Fibrin sealant use in thyroidectomy: A prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled double blind trial using EVICEL
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Abstract

Objectives: To evaluate EVICEL fibrin sealant used in thyroid surgery closure. Primary endpoints were post-operative drain output, time to drain removal, length of admission and adverse events.

Study design: A prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study.

Settings: Subjects seen in the ENT Clinic at the Medical University of South Carolina.

Subjects and methods: From June 2010 to January 2014, an IRB-approved prospective, randomized, double-blind study of EVICEL versus a saline control was conducted on 70 subjects receiving total thyroidectomy or hemithyroidectomy. 28 received Evicel and 27 received saline; data from fifteen subjects were eliminated due to protocol violations. The mean age was 50.3 (range 21 to 73).

Results: There was no significant difference in drain output between Evicel (median interquartile range: 96.3 mL [73.3-139.3 mL]) and placebo (120.0 mL [68.8-161.5 mL], p=0.334). Drain time (37.9 hrs [25.2-48.7 hrs] vs. 43.6 hrs [37.6-58.1 hrs]) and hospital stay (45.5 hrs [33.4-53.8 hrs] vs. 50.9 hrs [44.1-69.4 hrs]) were also shorter for Evicel, but again these differences were not significant (p=0.101 and 0.526 respectively). For total thyroidectomy subgroup there was a significant reduction in drain output (103.5 mL [80.0-138.6 mL] vs. 161.5 mL], p=0.334). Drain time (37.9 hrs [25.2-48.7 hrs] vs. 43.6 hrs [37.6-58.1 hrs]), but this result was not significant (p=0.246). No outcomes were significant in the hemithyroidectomy subgroup. Nine adverse events occurred in the Evicel group compared to three for placebo (p=0.101).

Conclusion: Evicel sealant appears to be a safe, effective method to reduce serous drain output following total thyroidectomy, but has a limited role in hemithyroidectomy due to low levels of baseline drain output.

Introduction

Thyroid surgery is a relatively common procedure, owing in part to the high prevalence of thyroid nodules [1]. Although the morbidity and mortality of thyroid surgery is low, thyroidectomy is certainly not without risk. Due to the gland’s proximity to the trachea and its rich blood supply, development of an airway-compressing hematoma remains the most dangerous post-operative complication of thyroid surgery. For this reason, thyroidectomy has traditionally included the use of drains during the post-operative period. In addition, the use of drains has been advocated to reduce the incidence of postoperative seroma formation, which may require serial aspiration or open drainage followed by compression dressings [2].

The routine use of drains, however, has recently been questioned. Since 2007, three separate meta-analyses [3-5] have shown no significant benefit to routine drainage, and in some cases clinically-significant detriment including increased risk of infection and prolongation of hospital stay compared to drain-free post-operative management. While individual studies have shown varied results regarding drains’ ability to decrease seroma incidence, the most recent analysis has shown a non-significant trend toward reduction in seroma (risk ratio 0.38-1.09, p=0.1) [4]. Postoperative hematoma is a sufficiently rare event, and its consequences sufficiently dire, that some practitioners remain hesitant to do away with the traditional approach [6]. Additionally, the use of drains may still be indicated in select cases such as those with highly vascular glands (eg. Graves’ disease); large or substernal goiters; and in cases combined with neck dissection [7].

Fibrin-based sealants have a long development history and were first approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1998. These products use a two-component system of liquid-suspended thrombin and fibrinogen, the final two molecules involved in the clotting cascade. When mixed, they produce a gelatinous fibrin clot that can be used as a bioadhesive, resorbive sealant or glue. Fibrin sealants have been used in vascular, cardiac and trauma surgery for obtaining hemostasis when traditional methods are impractical or impossible [8-10]. Their application has been proposed and studied for several indications in otolaryngology [11-13], including as prophylaxis against hematoma in thyroid surgery. The present study is designed to determine the efficacy of Evicel fibrin sealant (Manufactured by Ethicon; Somerville, New Jersey, USA) to reduce post-thyroidectomy seroma formation.
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wound drainage, drain time and hospital stay. The study design is a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial comparing Evicel fibrin sealant to saline placebo, using a traditional drainage protocol in total and partial thyroidectomy. While hematoma rates were recorded, the study was not designed with sufficient power to demonstrate a difference in this outcome; therefore, wound drainage was considered a proxy for the likelihood of developing hematoma and seroma.

Methods

Between June 2010 and December 2013, subjects undergoing total or partial thyroidectomy were consented for this industry-sponsored prospective randomized placebo-controlled double-blind trial. The trial was approved by the Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC) Institutional Review Board (IRB). The costs of the trial covered by the sponsor (Ethicon; Somerville, New Jersey, USA) included complimentary product, patient costs not associated with the standard of care, institutional overhead and faculty percent effort, and salary support for a research study coordinator. The study was an investigator-initiated protocol written, executed, and analyzed solely by faculty and staff of the MUSC Department of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery. Subjects were recruited from otolaryngology clinics at MUSC once they were scheduled for thyroid surgery. All subjects underwent thyroid surgery with one of four head and neck surgeons. In order to be enrolled, subjects had to satisfy the following inclusion criteria: initial diagnosis of neoplasm or goiter requiring surgical management; Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status 0-2; no evidence of distant metastasis; no history of previous surgery or radiation therapy to the neck; no history of thyroid cancer or goiter recurrence; and no history of coagulation disorder. Planned or unplanned neck dissection or substernal goiter was not considered exclusion criteria for the study.

Subjects were randomized to placebo or Evicel group based on a computer-generated random number sequence. Study staff was paged to the operating room near the end of each procedure, and the senior surgeon stepped away from the surgical field. Evicel is a fibrin sealant stored in two components. For subjects in the treatment group, Evicel was applied in the usual manner by study personnel, with 5 mL of each component drawn into a two-barrel syringe device and aerosolized using a pedal-controlled inert gas supply. For subjects in the placebo group, saline was drawn into the applicator and aerosolized in a similar fashion; it was allowed to remain in the wound during closure. After instillation of Evicel or saline, either the junior surgeon completed the case or the strap muscles were closed to allow the senior surgeon to finish the procedure in a blinded fashion. At no point in the subjects’ care were senior surgeons unblinded to the treatment group.

In every case, a 10 mm Jackson-Pratt drain was placed in the thyroid bed to siphon postoperative fluid, and subjects were managed postoperatively in the usual fashion. Drain output was recorded by blinded nursing staff every four hours postoperatively. When the drain output fell below 10 mL per eight hours, study staff removed the drain and the time of removal was recorded. Time from end of surgery to discharge was also recorded for analysis, as were adverse events.

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics of the subjects in the placebo and treatment groups were analyzed to determine the adequacy of randomization. Dichotomous variables, including gender and characteristics of surgery performed, were analyzed with chi-square tests. Age was analyzed with a student’s t-test, while surgical specimen volume and mass were analyzed with Mann-Whitney U tests. Calculations were performed using QuickCalc statistical calculators available for free at Graphpad.com, except for the Mann-Whitney test, which was performed using the online calculator produced by faculty at Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine [14].

Outcome variables were analyzed for differences between placebo and treatment groups using a chi-square test for the occurrence of adverse events, and with Mann-Whitney U-tests for differences in drain output in the first eight postoperative hours, overall drain output, and time from end of surgery to discharge. The Mann-Whitney test was used due to the non-normal distributions of these variables. Additional analyses were performed on total thyroidectomy and hemithyroidectomy subgroups.

An alpha of 0.05 was used as the significance level for rejecting the null hypothesis in each test. To determine the desired population size we utilized data reported by Uweira et al. [15] to determine standard deviations for drainage volume at 8 hours post-op, total drainage volume, time to drain removal and time to discharge. These calculations showed a minimum sample size of 55 patients per group would be needed to demonstrate a difference of 20% between the groups at a power of 80%. Allowing for the potential of in evaluable data on some patients, we came to the conclusion that a sample size of 60 would be appropriate for each group. However, the study was closed early due to low enrollment. Low enrollment may have been due in part to the fact that not all surgeons in our department were comfortable with the conservative drain management protocol required by the study.

Results

70 subjects were consented and enrolled. 55 subjects completed the study protocol and their data are included for analysis. Of these 55, 27 were randomized to the treatment group and 28 to the control group (Table 1). 15 subjects were withdrawn from the study for various reasons, including: voluntary withdrawal by subject (6); intraoperative blinded withdrawal of subject by senior surgeon due to need to deviate from surgical protocol (such as the necessity of Avitene powder for hemostasis) (3); inadvertent unblinding of attending surgeon (2); study product on backorder (1); inappropriate Evicel application technique (1); staff oversight with premature completion of closure (1); and inadvertent early removal of drain by non-study staff (1). The 2010 CONSORT-compatible flow diagram is shown in Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the 55 included subjects are shown in Table 1.

Table 2 displays the adverse events experienced by study subjects, categorized by treatment group and with total vs. hemithyroidectomy. While more adverse events occurred in the treatment group, this difference was not statistically significant in the overall analysis or for any subgroup.

Overall treatment vs. placebo comparisons showed no significant difference between placebo and Evicel with regard to any study measure, including drain output during the first eight hours, total drain output, drain time, hospital stay and adverse events. The same held true for hemithyroidectomy subgroup comparison (Table 3a and 3b).

In the total thyroidectomy subgroup, there was a significant reduction in total drain output (p=0.035) and drain time (p=0.035) compared to placebo (Table 3c). The lower drain output and shorter hospital stay in the Evicel group was not significant. Adverse events were more common with Evicel, but not significantly so (p=0.264).
This study shows a statistically significant improvement in two outcomes in the total thyroidectomy group – both total drainage and drain time were significantly reduced when using Evicel versus placebo, under a conservative drain management protocol. However, neither wound drainage in the first eight hours following surgery nor hospital stay were improved with Evicel. Evicel also had no significant impact on any study outcome in the hemithyroidectomy subgroup, or overall.

Since the fibrin sealant was only beneficial in total thyroidectomy, it may stand to reason that more extensive resections and larger potential spaces may stand to benefit the most from the use of Evicel in thyroid surgery. However, this study did not have sufficient power to demonstrate these supposed benefits in substernal goiter patients.
or neck dissection patients due to small sample size (N=5 for both). It would be interesting to see if a greater benefit continues to be demonstrated in these special cases, but such a study would likely require a multi-institutional trial in order to obtain sufficient sample size.

Two previous randomized, controlled studies [11,15] have investigated fibrin sealants in thyroid surgery. Kim et al. [11] studied their use for total thyroidectomy, with bilateral neck dissection, in 78 papillary thyroid cancer patients. Their results showed a borderline significant reduction in total drainage (p=0.05) but demonstrated no difference in drain time, nor in hospital stay.

Uwiera et al. [15] studied the use of Tisseel (manufactured by Baxter International Inc., Deerfield, Illinois, USA) for both total and hemithyroidectomies, and showed a statistically-significant improvement in wound drainage overall and in both total and partial thyroidectomy subgroups, but did not demonstrate statistically-significant reductions in drain time, nor in hospital stay in any group.

This study contributes to a growing collection of literature that show that fibrin sealant is capable of reducing routine post-operative thyroid surgery drainage, but does not reduce drain time or hospital stay. However, considering the consensus against conservative drain protocols [3-5], it may be beneficial to study the use of fibrin sealant in “special” cases that do indicate drainage: where the goiter is massive or substernal, where the neck dissection is extensive, or in Graves’ disease [7]. Conversely, it could also be studied in drainless outpatient hemi- and total thyroidectomy in order to determine if its use reduces the rate of post-operative hematoma and seroma formation in this group. Outpatient surgery is becoming increasingly desirable for some patients undergoing partial or total thyroidectomy. The most recent consensus statement on this technique does not advocate for or against fibrin sealant [17], but use in this population may be worthy of additional investigation.

This study was not without limitations. The study was unsuccessful in accruing the planned study population in a timely manner, and so has only half the number of subjects that were originally planned. Two analyses had p-values <0.15: overall drain time (p=0.101, favoring Evicel) and hemithyroidectomy drainage in the first 8 hours (p=0.105, favoring placebo). With a larger sample size, these comparisons may have demonstrated significance. Second, assisting surgeons were not blinded to the treatment group of the subjects, and actively participated in the care of the subjects at our teaching hospital. However, group allocation was never discussed openly during rounds or hand-offs, and residents attested readily that no treatment decisions were affected by group allocation.

Conclusion
This study contributes to a growing collection of literature that show that fibrin sealant is capable of reducing routine post-operative thyroid surgery drainage, but does not reduce drain time or hospital stay. Further study should investigate sealants for use in drainless thyroid surgery, or in more extensive surgical cases where drains are most likely to be used.
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