Three studies supporting the initial validation of the stress numerical rating scale-11 (Stress NRS-11): A single item measure of momentary stress for adolescents and adults
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Abstract

Introduction: This study aimed to provide initial validation of the Stress Numerical Rating Scale-11 (SNRS-11). The validation is based on 3 different studies: Study A compared the SNRS-11 with the Pain Numerical Rating Scale-11 (PNRS-11), Spielberger’s State Anxiety Inventory for Children (STAI-C-S), Perceived Stress Scale (PSS), and Children’s Somatization Inventory (CSI) in 73 adolescents that were part of a larger study assessing physical and mental health benefits of yoga. Study B compared the SNRS-11 with the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS), Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS), and 12-item Short Form Health Survey (SF12) in a community sample of 438 emerging adults. Study C compared the SNRS-11 with the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS), Pediatric Symptom Checklist-35 (PSC) and measures of physical health and family communication from a community sample of 171 parents/caretakers. Discussion: Findings suggest that the SNRS-11 is a promising measure for efficiently assessing current stress in adolescents and adults.

Three studies supporting the initial validation of the Stress Numerical Rating Scale-11 (Stress NRS-11): A single item measure of momentary stress.

Introduction

For many years research has highlighted the effects of stress on one’s physical and psychological health. For example, chronic stress can cause a lasting impact on the musculoskeletal, immune and inflammatory, cardiovascular, reproductive and gastrointestinal systems [1,2]. Specifically, stress has been linked to coronary heart disease, the progression of cancer, and diabetes [3-5]. Further, it can lead to mental health issues such as depression and anxiety [1,2,6]. Therefore, the assessment of stress for research and clinical purposes is extremely important.

Although very brief tools have been used to assess momentary discomfort, such as the Subjective Units of Discomfort Scale [7], no scale has measured momentary stress. In fact, Littman, White, Satia, Bowen, and Kristal [8] note that the assessment of stress has primarily focused on quantifying stressors or examining psychological responses to stressors. Very brief measures of stress have been validated in adults. For example, Littman and colleagues [8] developed 2 single-item measures of stress. The difficulty with this measure is that it does not capture momentary stress, and it assesses stress over the past year, which would be difficult for children. Validated subjective stress scales that are currently being used with pediatric populations include the Children’s Hassles Scale (43 questions), the Perceived Stress Scale (14 questions; 10 questions for short version) and the Children’s Hassles and Uplifts Scale (25 questions). Although each is a valuable tool, they can be lengthy to complete (10 to 43 questions), and with the exception of the Children’s Hassles Scale, virtually all assess past stress to estimate current stress. Families might perceive the process as demanding and exhausting, especially if the measure is included as one of several measures and forms. Therefore the length of these measures may not be practical in a fast paced, hospital or clinical environment. Further, due to length, the mode of administration is limited to primarily paper and pencil or verbal communication. Taking into consideration the new wave of mobile health (mHealth) research, it would be difficult and cumbersome to administer multiple questions through most types of mHealth technology.

In addition to length and mode of administration, measures that require one’s appraisal of past events or experiences can be subject to retrospective bias. Sato and Kawahara [9] note that when questionnaires depend on a respondent’s retrospective memory, estimated mood states can be subject to issues of memory function. Specifically, studies have shown that explicit memory is reconstructive; therefore retrieval of past thoughts and feelings can be influenced by current thoughts and mood state [10].

One example of a measure that can be influenced by memory bias is the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS). The PSS is a measure of one’s global life stressors within the last month. A respondent’s rating of how often he or she has felt nervous and stressed within the past month could be subject to retrospective bias depending on his or her memory of past experiences.
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events and current emotional state. Although the PSS has been used as a measure of perceived stress in the past month, the stress hormone glucocorticoid can significantly impair retrieval of past events [11,12]. Therefore if one were to feel stressed at the time of completing a measure such as the PSS, it is likely that increased glucocorticoid levels could interfere with an accurate completion of the measure.

Overall, currently used subjective stress scales have disadvantages such as length and mode of administration as well as potential retrospective bias, in addition to the difficulty of assessing past stress to estimate current stress. Thus, a brief measure of current stress that is easily administered, amenable to multiple modes of administration and utilizes a brief screening of current stress level would be a useful clinical and research tool. To this end, we developed the Stress Numerical Rating Scale-11 (SNRS-11).

The SNRS-11 was modeled after the commonly used single point assessment of pain, the NRS-11 [13]. Like the NRS-11, the SNRS-11, requires participants to respond to the question, “On a scale of 0 to 10, with 0 being no stress and 10 being worst stress possible, what number best describes your level of stress right now?” Below the question, the 11 numbers were spaced equally across the page, with the anchors noted below the “0” and the “10.” Participants respond by circling the number corresponding to their current level of stress. The SNRS-11 also focuses on stress intensity, which is one dimension of the multidimensional construct of stress, similar to the NRS-11 that focuses on the assessment and measurement of pain intensity, one dimension of pain. The pain NRS-11 has been validated in studies with adults and children as young as 8 years old [13,14]. Specifically, the pain NRS-11 has been validated against the Faces Pain Scale-Revised (FPS-R; 69 children age 7-17 years), the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS; 29 children age 9-17 years) and against the VAS with a large sample (n = 236) of children [13]. Use of the pain NRS-11 requires children to rate “…how much pain she or he has, using a number from 0 to 10 where 0 is no pain or hurt and 10 is the most or worst pain” [13]. In these studies, the pain NRS-11 was found to be “functionally equivalent” to the other pain scales, and was also considered to have several important advantages over the other scales. The objective of the present study was to provide initial validation of the SNRS-11 in three separate, unpublished studies.

**Study A: the SNRS-11 and STAI-C-S, PSS, CSI in high school adolescents**

**Method**

Participants were part of a larger study assessing the physical and mental health benefits of yoga for sophomore students at an urban, public high school. Based on data from the most recent census (2010-2011), this high school is comprised of approximately 1,610 total students; 51% female, 69% African American, 14% Hispanic, 10% Caucasian, 8% Asian, 1% Native American and 75% of students receive free/reduced lunch. Of the 118 students approached in the school (2 classes X 2 semesters) was examined to determine if the classes differed on critical demographic variables. No differences were found for ethnicity or gender; therefore, data was collapsed and used as a single dataset for all analyses (all p values > .05). The psychometric properties for each measure are presented in Table 1. Overall, scores on the SNRS-11 suggest that the sample reported low levels of stress, with 75% of the scores falling below 3.75 on the scale. A bubble plot (Figure 1) illustrates ratings on the SNRS-11 plotted against question 3 of the PSS: “In the last month, how often have you felt nervous and stressed?” Although stress ratings were low in general on both scales, the plot illustrates general commonality between ratings. As expected, the correlation between the SNRS-11 and the STAI-C, our primary measure of construct validity was strong: r = .60, p < .01. The SNRS-11 was also significantly and moderately correlated with both the PSS Total score (r = .31 p < .01) and the CSI-24 Total score (r = .35, p<.01). The SNRS-11 also indicated a strong relationship with current usual pain: r = .57, p < .01. Current SNRS-11 scores were moderately correlated with students’ mean daily stress scores (r = .41, p<.01).

Further, mean daily SNRS-11 scores reported over the first half of the semester were strongly correlated with mean daily pain scores averaged over the past two months (NRS-11) reported over the same timeframe (r = .68, p < .01). In our sample, females reported significantly higher (p < .05) stress on the SNRS-11 (3.0, 0.00 – 5.00) than males (1.00, 0.00-2.00). However, there were no differences in SNRS-11 scores for youth involved in the yoga vs. wellness groups (p > .05).

**Table 1. Descriptive data for all measures.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>IQR</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNRS-11</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.00-3.75</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0-10)</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.00-5.50</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0-20)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00-2.00</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI-C (0-60)</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>28.0-36.0</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>20-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS (0-56)</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>11.0-20.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI-24 (0-140)</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>10.0-24.8</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0-59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Study B: the SNRS-11 with the Pain Numeric Rating Scale-11, PS, LSAS, and SF12 in a community sample of emerging adults

Method

Participants were part of a larger study assessing. The sample included 438 emerging adults (76% students; 72% Caucasian), 18-24 years old (mean age 21) of whom 289 (66%) were female. Out of the entire sample, 23% (n = 104) reported experiencing chronic or recurrent pain. The SNRS-11 was compared with the Pain Numeric Rating Scale-11, Perceived Stress Scale (PSS), Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS), and 12-item Short Form Health Survey (SF12).

Results

When the SNRS-11 was compared to the SF-12, physical functioning was correlated with lowest stress over the past week (r = .15, p < .01). General Health was correlated with highest stress over the past week (r = .12, p < .05). As support for the validity of the SNRS-11, the strongest correlations were found for average mental health (r = .51 p < .01) and emotional functioning (r = .34 p < .01) subscale scores from the SF-12. Those who reported having chronic or recurrent pain in the past also reported greater Current, Average, Highest and Lowest stress over the past week (all p < .01).

Study C: Study C compared the SNRS-11 with the PSS, PSC-35 and measures of physical health and family communication from a community sample of parents/caretakers

Method

As part of a larger study utilizing a snowball sampling method, parents/caretakers of young children completed the SNRS-11, Perceived Stress Scale (PSS), Pediatric Symptom Checklist-35 (PSC) and measures of physical health and family communication. This community sample consisted of 171 parents/caretakers (73.5% mothers), 16-48 years old (mean age 37) of whom 75% were Caucasian. Out of the entire sample, 57% responded about a male child (M = 9.4, range: 6-12 years).

Results

Parents who reported having a difficult discussion with their child over the past two weeks reported greater Average and Highest stress over the past week (p < .05). Parents of child with a chronic illness reported greater stress over the past week (p < .05). Correlations of the SNRS-11 with the PSS and Pain NRS-11 were r = .49 (p < .01) and r = .29 (p < .01) respectively.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to provide initial validation of a brief measure of current stress, the Stress Numerical Rating Scale – 11 (SNRS-11). Using well-validated measures for comparison, our scale showed moderate to strong construct validity and moderate concurrent validity. In Study A, as hypothesized, the SNRS-11 was strongly correlated with the STAI-C, a measure of current anxiety, and moderately correlated with the PSS and CSI-24, measures of stress and somatization over the past month, respectively. The SNRS-11 showed adequate construct validity from the mental health scale of the SF-12 in Study B as well as good discriminant and convergent validity with the PSS measure used in Study C. These results suggest that the SNRS-11 is a promising measure for the efficient assessment of current stress, particularly when the assessment of context-dependent stress may be important. These results are the first to report on a single-item stress measure for a pediatric sample.

The strength of the correlations of the SNRS-11 scores with the validated measures used in the current study is comparable to those found in similar studies. For example, [8] developed 2 single-item assessments of stress over the past year. One of their items was “In the past year, how would you rate the amount of stress in your life (at home and at work)?” When this item was compared against the hassles scale (a 53-item assessment of everyday stress), the adjusted correlation was .44, when compared against the 4-item PSS the correlation was .34, and when compared against the life events questionnaire (a 10 item measure of major life events), the correlation was .34. In the current study, the correlation between the SNRS-11 and the PSS was .31 which is similar to that described for the single item measure and the 4-item PSS found by Littman et al. The strength of the relationship between the SNRS-11 and the STAI-C-S (r = .60) was stronger than any of the relationships found by Littman et al., yet comparable to results found in the following study. Crandall, Lammers, Senders, [15], evaluated the validity of a single, self-report numeric zero to 10 scale used to measure children’s (7-13 years old) state anxiety before and after preoperative education regarding their upcoming tonsillectomy and/or adenoidectomy at a university children’s hospital ENT clinic. The authors examined the relationship between their numeric scale of anxiety against item 20 on the STAI-C (“How I feel right now”). The nonparametric correlation preeducation was 0.42 and posteducation was 0.64. Overall, our results are consistent with other similar studies.

Limitations

There are multiple limitations that have surfaced in this study. One unusual finding that warrants further discussion is that the population of Study A reported lower levels of stress than expected. The majority of the population in this study is of racial minority status, receives free/reduced lunches and resides in impoverished areas within the inner city. Research has found that adolescents who live in urban environments with racial and ethnic minority status are particularly vulnerable to stress [16,17], and are subject to markedly different experiences that are often more stressful than those experienced by adolescents in nonurban, middle-class settings [18,19]. Given these findings, it was surprising to find that participants in this study reported stress levels...
on the lower end of the SNRS-11 and on the PSS scales. Indeed, the mean PSS score for participants in our study (16) was lower than that reported for Caucasian high school students in rural Boston (19.2) [20]. Overall, our findings suggest that further validation with other populations, including other age groups is necessary [21-25].

In addition to the above findings, the SNRS-11 is subject to social, cognitive, and contextual influences, similar to any other subjective rating scale. This scale was administered to a diverse population of sophomore students without controlling for intellectual capacity [26-28]. It is possible that students may have had a difficult time comprehending the battery of measures provided. However, student questions were addressed at the time of administration by the investigators. Students may have also different ways of operationalizing the word “stress” as this is a complex construct [29,30]. Further, level of motivation and commitment may have been influenced by the larger study goals (i.e. perceptions of the yoga intervention). Each student’s report of momentary stress on the SNRS-11 may have also been influenced by the class period of being in gym class where stress is typically minimal compared to other more intense classes. Future studies could focus more specifically on contextual factors that might influence one’s rating of momentary stress at the time of administration [31-33].

Conclusions

Overall, the results of this study suggest that the SNRS-11 is a promising tool for the assessment of current stress. It has practical advantages over other measures as it is brief and can be easily administered with paper and pencil, verbally, or through the use of mHealth technology. Like the Pain NRS, the SNRS is a subjective rating scale that can be easily used to track stress level over time. It can also be well utilized in conjunction with the Pain NRS.

Future studies should evaluate the SNRS-11 with other populations and medical conditions. This should include conditions in which respondents report stress levels at the upper end of the scale range. To assess the practical utility of the SNRS-11 as well as expanding the data on construct validity, future studies should evaluate the measure in other contexts. One example would be to determine whether momentary stress as rated with the SNRS-11 influences one’s ability to cope with stress for adolescents and adults.
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