

# 9p21.3 locus is associated to poor glycemetic control on risk of coronary artery disease in a type 2 Diabetic Tunisian population

Abid Kaouthar\*, Mili Donia and Kenani Abderraouf

Laboratory of biochemistry, UR 12ES08, Faculty of Medicine, Monastir University, Tunisia

## Abstract

**Background:** Several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on chromosome 9p21.3 were associated with increased risk of coronary artery disease (CAD) in the general population. Nevertheless, the extent of this effect in the population with type 2 diabetes (T2D) has not been yet well described. The objective of the current study was to investigate the association of 3 variants of the 9p21.3 locus with CAD in type 2 diabetic Tunisian patients and evaluate its interaction with poor glycemetic control.

**Methods:** The case-control study population consisted of 634 consecutive type 2 diabetic patients undergoing a routine coronary angiography to evaluate suspected CAD. The association between SNP rs2383206 and CAD was defined as angiographically documented stenosis greater than 50% in a major coronary artery or a main branch thereof. Cohort study: cumulative 5-year mortality.

**Results:** Homozygous patients for the risk allele were significantly more frequent in case than control subjects (41.3 vs. 27.9%,  $p=0.0002$ ). This association was unaffected by adjustment for cardiovascular risk factors, but the effect of the risk genotype was significantly magnified (adjusted  $p$  for interaction = 0.046) in the presence of poor glycemetic control (worst tertile of the distribution of HbA1c at examination). Relative to the CAD risk for patients with neither a 9p21.3 risk allele nor poor glycemetic control, the CAD risk for subjects having two risk alleles but not poor glycemetic control was increased two-fold (OR=1.98, 0.98–3.11), while the risk for study subjects with the same genotype and with poor glycemetic control was increased four-fold (OR=4.25, 2.24–8.02). The same interaction between 9p21.3 variant and poor glycemetic control was observed with regards to cumulative 5-year mortality in the cohort study (43.7% in patients with two risk alleles and poor glycemetic control, 23.2% in those with only the two risk alleles, 30.1% in those with only poor glycemetic control, and 31.5% in those with neither factor,  $p$  for interaction=0.035).

**Conclusions:** The poor glycemetic control increased the CAD risk associated with the 9p21.3 variant in type 2 diabetic Tunisian population.

**Abbreviations:** CAD: Coronary Artery Disease, ETT: Exercise Treadmill Test, HbA1c: Glycated Haemoglobin, HDL: High Density Lipoprotein, LDL: Low Density Lipoprotein, OR: Odds Ratio, SNPs: Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms, T2D: Type 2 Diabetes

## Introduction

Diabetes mellitus remains the most important coronary artery disease risk factor. The lifetime risk of a major cardiac event to people with diabetes is increased by a factor of 2 to 4 relative to people without diabetes [1]. It compounds the impact of such events with increased risks of re-infarction, congestive heart failure, and death [2]. Diabetes is also a major determinant of peripheral artery disease and stroke [3,4]. These profound effects are the results of an acceleration of atherosclerosis caused by hyperglycemia and other characteristics of the diabetic milieu, such as hyperlipidemia (particularly small LDL cholesterol particles) and hypertension [5].

Several family studies demonstrate that a substantial proportion of cardiovascular risk is under the control of genetic factors [6,7]. Although this proof has been collected principally in the general population, studies of indicators of preclinical atherosclerosis propose that genetic factors also play an important role in the progress of atherosclerosis in the presence of diabetes [8,9]. So if the hypothesis that: the genes that play a role in the absence of diabetes are likely to play a role also in its

presence is true, it invites the question if the increased cardiovascular disease risk seen in diabetes is mediated by interaction of those same genes with the diabetic milieu.

In two of our recent studies concerning 9p21.3 variant's association with CAD in a Tunisian population, we found that 9p21.3 was not associated with the development, but with the severity and the early onset of CAD in type 2 diabetic patients [10,11]. Recent genome wide association studies found association of a common allele on chromosome 9p21 with coronary artery disease in the general population [12,13]. In the current study, we investigated the association of this allele with CAD in type 2 diabetic Tunisian patients and if the association is modified by the severity of hyperglycemia, the defining characteristic of diabetes.

**Correspondence to:** Abid Kaouthar, Laboratory of biochemistry, UR 12ES08, Faculty of Medicine, Monastir University, Monastir, Tunisia, Tel: 00 216 73 462 200, Fax: 00 216 73 460 737, E-mail: kaouthar\_abid@yahoo.fr

**Key words:** coronary artery disease, poor glycemetic control, single nucleotide polymorphisms, type 2 diabetes, 9p21.3 locus

**Received:** August 10, 2016; **Accepted:** August 23, 2016; **Published:** August 26, 2016

## Methods

### Patients

For the case-control study, a total of 434 type 2 diabetic patients, recruited through the Fattouma Bourguiba hospital (Monastir, Tunisia), were diagnosed by angiography. The study protocol and informed consent procedures were approved by the Fattouma Bourguiba hospital ethical committee chaired by Pr ABROUG Fekri. All subjects gave written informed consent. Type 2 diabetes was defined as diabetes that was diagnosed after age 30 according to ADA criteria [14] and did not require insulin treatment for at least two years after its diagnosis. Study subjects comprised 222 cases with diagnosed CAD and 212 controls that did not have clinical evidence of CAD. CAD-positive case patients were a random sample of patients with type 2 diabetes who had a stenosis greater than 50% in a major coronary artery or a main branch thereof that was documented by cardiac catheterization at the Cardiology Department of CHU Fattouma Bourguiba between 2011 and 2012. All cases were enrolled in the study at the time of catheterization and examined within one month from the procedure. CAD-negative controls were randomly selected from among patients who were identified between 2011 and 2016 as satisfying the following criteria: 1. current age between 55 and 74 years; 2. type 2 diabetes for five years or more; 3. negative cardiovascular history (*i.e.*, normal resting EKG, absence of cardiac symptoms, and no hospitalization for cardiovascular events); and 4. normal response to an exercise treadmill test (ETT, [15]) performed for screening purposes. All controls were recruited within 6 months from the ETT. A questionnaire was fulfilled at the time of examination for each patient in which detailed informations concerning: history of myocardial infarction, smoking, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia and treatment with glucose-lowering drugs were determined. Data on medications were confirmed by review of medical records.

For the prospective study, a group of 325 Tunisian patients was recruited, in 2010 for genetic studies of type 2 diabetes and its complications, to evaluate the interaction between 9p21.3 variant and poor glycaemic control with respect to all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. The study protocol and informed consent procedures were approved by CHU Fattouma Bourguiba Committee on Human Studies. All subjects gave written informed consent. Study participants were a random sample of type 2 diabetic patients from the CHU Fattouma Bourguiba enriched with patients with proteinuria [16]. All subjects had diabetes diagnosed after age 25 and were treated with diet or oral agents for at least two years after the diagnosis. Their survival status was updated as of December 31, 2015.

### Severity of hyperglycemia

For the case-control study, glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) was measured by a high-performance liquid chromatography (Biorad, Richmond, CA) by the CHU Fattouma Bourguiba Hospital biochemistry Laboratory. The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation for this measurement were 0.25% and 2.1%, respectively. For the prospective study, all HbA1c values measured in these subjects since 2010 were abstracted from the CHU Fattouma Bourguiba Hospital electronic medical records.

### SNP genotyping

Genotyping was realized as previously described by Scheffold *et al.* [17].

Genotyping of the investigated SNPs (rs1333049, rs2383206, and

rs10757278) was carried out by real time PCR and subsequent melting curve analysis on a LightCycler 480 instrument (Roche Applied Science; Mannheim, Germany). The primers and hybridization probes were designed and synthesized by Tib MolBiol GmbH (Berlin, Germany). PCR was carried out in 96-well plates (Roche Applied Science; Mannheim, Germany) using 12.5 ng of genomic DNA as template in a final reaction volume of 5  $\mu$ l. Reaction mixtures contained 0.5  $\mu$ M of each primer, 0.15  $\mu$ M of SNP-specific hybridization probes and 1  $\mu$ l of LightCycler 480 Genotyping Master (Taq DNA polymerase, reaction buffer, 15 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and a dNTP mixture with UTP instead of dTTP) (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). The cycling program consisted of 10 minutes of initial denaturation at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 5 seconds, annealing at 53°C (rs1333049, rs2383206) or at 62°C (rs10757278) for 10 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 10 seconds. After PCR melting curves were generated by holding the reaction mixture at 95°C for 1 minute, stepwise lowering of the temperature to 65°C, 55°C and 45°C, holding for 30 seconds at each temperature, lowering to 40°C for 2 minutes, followed by continuously heating to 75°C. Melting curve analyses were conducted using the LightCycler 480 software according to the manufacturer's instructions (Roche Diagnostics GmbH; Mannheim, Germany).

### Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed as described previously by Doria *et al.* [18].

For the case-control study, all statistical analyses were performed using version 11.0 of the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences: SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Genotype distributions were tested at both polymorphic loci for departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and compared between study groups by Fisher exact tests. Allele frequencies were derived from genotype counts and compared between groups also by Fisher exact tests. Odds ratios of CAD for SNP rs2383206 and other relevant predictors were estimated by logistic regression analysis using first a univariable model for each predictor and then a multivariable model including all variables showing a significant effect ( $p < 0.05$ ). For examining the interaction of genotype with hyperglycemia, rs2383206 was represented as an additive model (number of risk alleles), HbA1c as an indicator variable for the highest tertile, and the interaction as the product of the HbA1c variable by an indicator variable for patients homozygous for the risk allele. Power was estimated by means of the software QUANTO (<http://hydra.usc.edu/gxe>), assuming a risk allele frequency of 0.55. For both SNPs, there was 80% power ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) to detect associations with CAD with ORs as low as 1.35 per risk allele and to detect a 2.5-fold difference in the ORs for risk allele homozygotes between top and lower two tertiles of HbA1c. The latter calculation assumed a CAD prevalence of 0.25 and marginal ORs of 1.80 for G/G vs. A/G+A/A and 1.45 for the top tertile vs. the lower two tertiles of HbA1c.

For the prospective study, life-table methods were used to estimate the cumulative 5-year mortality and its standard error within each stratum defined by degree of glycaemic control and rs2383206 genotype. The significance of the interaction between these two variables was determined by comparing the effect of glycaemic control on cumulative mortality in G/G homozygotes with that in carriers of other genotypes. This linear contrast, divided by its standard error, was compared to the standard normal distribution. The presence of interaction was also tested by adding a cross-product term to a Cox proportional hazard model including glycaemic control and rs2383206 genotype together

with age at baseline and gender as main effects. The assumption of proportionality of the hazards was tested by adding time interaction terms to the model.

## Results

### Clinical characteristics of cases and controls

Table 1 shows clinical characteristics of the study subjects with type 2 diabetes according to their CAD status. Case subjects had significant angiographically-confirmed CAD and control subjects had a negative cardiovascular history and normal exercise treadmill test. Age at examination, age at diagnosis of diabetes, and body weight were the same in the two groups. HbA1c (a measure of poor glycemetic control) averaged a little higher in those with rather than without CAD. This difference was completely owing to an excess of CAD cases in the worst tertile of HbA1c, consistent with a non-linear relationship between poor glycemetic control and CAD risk. Percentage of insulin therapy was more frequent in cases with CAD than control subjects (50.1% as compared with 39.1%), as was a history of hypertension (80.2% as compared to 70.2%). A history of smoking was almost twice as common in case subjects as in control subjects (64.4% as compared

with 36.6%). Almost half of the cases with CAD (44.9%) had a previous myocardial infarction.

### Association between 9p21 variant and CAD

For all the investigated polymorphisms, the genotypes were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in cases as well as controls. The genotype distributions for both SNPs were significantly different between cases and controls ( $p=0.0002$  for rs2383206,  $p=0.0043$  for rs10757278 and rs1333049,  $p=0.0042$ ) (Table 2). No significant differences in genotype distributions were observed between case subjects who attended the CHU Fattouma Bourguiba Monastir Hospital and those who did not, or between those who had a previous myocardial infarction and those who had not. Haplotype analysis indicated that the effects of rs10757278 and rs1333049 were secondary to their strong linkage disequilibrium with rs2383206 ( $D'=1$ ,  $r^2=0.77$ ). The G allele of rs2383206 was associated with CAD regardless of the rs10757278 and rs1333049 allele that was present on the same haplotype, whereas the A allele of rs10757278 and the allele C of rs1333049 were protective only when they occurred together with the protective allele of rs2383206. The primary role of rs2383206 in our study population was confirmed by the fact that the association with CAD disappeared for rs10757278 ( $p=0.50$ ) and rs1333049 ( $p=0.47$ ) whereas remained significant with rs2383206 ( $p=0.012$ ) when the three SNPs were analyzed together in a multivariable model. Thus, only rs2383206 was considered in further analyses. In Table 3, we re-expressed the significant associations with CAD in Table 1 and those concerning the genotypes for rs2383206 as odds ratios, both from univariable analysis and after adjustment in a multivariable model that included all the variables in the table. For the univariable analysis, ORs were 1.44 (0.95–2.23) for rs2383206 heterozygotes and 2.38 (1.53–3.71) for allele G homozygotes, consistent with an additive mode of inheritance. Among the other variables, association with smoking was the most important (OR=3.22, 2.46–4.45), then gender (OR=1.74, 1.27–2.37), antihypertensive therapy (OR=1.77, 1.20–2.49), insulin therapy (OR=1.54, 1.14–2.09), poor glycemetic control (OR=1.44, 0.99–2.11, for the highest tertile of HbA1c at examination versus the lowest), and history of hypercholesterolemia (OR=1.31, 0.84–1.93). All variables remained significant in a multivariable model including all other predictors, excepting insulin therapy and history of hypercholesterolemia. The ORs for rs2383206, both for G/G homozygotes and for heterozygotes, were similar to those obtained in univariable analysis, indicating that the effect of this SNP was not mediated by an effect on the other cardiovascular risk factors.

**Table 1.** Clinical characteristics of study subjects with type 2 diabetes according to coronary artery disease status.

N	Type 2 Diabetes	
	CAD-Negative	CAD-Positive
N	212	222
Men (%)	115 (54.6)	157 (71.1)
Age at examination (yrs)	63 ± 5	64 ± 6
Age at Diabetes Dx (yrs)	51 ± 7	51 ± 10
Diabetes Duration (yrs)	13 ± 6	14 ± 9
Previous MI (%)	-	100 (44.9)
Percent IBW (%)	146 ± 26	145 ± 31
HbA1c at examination (%)	7.2 ± 1.1	7.4 ± 1.3
HbA1c Tertiles		
< 6.8 (%)	73 (34.5)	73 (32.9)
6.8–7.6 (%)	73 (34.5)	62 (27.8)
>7.6 (%)	60 (28.1)	80 (36.2)
Glucose-lowering therapy		
Diet Only (%)	15 (7.4)	16 (7.3)
Oral Agents (%) <sup>†</sup>	108 (51.3)	90 (40.5)
Sulphonylureas (%)	71 (33.6)	68 (30.6)
Metformin (%)	79 (37.5)	47 (21.3)
Thiazolidinediones (%)	70 (17.0)	30 (9.3)
Other (%)	6 (3.1)	7 (3.0)
Oral Agents/Insulin (%) <sup>†‡</sup>	32 (15.4)	51 (23.1)
Sulphonylureas (%)	17 (8.0)	24 (11.1)
Metformin (%)	26 (12.4)	26 (12.0)
Thiazolidinediones (%)	5 (2.7)	20 (9.0)
Other (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.8)
Insulin (%)	49 (23.3)	58 (26.5)
History of hypertension (%)	148 (70.2)	178 (80.2)
History of hypercholesterolemia (%)	172 (81.4)	128 (85.0)
Ever smoked (%)	77 (36.6)	143 (64.4)
Current smokers (%)	11 (5.1)	18 (8.1)
Former smokers (%)	65 (31.0)	124 (56.1)

IBW: Ideal Body Weight

<sup>†</sup>The total number of subjects on oral agents is lower than the sum of subjects in the individual oral agent classes because many subjects were on multiple medications.

### Interaction between 9p21 variant and poor glycemetic control at examination

The possibility of an interaction with hyperglycemia, since it is the distinguishing characteristic of diabetes and excess glucose has potent proatherogenic effects, to investigate this theory, we divided study subjects according to the three rs2383206 genotypes and whether they were in the worst tertile of HbA1c values at examination (HbA1c>7.6), the measure of glycemetic control most associated with CAD in Table 1. Relative to the CAD risk for patients with neither a rs238206 risk allele nor the worst glycemetic control, the risks for those with only poor glycemetic control, or only one risk allele, or only one risk allele and poor glycemetic control were similarly increased, although not significantly (Table 4A) (OR=1.05, 0.85–1.09; OR=1.45, 0.86–2.45, and OR=1.72, 0.89–3.04, respectively). By contrast, the CAD risk for subjects having two risk alleles but not poor glycemetic control was increased two-fold (OR=1.98, 0.98–3.11), whereas the risk for study subjects with the same genotype but poor glycemetic control was increased fourfold (OR=4.25,

2.24–8.02). This effect magnification had a p value for interaction (i.e., deviation from additivity in the log scale) between G/G genotype and glyceamic control of 0.074 in a univariable analysis and 0.046 in a

**Table 2.** Genotype and allele distributions in individuals with type 2 diabetes according to coronary artery disease status.

SNP	CAD-Negative (n=212)	CAD-Positive (n=222)	p value
<b>rs2383206</b>			
A/A	43 (20.3)	27 (12.2)	
A/G	106 (50.1)	100 (45.0)	
G/G	59 (27.9)	91 (41.3)	0.0002
Allele G frequency†	0.531	0.638	0.000046
<b>rs10757278</b>			
A/A	51 (24.2)	36 (16.3)	
A/G	107 (50.5)	107 (48.6)	
G/G	48 (23.0)	73 (33.1)	0.0043
Allele G frequency†	0.484	0.567	0.0011
<b>rs1333049</b>			
C/C	50 (24.0)	35 (16.0)	
C/G	102 (50.1)	106 (48.1)	
G/G	40 (20.0)	69 (30.1)	0.0042
Allele G frequency†	0.480	0.563	0.0010

†Based on 424 chromosomes for the CAD-Negative group and 444 chromosomes for the CAD-Positive group.

**Table 3.** Odds ratios for characteristics associated with coronary artery disease in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Characteristic	Contrast	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Gender	Male vs. female	1.74 (1.27–2.37)	1.56 (1.10–2.22)
Smoking	Ever vs. never	3.32 (2.46–4.45)	3.13 (2.31–4.35)
History of hypertension	Yes vs. no	1.77 (1.20–2.49)	1.94 (1.30–2.83)
History of hypercholesterolemia	Yes vs. no	1.31(0.84–1.93)	1.29 (0.81–1.89)
Insulin therapy	Yes vs. no	1.54 (1.14–2.09)	1.29 (0.97–1.81)
HbA1c	2rdvs. 1sttertile† 3rdvs. 1sttertile†	0.84 (0.63–1.26) 1.35 (0.96–1.97)	0.85 (0.58–1.25) 1.44 (0.99–2.11)
rs2383206	A/G vs. A/A G/G vs. A/A	1.44 (0.95–2.23) 2.38 (1.53–3.71)	1.54 (0.98–2.42) 2.38 (1.48–3.82)

†HbA1c tertiles boundaries are indicated in table 1

**Table 4.** Synergism between poor glyceamic control and SNP rs2383206 on the odds of CAD in type 2 diabetes.

	A. HbA1c at examination		B. HbA1c before examination	
	1 <sup>st</sup> or 2 <sup>nd</sup> tertile (≤7.6%)	3 <sup>rd</sup> TerTile (>7.6%)	1 <sup>st</sup> or 2 <sup>nd</sup> tertile (≤7.9%)	3 <sup>rd</sup> TerTile (>7.9%)
<b>Rs2383206</b>	<b>OR (CI 95%)</b>	<b>OR (CI 95%)</b>	<b>OR (CI 95%)</b>	<b>OR (CI 95%)</b>
A/A	1,05 (0.85–1.09)	1,50 (0,51–2,53)	1,09 (0.86–1.12)	2,50 (0,78–5,13)
A/G	1,45 (0.86–2.45)	1,98 (0.98–3.11)	1,52 (0.76–3.25)	2,98 (1.98–4.10)
G/G	1,72 (0.89–3.04)	4,25 (2.24–8.02)	1,62 (0.79–3.34)	8,11 (3.24–16.52)

	A. HbA1c at examination				B. Average HbA1c before examination			
	1 <sup>st</sup> + 2 <sup>nd</sup> tertile (≤7.6%)		3 <sup>rd</sup> TerTile (>7.6%)		1 <sup>st</sup> + 2 <sup>nd</sup> tertile (≤7.9%)		3 <sup>rd</sup> TerTile (>7.9%)	
<b>Rs2383206</b>	<b>CAD-</b>	<b>CAD+</b>	<b>CAD-</b>	<b>CAD+</b>	<b>CAD-</b>	<b>CAD+</b>	<b>CAD-</b>	<b>CAD+</b>
A/A	61 (20,1)	27 (12,5)	22 (18,6)	12 (10,3)	53 (20,3)	13 (14,9)	15 (16,6)	6 (8,9)
A/G	136 (45,5)	89 (46,3)	67 (53)	52 (43,1)	121 (45,9)	40 (49,9)	56 (57,4)	25 (33,6)
G/G	89 (30,1)	79 (39,6)	27 (22,8)	54 (44,1)	78 (33,1)	26 (33,8)	22 (23,7)	39 (53,9)

A. Adjust edoddsratios of CAD according to HbA1c evaluate at examination (top tertile vs. lower two tertiles) and genotypes at rs2383206. Individuals with no risk alleles and HbA1c in the lower two tertiles serve as reference. B. Adjusted odds ratios of CAD according to the time-weighted average HbA1c during the years before study entry (top tertile vs. lower two tertiles) and genotypes at rs2383206. The top tertile boundaries were 7.6 for HbA1c at examination and 7.9 for the average HbA1c in the years before examination. The counts of individuals in each stratum are reported below each chart.

multivariable model including other cardiovascular risk factors.

**Interaction between 9p21 variant and history of poor glyceamic control:**

The odds ratio was 8,11 (3.24–16.52) for subjects having both the G/G genotype and long-term poor glyceamic control as compared to 1,62 (0.79–3.34) for those with the G/G genotype but not long-term poor glyceamic (Table 4B). This interaction was significant (unadjusted p=0.0051, adjusted p=0.0048) despite the reduced sample size and was not affected by further adjustment for mean arterial pressure and LDL (low density lipoprotein)

and HDL (High density lipoprotein) and cholesterol levels, suggesting that it was not the result of a confounding effect of worse blood pressure or lipid control among individuals with high HbA1c values.

**Interaction between 9p21 variant and poor glyceamic control on mortality**

The same interaction between 9p21 high risk genotype and poor glyceamic control was observed with regard to mortality in a study of 325 CHU Fattouma Bourguiba Monastir Hospital patients who had been recruited in 2010 for genetic studies of type 2 diabetes and its complications (Table 5). Table 5 shows the cumulative 5-year mortality in this cohort according to whether or not individuals carried the G/G genotype and whether or not they had a history of poor glyceamic control (defined as the top tertile of the average HbA1c before study entry [HbA1c >8.9]). We found no significant differences among individuals who did not carry the G/G genotype, in either all-cause or cardiovascular mortality between glyceamic control groups. Contrariwise, mortality was about twice as high in individuals with poor glyceamic control as compared to those in relatively good control (p=0.020

and p=0.019 for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, respectively), among G/G carriers (Table 5). The p value for interaction between poor glyceamic control and G/G genotype was 0.035 for all-cause and 0.043 for cardiovascular mortality.

**Discussion**

Several genetic variants located on chromosome 9p21.3 such as SNP rs2383206, rs1333049 and rs10757278 are important risk factors for coronary artery disease in type 2 diabetic patients. In our study

population of diabetic subjects, this effect is stronger than that reported in the general population, which seems to be owing to a positive interaction between the genetic variant(s) and hyperglycemia. This association in the general population, is not mediated by an effect of these genetic variants on other cardiovascular risk factors, since it is not attenuated by adjustment for these variables [12,19]. This synergism between 9p21.3 locus and hyperglycemia on the risk of CAD translates into a similar interaction with regards to cardiovascular mortality among individuals with type 2 diabetes.

The contradiction between the potent proatherogenic effects of glucose observed *in vitro* and the evidence from large clinical trials of limited benefit of good glyceemic control on cardiovascular outcomes in diabetic subjects may be explained by the interaction between 9p21.3 allele and glyceemic control [20-22]. Poor glyceemic control has an important effect on cardiovascular risk in homozygous GG patients at rs2383206, about 30% of type 2 diabetic patients. The other 70% are not as sensitive to the atherogenic effects of hyperglycemia. In contrary with our results, Broadbent et al. found that the strength of the association between 9p21 variant and CAD was similar among diabetic and non-diabetic subjects [23].

Our findings are absolutely in agreement with Doria and al., who found that the CAD risk associated with the 9p21 variant was increased

**Table 5.** Baseline characteristics of the CHU Fattouma Bourguiba Monastir Hospital cohort investigated in the prospective study.

N	325
Men (%)	174 (53.7)
Age at examination (yrs)	57 ± 9
Age at Diabetes Dx (yrs)	44 ± 8
Diabetes Duration (yrs)	14 ± 7
Percent IBW (%)	135 ± 32
HbA1c (%)†	8.4 ± 1.5
HbA1c Tertiles	
<7.8 (%)	107 (33.1)
7.8–8.9 (%)	111 (34.2)
>8.9 (%)	105 (32.4)

**Glucose-lowering therapy**

Diet Only (%)	28 (8.6)
Oral Agents (%)	93 (28.6)
Oral Agents/Insulin (%)¶	14 (4.4)
Insulin (%)	190 (58.6)

IBW: Ideal Body Weight

†Average HbA1c value before recruitment.

¶Subjects treated with both oral agents and insulin.

in the presence of poor glyceemic control in type 2 diabetes [18]. Their study, like ours, has two unique strengths: first, the contrast achieved by comparing angiographically-confirmed CAD cases with controls for whom CAD was ruled out by an exercise stress test, and second, the accurate assessment of long-term glyceemic control through multiple HbA1c measurements spanning many years before study entry, in contrast to the cross-sectional measurements available to most studies of CAD in type 2 diabetes.

**Conclusion**

The main conclusion of the current study was that 9p21.3 locus and poor glyceemic control interact in determining the risk of CAD in type 2 diabetes. Our finding may help us to understand implications of atherogenesis in diabetes and for the design of more effective prevention strategies.

**References**

1. Stamler J, Vaccaro O, Neaton JD, Wentworth D (1993) Diabetes, other risk factors, and 12-yr cardiovascular mortality for men screened in the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. *Diabetes Care* 16: 434-444. [Crossref]
2. Beckman JA, Creager MA, Libby P (2002) Diabetes and atherosclerosis: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and management. *JAMA* 287: 2570-2581. [Crossref]
3. Abbott RD, Brand FN, Kannel WB (1990) Epidemiology of some peripheral arterial findings in diabetic men and women: experiences from the Framingham Study. *Am J Med* 88: 376-381. [Crossref]
4. Folsom AR, Rasmussen ML, Chambless LE, Howard G, Cooper LS, et al. (1999) Prospective associations of fasting insulin, body fat distribution, and diabetes with risk of ischemic stroke. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study Investigators. *Diabetes Care* 22: 1077-1083. [Crossref]
5. Warram JH, Kopeczynski J, Janka HU, Krolewski AS (1997) Epidemiology of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and its macrovascular complications. A basis for the development of cost-effective programs. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* 26: 165-188. [Crossref]
6. Shea S, Ottman R, Gabrieli C, Stein Z, Nichols A (1984) Family history as an independent risk factor for coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 4: 793-801. [Crossref]
7. Marenberg ME, Risch N, Berkman LF, Floderus B, de Faire U (1994) Genetic susceptibility to death from coronary heart disease in a study of twins. *N Engl J Med* 330: 1041-1046. [Crossref]
8. Wagenknecht LE, Bowden DW, Carr JJ, Langefeld CD, Freedman BI, et al. (2001) Familial aggregation of coronary artery calcium in families with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 50: 861-866. [Crossref]
9. Lange LA, Bowden DW, Langefeld CD, Wagenknecht LE, Carr JJ, et al. (2002) Heritability of carotid artery intima-medial thickness in type 2 diabetes. *Stroke* 33: 1876-1881. [Crossref]
10. Abid K, Mili D, Trimeche T, Msolli M A, Trabelsi I, et al. (2015) Association of the Locus 9p21.3 with Coronary Artery Disease in Type 2 Diabetic Patients. *Journal of*

**Table 6.** Cumulative 5-year mortality in 325 CHU FattoumaBourguibaMonastir Hospital patients with type 2 diabetes according to degree of glyceemic control before study entry and 9p21.3 genotype.

Group		N	Cumulative 5-year mortality							
			All-Cause				CVD			
rs2383206	HbA1c tertile‡		% (n)§	95% CI	p‡	p¶	% (n)§	95% CI	p‡	p¶
A/A + A/G	1 <sup>st</sup> + 2 <sup>nd</sup>	145	31.5 (45)	25.0–38.0			19.6 (28)	13.8–25.6		
A/A + A/G	3 <sup>rd</sup>	72	30.1 (9)	20.6–39.1	0.75		20.0 (14)	11.5–28.3	0.92	
G/G	1 <sup>st</sup> + 2 <sup>nd</sup>	74	23.2 (17)	14.8–31.6			15.2 (11)	8.1–22.7		
G/G	3 <sup>rd</sup>	34	43.7(15)	28.6–58.8	0.02	0.035	35.5 (12)	20.4–51.6	0.019	0.043

‡3rd tertile boundary=8.9%.

§The numbers in parentheses are the numbers of deaths observed in each group. Percent mortalities and their confidence intervals were obtained by lifetable methods. Hence the percents do not exactly correspond to the number of deaths divided by the sample size.

‡3<sup>rd</sup>vs. 1<sup>st</sup>+ 2<sup>nd</sup>tertiles of HbA1c.

¶Interaction between HbA1c tertile group and rs2383206 genotype.

*Cardiovascular Disease* 3: 381-386.

11. Abid K, Mili D, Kenani A (2015) Polymorphism on Chromosome 9p21.3 Is Associated with Severity and Early-Onset CAD in Type 2 Diabetic Tunisian Population. *Dis Markers* 2015: 792679. [[Crossref](#)]
12. McPherson R, Pertsemlidis A, Kavaslar N, Stewart A, Roberts R, et al. (2007) A common allele on chromosome 9 associated with coronary heart disease. *Science* 316: 1488-1491. [[Crossref](#)]
13. Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium (2007) Genome-wide association study of 14,000 cases of seven common diseases and 3,000 shared controls. *Nature* 447: 661-678. [[Crossref](#)]
14. (1997) Report of the Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 20: 1183-1197. [[Crossref](#)]
15. Gibbons RJ, Balady GJ, Beasley JW, Bricker JT, Duvernoy WF, et al. (2002) ACC/AHA 2002 guideline update for exercise testing: summary article. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to Update the 1997 Exercise Testing Guidelines). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 40: 1531-1540. [[Crossref](#)]
16. Freire MB, Ji L, Onuma T, Orban T, Warram JH, et al. (1998) Gender-specific association of M235T polymorphism in angiotensinogen gene and diabetic nephropathy in NIDDM. *Hypertension* 31: 896-899. [[Crossref](#)]
17. Scheffold T, Kullmann S, Hüge A, Binner P, Ochs HR, et al. (2011) Six sequence variants on chromosome 9p21.3 are associated with a positive family history of myocardial infarction: a multicenter registry. *BMC Cardiovascular Disorders* 11: 9.
18. Doria A, Wojcik J, Xu R, Gervino EV, Hauser TH, et al. (2008) Interaction between poor glycaemic control and 9p21 locus on risk of coronary artery disease in type 2 diabetes. *JAMA* 300: 2389-2397. [[Crossref](#)]
19. Helgadóttir A, Thorleifsson G, Manolescu A, Gretarsdóttir S, Blondal T, et al. (2007) A common variant on chromosome 9p21 affects the risk of myocardial infarction. *Science* 316: 1491-1493. [[Crossref](#)]
20. Libby P, Plutzky J (2002) Diabetic macrovascular disease: the glucose paradox? *Circulation* 106: 2760-2763. [[Crossref](#)]
21. (1998) Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. *Lancet* 352: 837-853. [[Crossref](#)]
22. Haffner SM (1999) Epidemiological studies on the effects of hyperglycemia and improvement of glycaemic control on macrovascular events in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 22: C54-6. [[Crossref](#)]
23. Broadbent HM, Peden JF, Lorkowski S, Goel A, Ongen H, et al. (2008) Susceptibility to coronary artery disease and diabetes is encoded by distinct, tightly linked SNPs in the ANRIL locus on chromosome 9p. *Hum Mol Genet* 17: 806-814. [[Crossref](#)]