Combined replacements of the wrist, ulnar head, and thumb carpometacarpal joint. Case report, technical note and recent evidence to the Arpe™ prosthesis
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Case presentation

A 55-year-old male presented with right primary pancarpal wrist joint osteoarthritis (OA), accompanied with distinctive OA of the distal radioulnar joint that led to marked loss of the forearm supination-pronation motion arc (Figure 1A-B). The patient sustained primarily a total wrist replacement (TWR) accompanied with a distal ulna hemiresection (Bowers procedure) (Figure 2A-B). Three years after primary surgery, a secondary ulnar head replacement (UHR) had to be performed due to painful convergence instability of the distal ulna stump (Figure 2C). It has been previously reported in 2015 by the author on this patient with a satisfactory outcome at a 5-year follow-up [1,2]. Six months after that, the patient developed painful distinctive right thumb carpometacarpal joint (TCMJ) OA with marked loss of thumb’s circumduction and restriction of tip-to-tip pinch. Radiographically, the screw for fixation of the carpal TWR component into the 2nd metacarpal bone did not alter the trapez bone, and so the total TCMJ replacement using the Arpe™ implant (Biomet, Warsaw, Indiana/USA) could be performed (Figure 3A-B). One year total TCMJ replacement, there was unchanged correct positioning of all 3 implants without any signs of loosening or subsidence, but sigmoid notch erosion and bone resorption around the collar of UHR was seen (Figure 4). Bone resorption around the collar of the uHead™ implant (such as in our case) has been observed in up to 90% of cases with an average ratio of 7% (0-18%, Bone Resorption Index) and/or sigmoid notch erosion in up to 30% of cases with an average ratio of 1.8 (1.4-2.6, Sigmoid Notch Erosion Index) without loosening or subsidence of the UHR [3]. It seems to be a constant phenomenon related to stress shielding around the uHead™ implant, it occurs within the first postoperative year, then stabilized to an average follow-up of 3 years [3]. Also, there was an excellent restoration of thumb’s functionality with a sufficient abduction and circumduction to perform a powerful tip-to-tip pinch to the 5th finger, and in comparison to the previously published 5-year follow-up an unchanged complete restoration of forearm supination-pronation motion arc (Figure 5A-B). In order to preserve motion, the patient reported that he would undergo the same 3 replacements a second time were it necessary.

Biomechanically, TCMJ is best described as a “twisted saddle” with 2 axes for extension-flexion and abduction-adduction only, and there are several volar and especially the major strong dorsal ligaments which provide joint stability. Thumb’s circumduction that requires a “third functioning axis” for pronatoric rotation (i.e. opposition), is
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Figure 3. (Case Presentation, 6 months after the 5-year follow-up): (A) Oblique radiograph showing advanced stage of right TCMJ OA, note that the screw for fixation of the carpal TWR component into the 2nd metacarpal bone does not alter the trapez bone (arrow); (B) Intraoperative fluoroscopy showing correct positioning of the Arpe™ prosthesis with correct alignment of the cup which is parallel to the articular surface of trapeze bone (lines).

Figure 4. (Case Presentation, 1 year after total TCMJ replacement): Postero-anterior and lateral radiographs demonstrating unchanged correct positioning of TWR, UHR, and Arpe™ prosthesis without any signs of loosening or subsidence, there is no instability of the UHR, note the bone resorption around the collar of UHR and sigmoid notch erosion 3.5 years after its insertion (arrows).

Figure 5. (Case Presentation, 1 year after total TCMJ replacement): (A) Clinical photographs demonstrating excellent restoration of thumb’s functionality with sufficient circumduction to perform a powerful tip-to-tip pinch to the 5th finger, and complete extension-abduction motion arc; (B) Clinical photographs demonstrating complete restoration of forearm supination-pronation motion arc.

A result of evolution in hominid species as a functional adaption to stand upright, freeing the torso and upper limbs. Unfortunately, the great mobility that is seen in-vivo in these 3 functioning axes like a “cardan joint” makes that joint intrinsically unstable and TCMJ OA is "the price of an opposable thumb” [4]. Treatment options for TCMJ OA are an excisional procedure (trapeziectomy with or without ligament reconstruction and/or tendon interposition (LRTI)) that is the most frequent procedure worldwide, TCMJ arthrodesis, and total TCMJ replacement [5,6]. Despite recent evidence suggests that neither ligament reconstruction with tendon interposition or one of both procedures alone confers any additional benefit over trapeziectomy alone, only 3% of hand surgeons in USA performed that simpler procedure in 2010 [6-9]. The main problems of an excisional procedure are deterioration of pinch strength with time, mechanical pain related to instability or bone impingement with or without neuropathy of the superficial branch of radial nerve, high incidence of flexor carpi radialis tendinitis, and clumsiness associated with patient’s trouble doing activities such as threading a needle, sewing, buttoning a blouse or shirt, turning over the pages of a newspaper, or picking up small objects [7,8,10-16]. It must be noted that there are not always sufficient options for surgical treatment in the future if patients are unsatisfied after an excisional procedure. Three studies revealed that a revision procedure including re-LRTI or re-suspension with the use of the mini TightRope after a failed primary excisional procedure can be associated with a complication rate up to 27%, poor outcome, and incomplete pain relief [17-19]. In rheumatic patients, there is often observed a "reverse" Z - deformity of the thumb that presents hyperextension in TCMJ, hyperflexion in metacarpophalangeal joint, and hyperextension in interphalangeal joint of the thumb [20]. If the Z-deformity is irreducible by tightening the adductor muscle, TCMJ fusion is the surgical method of choice [21]. The “optimal treatment” for TCMJ OA would be the in-vivo transformation of biomechanically determined “cardan joint” to an intrinsically stable “ball joint” with a third central axis for pronatoric rotation to perform a powerful circumduction by an endoprosthesis. Total TCMJ replacement reduces pain, improves grip and pinch strength, and results in excellent patient’s satisfaction including faster re-employment if the implants not be failed [5,6,22,23].

The main problem of total TCMJ replacement is long-term survival of its cups [24]. To analyze the reasons for these high failure rates, it is necessary to explore the biomechanical imbalance across the long lever of the first metacarpal bone onto the small surface of the trapeze bone, which is anatomically determined; and the different topographic load-bearing regions on the surface of trapeze bone as well. A tip pinch of 1 kg will generate 12 kg joint compression; and for the power grip, the load may be as high as 120 kg [25]. Additionally, recent evidence suggests that cup surveillance does not depend on the preoperative radiographic staging using the classifications by Eaton-Littler (1973) or Eaton-Glickel (1987); thus, for assessment the outcome of total TCMJ replacement it has been recommended in 2015 by Larsen et al. [26] to introduce a new classification that incorporates the preoperative patient’s disability. When using non-cemented screw cups, it is also recommended to insert it without threading of the trapeze bone to improve the primary insertion-related stability that is an important prerequisite for its secondary bony anchorage [27,28]. The question whether a press-fit non-cemented hemispherical cup is to be or is not to be preferred over a screwed non-cemented cylindrical cup remains topically unanswered. Contraindications for a non-cemented total TCMJ replacement are poor bone stock, a collapsing trapeze bone with a height below 11-12 mm when using the 9 or 10 mm in diameter Arpe™ cups, irreducible Z - deformity of the thumb, joint hyperlaxity, unstable soft tissue with or without infection, nerve palsies, and reduced compliance of patients. Discrete concomitant radiographic degenerative changes in scaphotrapezio-trapezoid joint without clinical manifestation appear not to be a contraindication for total TCMJ replacement [29]. Total TCMJ replacement allows additional wrist procedures such as four-corner fusion or proximal row carpectomy [30].
The Arpe™ prosthesis is one non-cemented ball-and-socket type that is currently in use [5,24]. It has a metal-on-ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) articulation, and the design resembles a “small hip prosthesis” (Figure 6). The hemispherical titanium alloy and hydroxyapatite coated cup is designed for press-fit insertion, and it has three spikes on its ground for that one in vitro study demonstrated a high primary stability regarding bending strengths, and an “additional crown” could raise its stability regarding torsion strengths [31]. The titanium alloy and hydroxyapatite coated stem reproduces the anatomical shape of the first metacarpal medullary space. The problem of a ball-and-socket implant is that the central axis of cup is collinear to the axis of stem resulting in physiological load-bearing for thumb adduction only, whereas the dominant contact pattern for volar abduction and opposition is observed on the central-volar aspect of trapez bone surface [32]. To minimize the risk of loosening or dislocation, the Arpe™ cup should be placed in the centre of range of motion that is parallel to the proximal articular surface of trapez bone in the PA view (Figure 7A-C), accompanied with its angulation of 7° flexion relative to the proximal surface of trapez bone in the lateral view [33]. The main disadvantage of implant is that the PE insert is fixed to the cup. Hence, in case when PE wear occurs, a revision of the entire cup becomes necessary even it may not be loosened [34]. Two comparative studies revealed that the outcomes regarding functionality and strength were better rated by patients with a total TCMJ replacement using the Arpe™ implant than by patients who underwent a primary excisional procedure [35,36]. At two mid- and one long-term follow-up’s a survival rate for in-vivo functional Arpe™ prostheses was found in 85-97% of cases [34,37,38]. Currently, none of all other types for total TCMJ replacements (ceramics or metal-on-metal articulation types) or TCMJ pyrocarbon interposition implants (Pi2) revealed a survival rate of 93.9% at a 10-year follow-up, and the results with the Arpe™ prosthesis are comparable with those of the standard reference of 93,1% in hip arthroplasty [38-42]. Regarding to the exclusively only published poor outcomes with the use of the Moje ceramic prosthesis, it has been noted by Giddins in 2012 that this implant should be withdrawn from the marketplace, but the manufacturer has not responded so far [40]. The “off-label” use of the Arpe™ prosthesis in a “reverse manner” is reported to be critical [43]. The further development of the Arpe™ prosthesis is the Maia™ prosthesis, this type has four spikes on the ground of cup to improve primary insertion-related stability, and fish-scale macrostructure at the proximal end of the stem to reduce the risk of subsidence [44]. For a failed primary total TCMJ replacement, total exchange TCMJ replacement with or without additional bone grafting, an excisional procedure with or without removal of the metacarpal stem, or TCMJ arthrodesis are the salvage procedures [5,33,34,37,43,45-49]. After a failed total exchange TCMJ replacement, an excisional procedure continues to be a salvage option, the outcome of an excisional procedure after a failed total TCMJ replacement is reported to be identical to those after a primary procedure [50,51]. Based on evaluated complication rates and subjectively reported outcomes by the patients, an excisional procedure is to be preferred primarily over TCMJ arthrodesis when both procedures are possible, especially in women aged 40 years and older [52,53].
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