Laparoscopic treatment of pancreatic trauma in children: A case report
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Abstract

The most common cause of pancreatic injury in children is blunt abdominal trauma. The appropriate treatment is controversial. There are two schools of thought: conservative (Gr I-IV) treatment or surgical treatment (Gr III-V). Laparoscopic treatment for Gr III and IV injury is becoming increasingly more popular. We report on 2 patients which sustained pancreatic transection from blunt abdominal trauma, where both were successfully treated with a laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy. Our preliminary experience shows that laparoscopic treatment of pancreatic transection is safe and feasible.

Introduction

Pancreatic injury is rare in children [1]. The most common cause is blunt abdominal trauma from a bicycle handle bar. Classical presentation includes epigastric pain accompanied by episodes of vomiting [2]. The appropriate treatment is controversial. There are two schools of thought; conservative (Gr I-IV) or surgical treatment (Gr III-V). Laparoscopic treatment, particularly for grade III and IV injury, is increasingly becoming popular for children.

Risk of complications depends on severity of injury and integrity of the pancreatic duct [3,4]. Early laparoscopic treatment of Gr III – IV injury could likely avert such complications.

Methods

We present two patients who sustained a pancreatic injury after blunt abdominal trauma, one through the body and tail and the second one through the tail only. The injuries were graded according to the American Association of Surgeons’ Trauma scoring scale (Table 1).

The first patient is a 12-year-old boy, who presented with a history of falling over the handle bar of his bicycle a day before admission. He had one episode of vomiting and complained of generalized abdominal pain. His amylase and lipase were both marginally raised (Table 1). Patient 2, a 3-year-old boy, presented on the day of injury after being involved in a pedestrian vehicle accident. He had 2 episodes of vomiting, abdominal distention and generalized abdominal tenderness. Most of his blood results were within normal limits (Table 2) except for his amylase, ALT and AST that were marginally raised.

A CT abdomen in patient 1 showed a grade III pancreatic injury (full thickness laceration through body and tail of the pancreas) with extensive peri pancreatic, intra-abdominal and pelvic free fluid (Figure 1). Splenic vessels and all other intra-abdominal organs were intact.

The second patient had a full thickness laceration involving the tail of the pancreas (Figure 2). In addition, he had multiple liver, involving segments 6 & 7 as well as the caudate lobe and splenic lacerations (Figure 3). The splenic vessels seemed intact.

Table 1. Pancreas Injury Scale [4]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Type of injury</th>
<th>Description of Injury</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>Minor contusion without duct injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Superficial laceration without duct injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>Major contusion without duct injury of tissue loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Major laceration without duct injury or tissue loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Distal transection or parenchymal injury with duct injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Proximal transection or parenchymal injury involving ampulla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Massive disruption of pancreatic head</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both patients had an emergency laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy on the day of admission. Indication for surgery was based on the findings of an acute abdomen, the massive amount of peri pancreatic, intra-abdominal and pelvic free fluid leaving an uncertainty that bowel could be damaged. The pancreatic duct wasn’t visualized in either patient. In the first patient the pancreatic stump was oversew and a drain left in situ. In the second patient Glubran 2 was sprayed to seal the pancreatic duct.

Result

Both patients had an excellent post-operative recovery with a short hospital stay (5 & 7 days). Patient 2 received 5 days of TPN, tolerated a full ward diet on day 6 and was discharge on day 7. A follow-up ultrasound at 6 weeks showed no abnormality in both patients.
Figure 1. Extensive peripancreatic, intra-abdominal and pelvic free fluid

Figure 2. Laceration involving the tail of the pancreas

Figure 3. Splenic and liver lacerations

Discussion

Although laparoscopic treatment for pancreatic injury is relatively uncommon and new in the paediatric patient population, it has been well described in adults [5]. There are two opposing views, some favour a conservative approach, others who are laparoscopic supporters a surgical approach. An advantage of the laparoscopic technique is the potential to preserve the spleen [6]. Those surgeons who advocate a conservative approach in the acute setting would suggest an ERCP some time later to assess the integrity of the pancreatic duct [7]. If transection of the pancreas is present, the duct can either be stented or after a distal pancreatectomy the stump oversewn or stapled. We used successfully glue (Glubran 2) in one patient. Many of these patients, particularly with Gr III-IV injury, have a high morbidity from pseudocysts needing repeated interventions that could lead to a prolonged hospital stay. Our preliminary experience supports that early intervention, such as a laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy, is a good alternative to conservative management of pancreatic duct disruptions and seems to reduce morbidity and hospital stay. We find Numanoglu’s flow chart (Figure 4) [2] very useful.

Conclusion

Although the surgical treatment of pancreatic blunt trauma with duct disruption is controversial, our preliminary experience with 2 patients shows that laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy is feasible, a well tolerated and an alternative to the conservative approach with a low morbidity that should be increasingly used [2] especially when spleen-sparing surgery in early grade III injuries is intended [1]. The post-operative recovery seems much quicker with a shorter hospital stay. Further experience with more paediatric patients is necessary before a definitive conclusion can be drawn.
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