the rest of the oral
cavity [1]. The incidence by gender varies depending on the anatomic
location and has been changing due to the increase in the number of
women who smoke. The male to female ratio is currently 3:1 [1]. The
incidence of oral cancer and tongue cancer increases with age, especially
after age 50. Most patients are between 50 and 70 years but can also
occur in younger patients [3].

There are large differences in the incidence of oral cavity cancer
among different geographical regions. The highest incidence of this
disease is found in Asia and is believed to reflect the prevalence of
certain risk factors, such as chewing betel nut [12,13] and the use of
smokeless tobacco (snuff) [14]. In the United States, in urban areas
the high incidence among men is thought to reflect exposure to snuff and
alcohol. Among women in rural areas in the United States the increase
risk of oral cavity cancer is associated with the use of smokeless tobacco
(snuff) [1].

**Etiology and risk factors**

One of the most important risk factors for the development of
squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) of the tongue is tobacco. Smoking
cigarettes, cigars, or pipes; chewing tobacco; and using snuff are the
single largest risk factors for all head and neck cancer including the
tongue. Eighty-five percent (85%) of head and neck cancers are linked
to tobacco use [15,16]. Secondhand smoke may also increase a person’s
risk of developing a head and neck cancer [17].

Based on epidemiologic studies, cigar smoking is an important
risk factors for oral cavity tumors and the only difference between
cigarette smoking and cigar smoking is that it instigates a change in
the usual anatomic location for these tumors [1,18]. The use of smokeless
tobacco is also associated with an increased incidence of cancer of the
oral cavity [1,2]. Chewing snuff is the leading cause of SCC of the oral
cavity and oropharynx in India, part of Southeast Asia, China, and
Taiwan, especially when consumed with betel containing areca nut [19].

Alcohol by itself is a risk factor for the development of tongue and
oral cavity cancer, although it is less potent carcinogen than tobacco
[20,21]. People who use tobacco and alcohol, these risk factors appear
to be synergistic and result in a multiplicative increase in risk, 30 to 36
times higher for people who smoke and drink heavily [22].

Edentulous patients and poor oral hygiene can be risk factors for
cancer of the oral cavity [23,24]. The use of mouthwashes that have
high alcohol content could be a risk factor for tongue and oral cavity
SCC (unproven) [24,25]. The consumption of the tea beverage, mate
(consumed by South Americans), has been associated with an increased
risk of cancer of the oral cavity [26].

Epidemiologic studies suggest that the intake of vitamin A, α-
\( \beta \)-carotene, and \( \alpha \)-tocopherol may reduce the risk of developing oral
cavity cancers [27-32]. Certain syndromes caused by inherited defects
Dyskeratosis congenita is a genetic syndrome that can cause aplastic anemia, skin rashes, and nail abnormalities of the hands and feet; they also increase the risk of developing oral cavity cancer [35,36].

Mechanisms of carcinogenesis

The development of tongue and oral cavity SCC is a multistep progression that involves changes related to specific genes, epigenetic events, and signal transduction within the cell [37]. Tobacco smoke contains agents that may act as mutagens. Also, tobacco smoke extract has been shown to activate the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in vitro and EGFR activation has been shown, in turn, to increase the production of prostaglandins, including PGE2 which may act in a positive feedback fashion by increasing EGFR signal transduction. Cyclin-D1 is frequently overexpressed in head and neck cancer and increased cyclin-D1 activity is a downstream event triggered by EGFR activation [38].

An important epigenetic event in the progression to cancer is the silencing of gene promoter regions through hypermethylation [39], which has been shown to affect the tumor suppressors p16, DAP-kinase, and E-cadherin. Also, the gene for retinoic acid receptor-beta (RAR-beta) is silenced by methylation of its promoter [40].

Genetic alterations that are present early in the course of carcinogenesis are mutations or deletions of chromosomes 3p and 9p. Telomerase activation also occurs early in carcinogenesis. Mutations or deletions at chromosome 17p (involving the p53 tumor suppressor gene), and chromosome 13q and chromosome 18q generally are seen later in the process. Patients whose tumors contain HPV mRNA have a significantly lower rate of deletions of chromosomes 3p, 9p, and 17p, suggesting an alternate molecular mechanism in these patients. The viral proteins E6 and E7 have been shown to cause deregulation of the cell cycle by inactivating p53 and retinoblastoma protein, which may be the mechanism of HPV-mediated carcinogenesis [41].

In addition to deletions or mutations of individual genes, evidence exists demonstrating that numeric chromosomal imbalances, known as aneuploidy, may be a cause rather than a consequence of malignant transformation [42]. Aneuploidy may occur as a result of mutations in genes controlling chromosome segregation during mitosis and centrosome abnormalities.

Diagnosis

The need for a rapid diagnosis and referral of patients to a skilled physician with expertise in the management of tumors of the head and neck is very important because early diagnosis can lead to a reduction in mortality [3]. The risk factors mention on the etiology section of this paper, including history of tobacco and alcohol use should be interrogated. Any adult patient with symptoms attributable to the upper aero digestive tract lasting more than two weeks or an asymptomatic cervical (neck) tumor should undergo a full examination with a high index of suspicion for malignancy [3].

The physical examination is the best way to detect lesions of the upper aero digestive tract. Often the initial assessment also indicates the severity and chronicity of the disease. Due to the frequent occurrence of synchronous primary tumors in patients with head and neck cancers (approximately 5%), a careful evaluation of the entire upper aero digestive tract is required at the time of diagnosis [43].

Tongue cancers usually cause symptoms related to the upper aero digestive tract, including changes in swallowing, speech, hearing and breathing. During the interrogation the physician must give emphasis to the following symptoms: tongue pain, non-healing ulcer on the tongue, and changes in the ability to form words. A complete physical examination should be performed on every patient with specific emphasis on the head and neck exam (inspection, palpation, otoscopic exam, indirect laryngoscopy, and when indicated nasopharyngolaryngoscopy) and a neurological exam with emphasis on cranial nerves V, through XII. The most common presenting complaint of patients with tongue tumors is a sore or lump. Cancer of the tongue mucosa may present as an indurated ulcer with raised edges (Figure 1) or as an exophytic growth. Bleeding from the surface of the lesion is a characteristic of malignancy and immediately raises suspicion for a neoplastic process. Approximately one third of the patients come in to the office with a neck lump [44].

Biopsy of the tongue lesion can often be performed in the office or as an outpatient surgery depending on the anatomic site and patient preference. One can perform the biopsy in the office setting using a punch biopsy or using biopsy forceps (Figure 2). The biopsy should be obtained from the edge of the lesion, away from areas of obvious necrosis or excess keratinization.

Fine needle aspiration (FNA) is a useful diagnostic modality [45-47] for differentiating benign from malignant lymph nodes in the neck. A fine gauge needle (#23 gauge) makes multiple passes over the lesion while continues suction is applied. Suction must be released before removing the needle of the lesion. This procedure has a false negative rate of 7% [47]. Cytology is particularly useful to distinguish metastatic SCC from other malignant histology’s. However, a negative result should not be interpreted as “absence of disease” when the clinical scenario is highly suspicions for malignancy. A core needle biopsy should not be performed in a lump in the neck, with the exception of an already diagnosed lymphoma. Martin Hayes in a communication to the medical profession in general stated “not only to the needlessness but also to the possible harmfulness of excisional lymph node biopsy as the first or even as an early step in the diagnosis of cancer” [48]. Open biopsies should be done only when the diagnosis has not been made after extensive clinical evaluation and after at least two non-diagnostic FNA’s. The surgeon performing the open biopsy should be prepared to perform a definitive surgical treatment at that moment in time, which may involve a formal neck dissection if the diagnosis turns out to be a SCC.

Computed tomography (CT) is probably the most informative test in the evaluation of tumors of the oral cavity and tongue [49]. It can help define the extent of disease and the presence and extent of lymph node involvement. CT provides high spatial resolution, can discriminate between fat, muscle, bone and other soft tissues. CT out performs magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in detecting bone erosion (Figure 3) [50], has a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 85% [51]. MRI can provide accurate information on the size, location and extent of the tumor involvement of the soft tissues. It is not very reliable to provide information regarding bone extension, unless, there is full involvement of the medullary cavity. The MRI has a relatively higher sensitivity than CT but has lower specificity [49-52]. PET has been evaluated in primary and recurrent carcinomas of the head and
Pathology and histologic grade of tongue and oral cavity tumors

Over 90% of head and neck cancers (including the oral cavity tumors) are SCC. The World Health Organization classifies squamous tumors of the head and neck in different histologic subtypes [54,55]:

- Conventional
- Verrucous
- Basaloid
- Papillary
- Spindle Cell (Sarcomatoid)
- Acantholytic
- Adenosquamous
- Cuniculatum

Each of these variants can develop in any of the different regions of the head and neck with the exception of the Cuniculatum subtype, that only develops in the lining of the oral cavity [56]. Variants of SCC frequently arise within the mucosa of the upper aero digestive tract, accounting for up to 15% of SCCs in these areas. The most common variants include verrucous, exophytic or papillary, spindle-cell (sarcomatoid), basaloid and adenosquamous carcinoma. Each of these variants has a unique histomorphologic appearance, which raises a number of different differential diagnostic considerations, with the attendant clinically relevant management decisions. Stage for stage each one of these different subtypes of SCC has the same prognosis and are management identical.

Broder’s grading system was the first of the systems, which initiated quantitative grading of cancer. This classification system was based on the estimated ratio of differentiated to undifferentiated elements in the tumor. There are four histologic grades based on the amount of keratinization [56,57]:

- Well-differentiated tumor -> 75% keratinization.
- Moderately differentiated tumor - 50-75% keratinization.
- Poorly differentiated tumor - 25-50% keratinization.
- Anaplastic or undifferentiated tumor - < 25% keratinization.

Histologic grade is not a consistent predictor of clinical behavior. The characteristics that predict aggressive behavior include perineural infiltration, lymphatic invasion, and tumor extension beyond the capsule of the lymph nodes [56,58].

Immunohistochemical studies may be useful in poorly differentiated lesions to help make the diagnosis because SCC’s express epithelial markers such as cytokeratin’s. Squamous cells are immune-positive for certain cytokeratin’s such as AE1/AE3 and pancytokeratin’s. CK5/CK6 and p63 are also excellent markers for squamous differentiation [59].

Concept of field cancerization (field defect)

It is an important concept related to the natural history of oral cavity cancer. The term describes diffuse injury of the epithelium of the head and neck region, lung and esophagus resulting from chronic exposure to carcinogens [60]. Clinically field cancerization manifests by the frequent occurrence of abnormalities of the mucosa, such as leukoplakia and dysplasia, beyond the margins of an oral cavity cancer.
or second primary tumors in this field. The lifetime risk of a patient with oral cavity cancer to develop a new cancer is 20–40% [61].

TNM classification of tumors of the head and neck

The TNM staging system of the AJCC maintains uniformity in the staging of head and neck tumors and is based on the best estimate of the extent of disease prior to treatment (Tables 2-5). Assessment of the primary tumor is based on inspection and palpation when possible, by indirect mirror examination and direct endoscopy when necessary [62].

The prognosis is strongly correlated with the stage of the disease at diagnosis. Survival of patients with stage I disease exceeds 80% [2]. For patients with locally advanced disease at the time of diagnosis (i.e., stage III and IV), survival drops below 40% [63]. The development of metastases in lymph nodes reduces the survival of a patient with a small primary tumor by 50% [2,3]. Most patients with head and neck cancers at the time of diagnosis are found to be stage III or IV [62,64].

Patterns of relapse

Despite aggressive treatment of the primary most relapses occur within the same region of the primary oral cavity tumor. Local and regional recurrences represent approximately 80% of primary treatment failures [65]. Distant metastases increase as the disease progresses and more frequently include the lungs, and to a lesser extent, bone and liver. This is a reason to use PET/CT for assessing the distant spread of the cancer in patients with disease recurrence of progression. In 10–30% of patients distant metastases are detected at the time of death [63].

Treatment options

Management of tongue cancers requires a multidisciplinary team made up of a surgical oncologist specialized in head and neck cancers, dentist, prosthodontist, plastic reconstructive surgeons, medical oncologist, radiation oncologist, speech therapist, fiscal rehabilitation therapist, social worker, and psychologist.

The treatment depends on the site, the extent of the primary tumor, and lymph node status, and may include [49,63,66]:
- Surgery alone.
- Radiation therapy alone.
- A combination of the above.

The best therapeutic approach for the primary tumor depends on the anatomic site. Most early cancers of the tongue can be treated equally well with surgery or radiation therapy, therefore the method chosen to treat the neck is based on the mode that has been selected for the primary tumor. When the primary tumor is treated with radiation, regional lymph nodes "at risk" are incorporated into the field of treatment [3]. Patient factors and experience should influence the choice of treatment. Due to the lower morbidity of primary surgical resection of oral tongue tumors compared to primary radiation therapy most international guidelines recommend surgery as the primary modality [67]. Larger cancers may require composite resections with reconstruction of the defect by pedicle flaps and often require adjuvant therapy with radiation and chemotherapy [68,69].

The classical surgical principles of oncology are applied to tongue cancers. Complete en bloc resection is necessary. Ensuring adequate margins can be challenging due to the important structures in this area [70]. The reconstruction after surgery is complex after resection.
of tumors of the tongue because the surgical procedure may have an important impact on speech and swallowing. Experienced surgeons should perform the decisions regarding the extent of resection. Prosthodontic rehabilitation is important, especially in the early stages of cancer, to ensure better quality of life.

For lesions of the oral tongue, surgery should remove all macroscopic evidence of the disease keeping in mind the possibility of microscopic extension. If regional nodes are positive, cervical lymph node dissection is usually done in the same procedure. Neck dissection must be standardized (i.e. complete anatomical dissections, instead of random biopsies) in these situations to prevent incomplete surgery. Elective neck dissection is recommended for patients who have a oral cavity tumors with a minimum thickness of 4 mm [3], although some researchers believe that tumor thickness of 2-3 mm would be a more appropriate cut off [71,72] (Table 6).

Supraomohyoid neck dissection is recommended in patients with a clinical stage N0 who are treated surgically. There is evidence of skip metastases through the levels of the neck [73] and in some cases just involving level IV without involving the first levels. Therefore some authors recommend extended supraomohyoid neck dissection [73]. Bilateral neck dissection is performed if the tumor is close to or abutting the midline.

Sentinel lymph node (SLN) biopsy is another new option to standard elective neck dissection for identifying an occult cervical metastasis in patients with an early (T1 or T2) oral tongue cancer in centers where expertise for this procedure exists [74,75]. Patients who are found to have metastatic disease in their SLN’s must undergo a completion neck dissection while those without a positive SLN can be observed with close follow up. The precision of the SLN biopsy for staging of the neck in early oral cavity cancer has been tested at length in multiple single-center trials and in two mutli-institutional studies against the reference standard of elective neck dissection with a pooled estimated sensitivity of 0.93 and negative predictive value ranging from 0.88 to 1 [74-79]. This is a technically demanding procedure that has a steep learning curve in which the success rate is dependent on the experience and expertise of the surgeon. Up to know a direct comparison with the policy of elective neck dissection is lacking [77], so we recommend using this procedure very selectively. For example, very early carcinomas of the oral cavity (T1 or may be T2), excluding floor of the mouth tumors because the accuracy in the studies we have up to date is inferior to other anatomical sites within the oral cavity such as the tongue [74,76], that have a tumor thickness less than 4 mm.

Radiation therapy for cancer of the oral cavity may be administered as external beam radiotherapy (EBRT) or interstitial implantation alone. It is difficult getting enough dose to primary with brachytherapy while still delivering adequate dose to the regional nodes, so for many sites using both modalities produces better control and better functional outcomes [80]. Small superficial cancers can be treated very successfully by local implantation using any of the various radioactive sources (intraraoal cone therapy, or electrons) [81]. Larger lesions are frequently managed using external beam radiotherapy, which includes the primary site and regional lymph nodes (even if not clinically affected) [63]. Supplementation with interstitial radiation sources may be required to achieve adequate doses for bulky large primary tumors and / or lymph node metastases. A review of published clinical results of radical radiation therapy for head and neck tumors suggests a significant loss of local control when the administration of radiation therapy was prolonged, therefore, lengthening of standard treatment programs should be avoided whenever possible [82,83].

Radiation therapy with curative intent usually involves daily treatment for 6 to 7 weeks (total dose: 60-70 Gy) [67]. Although there is no loss of tissue with radiation therapy as with surgery, potential complications include dry mouth, tissue fibrosis, trismus, bone necrosis, hypothyroidism, and dysphagia [84-86]. Some of these problems are common and debilitating enough to require significant attention during treatment planning. Surgery often results in less morbidity in the oral cavity, while radiation therapy causes less morbidity in other regions such as the oropharynx, larynx and nasopharynx.

The definitive indications for postoperative radiotherapy are positive margins, multiple positive nodes with metastatic disease, and extra capsular nodal extension [66,87]. Less certain indications include lymphovascular space invasion, perineural spread, single encapsulated positive lymph node, and thick tumors [87]. Tumors with a thickness between 3 to 9 mm have 44% subclinical node positivity and a 7% local recurrence rate and tumors with a thickness greater than 9 mm thickness have 53% subclinical node positivity and a 24% local recurrence rate [87].

Postoperative radiotherapy (60 to 70 Gy in 6-7 weeks) reduces the rate of local and regional recurrence from 50-15% for tumors with pathologic features that predict a high local and regional failure rates [81,88,89]. The indications for postoperative radiotherapy are well established and are outlined in Table 7.

Two randomized clinical trials were conducted to determine whether adding chemotherapy to radiation therapy improves local / regional control and survival in high-risk patients with head and neck cancers after definitive surgical resection. The results of these trials were published in 2004 [88,90] (Table 8).

In a comparative analysis of the two trials, the presence of extracapsular extension and / or microscopically involved surgical margins were the only risk factors for the positive impact that chemoradiotherapy had over improved survival [91]. The adjuvant treatment for patients with oral tongue cancers is summarized in Table 9.

Recently the results of the RTOG-0234 examining concurrent chemoradiotherapy and cetuximab in the postoperative treatment of patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) with high-risk pathologic features was published [92]. The study recruited 238 patients were with stage III to IV HNSCC with gross total resection showing positive margins and/or extracapsular nodal extension and / or two or more nodal metastases. Patients were randomly assigned to 60 Gy radiation with cetuximab once per week plus either cisplatin 30 mg/m2 or docetaxel 15 mg/m2 once per week. With a median follow-up of 4.4 years, 2-year overall survival (OS) was 69% for the cisplatin arm and 79% for the docetaxel arm; 2-year disease-free survival (DFS) was 57% and 66%, respectively. DFS in this study was compared with that in the chemoradiotherapy arm of the RTOG-9501 trial, which had a hazard ratio of 0.76 for the cisplatin arm versus control (P=0.05) and 0.69 for the docetaxel arm versus control (P=0.01), reflecting absolute improvement in 2-year DFS of 2.5% and 11.1%, respectively. The delivery of postoperative chemoradiotherapy and cetuximab to patients with HNSCC is possible and tolerated with predictable toxicity. The docetaxel regimen shows favorable outcome with improved DFS and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Thickness</th>
<th>5 y Overall Survival</th>
<th>Treatment Failure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 2 mm</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – 8 mm</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 8 mm</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Thickness of oral cancer predicts survival and failure [72]
Table 7. Possible Indications for postoperative radiotherapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Close or possible margins</th>
<th>An affected lymph node &gt; 3 cm</th>
<th>Multiple lymph nodes involved</th>
<th>Extra capsular expansion (ECE)</th>
<th>Patients who had an open biopsy of a suspicious neck node and did not undergo neck dissection at the time</th>
<th>Perineural invasion, lymphovascular space invasion, invasion of cartilage, bone or soft tissues</th>
<th>Recommendation of the surgeon due to intraoperative findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 8. Results from the EORTC 22931 and RTOG 9501 Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End Point</th>
<th>5 y Disease Free Survival</th>
<th>LR Control</th>
<th>OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EORTC 22931</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>RT + CT</td>
<td>P Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTOG 9501</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OS relative to historical controls and has commenced formal testing in a phase II/III trial (RTOG 1216).

The recommendations for follow-up are based on the risk of relapse, second primaries, treatment sequelae, and toxicities includes a history and physical (including a complete head and neck exam; mirror and fiberoptic examinations as clinically indicated every 1 to 3 months for the first year, every 2-6 months for the second year, every 4 to 8 months years 3 to 5, and every 12 months after 5 years. To facilitate this our group sees the patient every three months for the first five years. Post-treatment baseline imaging of the primary (and neck, if treated) is recommended within 6 months of treatment, further imaging is indicated based on signs and symptoms (but is not routinely recommended without worrisome manifestations). Chest imaging as clinically indicated for patients with a smoking history. If the neck was irradiated, the NCCN guidelines recommend thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) testing every 6 to 12 months. Smoking and alcohol counseling as clinically indicated [67].

Conclusion

Cancer of the oral tongue requires a multidisciplinary team approach to their management that includes a surgical oncologist, medical oncologist, radiation oncologist, dentist, oral maxillary surgeon, prosthodontist, rehabilitation therapists, rehabilitation speech therapist, as well as of emotional support by psychologists or social workers. Early referral to a center that has the expertise in the management of these complex tumors has been shown to improve outcomes and is highly encouraged.
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