Bilateral hip reconstruction improve hip stability, pelvic balance and mobility function in children with cerebral palsy
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Abstract

Background: Bilateral hip reconstructive surgery for hip displacement of children with cerebral palsy still be contro-versial. The objective of this study to report the outcome of bilateral hip surgery with varus derotation and shortening of the proximal femur and Dega pelvic osteotomy in radiography and mobility function of children with spastic diplegia and quadriplegia.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed 49 patients with spastic diplegia or quadriplegia who underwent bilateral hip reconstruction at minimum follow up of 24 months. Surgical outcomes were assessed on potential in correction of hip displacement by following migration percentage and pelvic obliquity by following migration percentage difference and pelvic obliquity angle. Mobility function improvement was assessed on the basis of sitting, standing and walking capability before and after surgery. The effect of preoperative migration percentage, age at surgery, GMFCS level and pelvic obliquity angle on surgical outcome were assessed by logistic regression analysis.

Result: 85.7% of the patients became normal hip coverage (MP<33%) and 45% of the patients who have pelvic obliquity became balance pelvis at 2 years after surgery. Migration percentage, migration percentage difference and pelvic obliquity angle reduced significantly. 71% of the patients had improvement in sitting, 51% improved in standing and 12% improved in walking function. Preoperative migration percentage was only influential risk factor with respect to postoperative outcome.

Conclusion: Bilateral hip reconstruction can correct hip displacement problem either bilateral or unilateral displacement into normal hip coverage by 90% and decrease pelvic obliquity significantly as well as improve remarkably in sitting and standing function of the patients with spastic diplegia and quadriplegia.

Level of evidence: Therapeutic study, Level IV

Introduction

The risk of hip displacement in cerebral palsy varies from 0% to 64% according to CP subtype and GMFCS level. Patients with spastic quadriplegia or in GMFCS level V are at the highest risk [1]. Progression of hip displacement in-creased markedly with decreasing functional level and increasing initial hip displacement [2,3]. Severe hip displacement can cause pain, difficulty to ambulate, pelvic obliquity, loss of sitting balance and scoliosis, especially in asymmetrical hip displacement [4,5].

Early detection and intervention through hip surveillance program can obviously prevent hip dislocation and improve quality of life [6]. Early soft tissues release can prevent progression of hip displacement when there is no bony deformity, but bone reconstructive surgery can effectively correct most of hip displacement, improve hip function and relieve pain in long term outcome [7,8].

One-stage hip reconstruction which comprises of femoral varus derotation, shortening osteotomy (VDRSO) and pelvic osteotomy is considered to be the most appropriate choice for reduction. There was about 10% of operated hips loss of reduction, progressive recurrence of coxa valga, however hip stability still was maintained at maturity in 90% of hips [9].

One issue that is controversial is whether there is a necessity for bilateral hip reconstruction in spastic diplegia or quadriplegia with unilateral hip displacement. Contra-lateral hip displacement and ipsilateral failure are the common com-plication after unilateral reconstruction, the rate is up to 74% [10-12]. Prophylactic surgery in the contralateral hip isn't recommended in patients with no hip dysplasia and patients should be closely monitored during the first two postoperative years [13]. Some authors stated that bilateral hip reconstruction in non-ambulatory quadriplegic patients should be considered to maintain symmetry and pelvic alignment, even if the contralateral hip is no any hip displacement [14,15].

This study aims to report the outcome of bilateral hip reconstruction with varus derotation and shortening of the proximal femur with or without Dega pelvic osteotomy in correcting hip displacement, pelvic obliquity and improving mobility function of children with spastic diplegia and quadriplegia.

Material and methods

Ethical approval for this study was permitted under the audit
provisions of our local institutional reviews board. This study was a prospective cohort of patients with spastic diplegia and quadriplegia who underwent bilateral hip recon-structive surgery since 2011 at the Queen Sirikit National Institute of Child Health, Bangkok, Thailand.

The inclusion criterion for bilateral hip reconstruction in our clinic are patients with spastic diplegic or quadriplegic cerebral palsy who have at least one side of hip displacement (Migration Percentage (16,17) ≥ 40%), hip abduction < 60 in extension, coxa valga, and excessive femoral anteverision. The patients presented a minimum follow up period of 24 months with clinical and radiological documentation at pre-operative point, 1 year and 2 years post-operative point.

We exclude adolescent patients who have severe deformation of femoral head, structural scoliosis and unhealthy enough for operation.

Surgical procedure

All parents were explained about the details of surgery. Patients were provided with physiotherapy program and general health assessment before operation.

All patients were treated with varus derotation and shortening osteotomy of proximal femur by single team of surgeons. The proximal femur was fixed with a 100 degree fixed angle blade osteotomy hip plate after alignment of neck shaft angle was set about 110-120 degree. Range of motion of the hip was examined by manipulation and the migration percentage was assessed by intra operative radiography. If the migration percentage was still more than 30% and the acetabular index was more than 25 degree, Dega type pelvic osteotomy [16-19] would be performed. If the range of hip abduction was still less than 60 degree, percutaneous release of hip adductor longus muscle and more shortening of femur would be considered. In patients with high level of hip dislocation, open hip reduction might be considered if the hip couldn't be reduced by the former steps of surgery. Other soft tissue procedure including medial hamstring release or tendo-achillis lengthening would be performed if the patients had contracture.

Postoperative pain control with epidural anaesthesia or continuous intravenous morphine had been applied for 2 days after operation. Sporadic dose of morphine was given in the first week. Hip abduction cast was applied in some cases with open hip reduction and severe spastic muscle tone. All patients were given by the same physiotherapy program both preoperative and postoperative period.

Outcome measurement

Data recorded from each patient are general information, radiographic parameters and mobility status before surgery, 1 year and 2 years after surgery. The parameters which had been recorded before and after surgery consist of sex, age at surgery, GMFCS level, radiographic parameters including migration percentage (MP), migration percentage difference (MPD) and pelvic obliquity angle (POA), and mobility functional status.

Radiographic assessment was performed with use of Migration Percentage (MP) of Reimers [16], Migration Percentage Difference (MPD) and Pelvic Obliquity Angle (POA). The MP describe the subluxation of the femoral head out of the acetabulum relative to the width of femoral head [17]. The MPD is the difference between the MP of one hip and the MP of the other hip in the same patient. We believe that high percent of the MPD is relative to high degree of pelvic obliquity. The POA is an angle between the line of the lowest edge of both ischium and horizontal line. It imply degree of pelvic obliquity as well (Figures 1-3).

Figure 1. The migration percentage difference (MPD), the right hip MP=58% and the left hip MP=24%.the MPD =58%-24%=34%. High percent of MPD imply high degree of pelvic obliquity angle.

Figure 2. The pelvic obliquity angle (POA) consist of the 1st line(horizontal line) cross the second line(a line drawn from the lowest edge of both ischium).In this figure, POA is equal to 6.8 degree.The x-ray film is belong to a 10 years-old girl with GMFCS level III. She walked with a walker frame in pattern of scissor gait and pelvic obliquity before operation. The right hip migrated 58% but the left hip migrated just 24% and the pelvic obliquity angle about 6.8 degree. The left hip had adduction, internal rotation contracture and the proximal femur showed coxa valga.

Figure 3. The same girl after 2 years of operation,the right hip was operated with proximal femur and pelvic osteotomy and the left hip was operated only with proximal femur osteotomy. The outcome showed that the right hip had 0% of MP and the left hip had 3% of MP. The MPD was equal to 3% and the POA was about 2 degree. She could walk independently with no scissor gait pattern.

The mobility function form was designed to record and mobility functional status of cerebral palsy patients with the hip displacement at my clinic. The form consisted of 3 parts.

1. Sitting status, there are 3 levels of sitting status
   i. Unable to sit : Patients are unable to maintain their trunk on a chair even though the chair has a back support.
   ii. Sitting with back support: Patients can sit on a chair with back support.
   iii. Sitting independently: Patients can sit independently on a chair.

2. Standing status, there are 4 levels of standing status.
i. Unable to stand: Patients are unable to maintain their trunk on standing posture even though a person are holding on both arms.

ii. Standing with a person holding: Patients are able to stand with a person support by holding both arms.

iii. Standing with self holding: Patients are able to stand with holding a walker frame by themselves.

iv. Standing independently: Patients can stand independently.

3. Walking status, there are 3 levels of walking status.

i. Unable to walk: Patients are unable to walk even though they have a walker frame

ii. Walking with a walker frame: Patients can walk with a walker frame.

iii. Walking independently: Patients can walk independently.

Evaluation

To evaluate the effectiveness of correcting hip displacement, we defined the success of hip coverage as a migration percentage less than 33% [16].

In radiographic outcome, we monitored number of patients who had hip migration percentage more than 33% as well as high degree of pelvic obliquity before and 1 year, 2 years after surgery. We report number of patients whose hips become normal coverage and pelvis become symmetry.

Recorded data of migration percentage (MP), migration percentage difference (MPD) and pelvic obliquity angle (POA) were analyzed and reported as the mean and 95% confidence interval as well as comparison of the data between pre-operation, 1st and 2nd year post-operation were analyzed by paired t-test. (p value of 0.05 was considered significant in all comparison). There are two groups of patients based on sides of hip displacement. Patients who had unilateral and bilateral hip displacement presented at pre-operation. The data between two groups were compared by unpaired t-test.

According to the success of hip coverage as MP less than 33%, we compared the risk parameters including pre-operative MP, Age at surgery, GMFCS level and pelvic obliquity angle between the patients who had achievement in success and nonsuccess of hip coverage at 2 years after surgery to find out a potential risk factor by using logistic regression analysis statistic.

In mobility function outcome, we observed change of number of patients from pre-operation and 1st and 2nd year post-operation in sitting, standing and walking functional status.

Result

Forty nine patients who met the criteria underwent bilateral reconstruction by the same operative team and returned for following up at least 24 months. The average age of patients who had surgery was 7.63 years old (2.7-19.5). There were nineteen male and thirty female. Thirty five patients were classified in GMFCS level V, nine patients in GMFCS IV, four patients in level III and one in level II.

There were thirty eight patients with bilateral hips displacement and eleven patients with unilateral hip displacement, but all patients had bilateral hip contracture and coxa valga. The data is shown in Table 1.

Success in correcting unstable hip and pelvic obliquity

All patients had abnormal pre-operative MP more than 33% but after one year post operation the outcome showed 44 out of 49 patients had MP less than 33%. Two years after surgery, 42 out of 49 patients had MP less than 33%, 7 pa-tients still had MP more than 33% but less than 47%. 2 patients had loss of successful MP correction from 1st year to 2nd years post operation.

Two radiological parameters were applied to assess degree of pelvic obliquity. The first parameter is the migration per-centage difference (MPD) and the second is the pelvic obliquity angle (POA). 17 patients had MPD less than 10% and 32 patients had MPD more than 10% before surgery. After one year post operation number of the patients with MPD more than 10% at pre-operation decreased from 32 to 12 patients or 64% reduction. After two years post-operation number of these patients decreased from 32 to 15 patients or 53%.

Number of the patients with POA more than 0 degree before surgery decreased from 40 to 23 patients and 22 patients after one and two years post-operation consecutively. Data is shown on Table 2.

The functional mobility status of all patients recorded at pre-operation, 1st year and 2nd years post-operation was re-reported into three parts. The first part is the sitting status. There were 12 patients in the unable to sit status before surgery but after 2 years of surgery only 4 patients still were in the unable to sit status. There were 27 patients in the sit with back support status before surgery but after 2 years only 10 patients still were in the sit with back support status and others became in the independent sit status. Number of the patients with independent sit status increased from 10 to 35 patients after 2 year of surgery. In sitting status part there were 35 out of 49 patients or 71% of patients had improve-ment of sitting function.

The second part is the standing status. 35 in 49 patients were in the unable to stand status before surgery but after 2 years of surgery the number decreased to 19 patients. In standing status part there were 25 out of 49 patients or 51% of patients improved in a standing status and no any patients worsen down. We noticed that all of the 25 patients who had improved in standing status part had good trunk control and were in the sit with back support or the independent sit status group before surgery.

The third part is the walking status. 44 in 49 patients were in the unable to walk status group before surgery but after 2 years of surgery the number decreased to 40 patients. There were only 6 patients out of 49 patients having improvement of walking function and we noticed that all 6 patients were in the independent sit status group. It implied that they had good trunk muscle control.

From this study, 43 in 49 or 87.7% of the patients had mobility

Table 1. Patient demography.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Follow up</th>
<th>minimum 24 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>49 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of operated hips</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age(yr)</td>
<td>7.63 (2.7-19.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male:30, Female:19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFCS level (case)</td>
<td>GMFCSII:1, GMFCS III:4, GMFCS IV: 9, GMFCS V:35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral Hip subluxation(MP&gt;33%)</td>
<td>38 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral Hip subluxation</td>
<td>MP&gt;33% 11 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic Osteotomy</td>
<td>40(Dega)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal femur</td>
<td>98 (VDRO+Shortening)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GMFCS: Gross Motor Functional Classification System
VDRO+: Shortening mean varus derotation osteotomy and shortening of proximal femur
function improvement after bilateral hip reconstruction. The improvement might be in sitting status part, standing status part or walking status part and no any patients were worsen. Data is shown in the Table 2 (Figures 4-7).

**Statistic analysis in 98 hips**

The mean value of preoperative migration percentage was 52.5% (16%-100%). The mean value of migration percentage significantly decreased to 12.3% (0%-44%) and 14.5% (0%-47%) after 1 and 2 years of surgery respectively. However the migration percentage increased a little bit (2.2%) from the first to the second year as shown on Figure 8. Bilateral hip reconstructive surgery could correct hip displacement effectively although there was some loss of correction in the second year.

**Table 2. Alteration of number of the patients who had radiographic hip unstable, pelvic imbalance and functional mobility status after bilateral hip reconstruction.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Number of patients at pre operation</th>
<th>Number of patients at 1 year post operation</th>
<th>Number of patients at 2 years post operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MP &gt; 33%</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP &gt; 40%</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPD &lt; 10%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPD &gt;10%</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POA = 0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POA &gt; 0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ambulatory Status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Number of patients at pre operation</th>
<th>Number of patients at 1 year post operation</th>
<th>Number of patients at 2 years post operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unable to sit</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sit with back support</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sit independent</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to stand</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stand with a person holding</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stand with self holding</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stand independent</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to walk</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk with a walker frame</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk independent</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MP: Migration Percentage, MPD: Migration Percentage Difference, POA: Pelvic Obliquity Angle

**Figure 4.** A 7 years old boy with spastic diplegia. Preoperative mobility status was independent sit, stand with a person hold and unable to walk. The hips had asymmetrical hip displacement.

**Figure 6.** The same boy after 2 years of surgery, his mobility status was independent sit, stand with self holding and walk with a frame. The left hip underwent VDRO and the right hip underwent VDO + Dega pelvic osteotomy.

**Figure 5.** A 7 years old boy with spastic diplegia. Preoperative mobility status was independent sit, stand with a person hold and unable to walk. The hips had asymmetrical hip displacement.

The mean value of pre-operative migration percentage difference (MPD) was 23% (0%-72%) and it significantly decreased to 8% (0%-
41%) after 1 and 2 years surgery as shown in Figure 9. In the same pattern the mean value of pre-operative pelvic obliquity angle (POA) was $3.6^\circ$ (0$^\circ$-30$^\circ$) and significantly decreased to 1.6$^\circ$(0$^\circ$-17$^\circ$) and 1.5$^\circ$(0$^\circ$-16$^\circ$) after 1 and 2 years of surgery consecutively. Outcome of both MPD and POA showed that bilateral hip surgery could correct pelvic obliquity. The data is shown in Table 3 (Figure 8-10).

Comparison between bilateral and unilateral hip subluxation groups

There were two subgroups of patients based on side of hip displacement. Bilateral hip displacement group that the patients have migration percentage more than 33% both hips and unilateral hip displacement group that the patients have migration percentage more than 33% only one hip. We compared the outcome between 38 patients with bilateral hip displacement and 11 patients with unilateral hip displacement. The mean value of MP, MPD and POA of these two groups were significantly different before surgery which the mean values of the bilateral group were lower than the unilateral group as shown in Table 3. But after surgery the value of MP, MPD and POA between two groups weren't significantly different in statistic as shown in Table 3 and Figure 10. After bilateral hip reconstruction both unstable hip and pelvic obliquity problems could be corrected effectively in both bilateral and unilateral hip displacement patients.

Logistic Regression analysis

We had analysed the risk factors which might affect to success of correcting hip displacement. We set up a success of hip displacement correction as MP less than 33 % at 2nd post-operation. The factors that we tested were preoperative migration percentage between less than 80% and more than an equal 80%, age at surgery between less than 5 years and more than and equal to 5 years old, GMFCS level between level II,III and IV,V and pelvic obliquity angle between 0 and more than 0 degree. Outcome showed only pre-operative MP significantly difference in statistic. Patients who had preoperative MP less than 80% were associated with more successful result as shown in Table 4. This data imply that we should do surgery before the hip established dislocation.

Discussion

Patients with cerebral palsy may present with symmetrical or asymmetrical hip displacement which may cause developement of pelvic obliquity and scoliosis. Progressive deformity of hip, pelvis and trunk may develop continuously and contribute to difficulty in seating,ambulation and handling [20-23]. One of common question which parent ask a treatment team is how much mobility function of the patients would be improved after surgery? Improvement of mobility function depend on three main factors: damage of mobility circuit in a brain, bone and joint deformity and muscle power. Developing of a surgical procedure that could effectively correct bone and joint

### Table 3. Statistic Outcome after Bilateral Hip Reconstruction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre Operation Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Post Operation: 1 year Mean ±SD</th>
<th>Post Operation 2 years Mean ±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MP</td>
<td>MPD</td>
<td>PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 98 hips</td>
<td>53% ± 21%</td>
<td>23% ± 19%</td>
<td>3.6 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.3% ± 11.7%</td>
<td>8% ± 8%</td>
<td>1.6 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.5% ± 12.7%</td>
<td>8% ± 9%</td>
<td>1.5 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral subluxation (38 cases, 76 hips)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54% ± 19%</td>
<td>17% ± 15%</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.7% ± 12%</td>
<td>8% ± 8%</td>
<td>1± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.2% ± 12.8%</td>
<td>8% ± 9%</td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral subluxation (11 cases, 11 hips)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68.5% ± 17%</td>
<td>44% ± 20%</td>
<td>8.5 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.9% ± 11%</td>
<td>6% ± 9%</td>
<td>3.6 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8% ± 12%</td>
<td>7% ± 9%</td>
<td>2.9 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value Bilateral vs Unilateral group</td>
<td>0.019*</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, ** symbol are statistically significant p<0.05

Figure 7. The same boy after 2 years of surgery, his mobility status was independent sit, stand with self holding and walk with a frame. The left hip underwent VDRO and the right hip underwent VDOR + Dega pelvic osteotomy.

Figure 8. Graph show mean of migration percentage at pre-operation, 1st year and 2nd year post-operation.

Figure 9. Graph show the migration percentage difference at pre-operation, 1st and 2nd year post-operation.

Figure 10. After bilateral hip reconstruction both unstable hip and pelvic obliquity problems could be corrected effectively in both bilateral and unilateral hip displacement patients.
Bilateral hip reconstruction improve hip stability, pelvic balance and mobility function in children with cerebral palsy

Success of reconstructive hip surgery in correcting hip displacement depend on many factors such as level of GMFCS level, pre-operative hip displacement, surgical experience, and post operative care. Outcome of hip reconstruction have been reported in high success rate, up to 96% for the past five years [9,24,25]. However recurrent subluxation of oper-ated hip and progressive displacement of contralateral hip have been reported in high rate, up to 74% especially in uni-lateral hip reconstruction [10-12]. Nevertheless some authors [14,15] had recommend bilateral hip reconstruction in severe non ambulate CP patients who had hip displacement to balance pelvic asymmetry but it was a controversy issue due to difficult and surgical risk of bone reconstructive surgery. Some authors [26] recommend closely observe further migration of contralateral hip and early soft tissue release in clinical contracture patient. Therefore we believe that bilateral hip reconstruction could correct asymmetrical hip displacement, some of pelvic obliquity and prevent the risk of subsequent hip displacement as well as improve mobility function especially in patients with potential for ambulation.

In this study we had performed one stage bilateral hip reconstruction in spastic diplegia and quadriplegia who have bilateral brain involvement in order to show the outcome in correcting hip unstable, pelvic obliquity and mobility function improvement. The outcome of hip displacement correction to normal migration percentage show high success rate (89.7%) in the first year and 85.7% in the second year post operation. There were only 4.5% of patients have recurrent subluxation which the migration percentage was mild grade (less than 47%) and only 1 patients was re-operated because of progression of subluxation and clinical hip contracture in the third year. The mean of migration percentage decreased significantly from 53% in pre-operation to 12.3% in the first year and 14.5% in the second year post-operation. The first year result reflect to effectiveness of surgical procedure but after that result might be correlate to severity of spasticity, growth potential and post-operative care. We had tested risk factors (pre operative MP; age at surgery, GMFCS level and pelvic obliquity) by logistic regression analysis and found that only pre operative MP was significantly relative to the outcome. We recommend doing surgery before establishment of hip dislocation.

Pelvic obliquity derived from hip displacement might contribute to wind swept deformity, secondary scoliosis and dif-fi-cult in care. Balancing of both hips by correcting hip bone deformity and soft tissue contracture may help reduce pelvic obliquity. In this study we measure improvement of pelvic obliquity with migration percentage difference (MPD) and pelvic obliquity angle (POA). The mean of migration percentage difference decreased significantly from 23% in pre-operation to 8% in the first and second years after operation. The number of patients who had high value of MPD and POA reduced about 50% at the second year after surgery.

In comparison between unilateral and bilateral hip displacement, there are 38 patients bilateral hip displacement and 11 patients unilateral hip displacement before operation. The mean of migration percentage, migration percentage difference and pelvic obliquity angle of the unilateral hip displacement group were significantly higher than the bilateral hip displacement group at pre-operation but these differences were corrected into the same level, non-significance difference in statistic after surgery. Pelvic obliquity could be effectively corrected by bilateral hip reconstruction unless pelvic obliquity causes from supra pelvic origin.

How much of mobility function improvement after hip reconstruction in cerebral palsy is one of controversy issue and hard to predict the result because the main predictor of mobility function is severity of brain involvement. However good lever arm of the hips which surgeon could correct might help better control of lower extremity and trunk motion. Many authors have reported the better of patient care, range of hip motion and relief of pain in long term outcome after reconstruction but no any detail of mobility improvement [8,14,15]. In this study we try to assess simply a mobility function of patients at pre and post operation. We found that most of the patients (71%) had improvement in sitting function. Some patient became sit independently from pre-operation period they couldn’t sit up. Asymetrical hip displacement might contribute to pelvic obliquity and disturb sitting function hence if we could correct asymmetrical hip displacement, sitting function might be better. Although some patient with poor back muscle control couldn’t sit inde-pendently, mobility of hip joint was given by surgery would help patients in positioning of sitting with a support.

There were 51% of the patients have improvement in standing status by 1 or 2 level. Standing is required good lever arm of whole lower extremity particularly both hip joints and knees joint control. Some patient had a good trunk and hip control but their quadricep muscle and knee motion not good enough for standing so they couldn’t stand up after hip surgery. We noticed that all of patients who were
improved in standing had preoperative sitting status as sitting with back support or sitting independently. Because they had adequate trunk muscle control, so after hip surgery they would have a good potential for standing improvement.

function is the most complex motion required good lever arm of lower extremity, adequate muscle power and walking circuit in a brain but in cerebral palsy patients who have hip displacement usually are classified in GMFCS level IV or V that their brains have severe damage involving walking control circuit. It is hard to have walking control circuit remain function in these patients. In our study group, almost all (89.7%) of patients were unable to walk before operation. After 2 years post operation there were only 12.2% or 6 patients have walking ability improvement and all of them had a good trunk muscle control or were in independent sitting status before operation. There were 2 patients who started with walking with a walker frame and after operation they could walk independently. Trunk muscle control can be assessed simply by showing capability of patient in sitting function. If the patients could sit independently or leaning with a back support, they have a good candidate for correcting lever arm of lower extremity especially hip displacement and we could hope them better in mobility function.

One of limitation of this study isn’t a long term outcome but it could answer the effectiveness of bilateral hip recon-struction in radiological and functional outcome. Migration percentage difference, pelvic obliquity angle and mobility functional assessment is the parameters which have never reported in the literature, intra and inter observer reproducibility were not evaluated. Further assessment may be necessary.

Conclusion

Bilateral hip reconstruction can correct hip displacement problem either bilateral or unilateral displacement into normal hip coverage by 90% and decrease pelvic obliquity significantly as well as improve remarkably in sitting and standing function of the patients with spastic diplegia and quadriplegia.
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