Analysis of IL-6, IL-1β and TNF-α production in monocytes isolated from multiple sclerosis patients treated with disease modifying drugs
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Abstract

Objective and design: The etiology of multiple sclerosis (MS) is unknown, but blood derived monocytes/macrophages are believed to be involved in the pathogenesis through phagocytosis of myelin and production of inflammatory mediators. The objective of this study is to examine inflammatory cytokines that are present at elevated levels in active MS lesions to determine whether there are differences between classically stimulated monocytes isolated from healthy control (HC) and relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS) subjects taking disease modifying drugs (DMDs), including dimethyl fumarate (DMF).

Subjects: Thirty-nine veterans of the US Armed Forces were enrolled, 21 health controls (HC), and 18 with relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS), all taking DMDs.

Methods: Use ELISAs to measure production of IL-6, IL-1β and TNF-α by LPS-stimulated peripheral monocytes.

Results: Activation of monocytes from MS subjects produced significantly more IL-6 than healthy controls (49531 ± 20795 vs. 32585.8 ± 17135.4). There were no significant differences in IL-1β or TNF-α secretion.

Conclusions: Our data suggest that not all DMDs may provide disease modification by suppressing monocyte/macrophage production of pro-inflammatory mediators.

Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a disease of the central nervous system (CNS) characterized by infiltration of inflammatory cells into the CNS and eventual neurodegeneration due to axonal and oligodendrocyte loss. MS is a T-cell mediated disease, but other immune cell types, including B-cells and peripheral monocytes which migrate into the CNS to become macrophages, contribute to disease pathogenesis. Blood-derived monocytes and CNS macrophage-related microglial cells are believed to be essential in both the initial and sustained inflammatory response to myelin antigens in MS. Depletion of macrophages in acute experimental autoimmune encephalitis (EAE), a rodent model of MS, results in suppression of clinical signs and a reduction of infiltrated macrophages in the CNS [1-3]. Macrophages are the major responders to CNS chemokines, produce toxic and pro-inflammatory molecules that promote demyelination, and are the major cell type involved in phagocytosis/degradation of myelin sheathes [4]. Active MS lesions contain macrophages which are believed to contribute to lesion formation by various mechanisms [5,6].

There are currently no treatments that can halt or reverse MS progression, likely due to the complex nature of disease pathogenesis and lack of knowledge on disease etiology. A number of disease modifying drugs (DMDs) have been developed that can alter disease course - reducing relapse rates and slowing disability progression. These DMDs include interferons, natalizumab, fingolimod, rituximab, ocrelizumab and dimethyl fumarate (DMF), the most recently approved oral drug for treatment of the relapsing phase of MS [7]. In vitro studies have shown that DMF decreases synthesis of the pro-inflammatory mediators TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6 at the RNA level in activated microglia and astrocytes [8], inhibits TNF-α, IL-12 and IFN-γ secretion in LPS-activated healthy human PBMCs, and reduces IL-6, TNF-α, NO, and ROS production in macrophages [9,10]. Despite this increasing body of in vitro data, less is known concerning the effects of DMF on the inflammatory cytokine response of peripheral immune cells isolated from patients under treatment (ex vivo response), specifically the effects on monocytes/macrophages leaving gaps in understanding its mechanisms of action.

In this study, we examined a set of inflammatory cytokines that are present at elevated levels in active MS lesions (IL-1β, TNF-α, and IL-6) [11-13] and sought to determine whether there were differences in production of these cytokines in classically stimulated monocytes isolated from relapsing remitting MS (RRMS) subjects taking DMF compared to subjects that are on other DMDs and healthy controls (HC).
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Materials and methods

Subjects

This study received approval from the VA Portland Healthcare System (VAPORHCS, IRB #2993) and Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) Institutional Review Boards (IRB #8908) prior to initiation. HC and RRMS subjects were recruited at VAPORHCS via flyers, VAPORHCS MS clinic, and word of mouth. Interested subjects were phone-screened for eligibility prior to scheduling an enrollment visit. To qualify, HC subjects needed to be an adult veteran of the US Armed Forces at least 18 years of age able to provide informed consent, and meet none of the following exclusion criteria: 1) self-reported current substance abuse (except tobacco), alcohol abuse/dependence or sobriety for less than 90 days, 2) pregnant or breast-feeding, 3) anemia as indicated by POC hemoglobin <12, 4) history of bleeding disorders, 5) current anti-coagulant use such as Heparin, Coumadin, or daily aspirin, 6) weight less than 110 lbs., 7) other significant inflammatory diseases or health problems (e.g. active coronary heart disease, diabetes mellitus, other auto-immune disorder), or 8) active infection (as indicated by a fever). In addition to the above inclusion/exclusion criteria, patients enrolled as MS subjects needed to have a current diagnosis of RRMS (confirmed by a neurologist), and were excluded for experiencing MS exacerbation(s) or receipt of systemically administered corticosteroids within 30 days of the blood draw.

Treatment, specimen collection and processing

Subjects were asked to abstain from alcohol for 24 h and fast for 11 h prior to the scheduled visit. Study appointments began with subject eligibility screening and informed consent. Medical history questionnaire (including current medication and supplement listing) was completed, vital signs were measured and recorded, and pregnancy test (if applicable) and anemia checks were performed. Provided all inclusion/exclusion criteria were met, subjects were enrolled in the study, and approximately 400 ml of blood was drawn. Following blood draw, subjects received their choice of continental breakfast options, meeting study enrollment were re-contacted at later dates to return for additional blood draws.

Blood was collected in 450 ml capacity Anticoagulant Citrate Dextrose Solution Formula A BLOOD-PACK units (Fenwal, Lake Zurich, IL) and immediately processed. To obtain peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), whole blood was diluted approximately 1:1 in PBS containing 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco/Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA), then centrifuged at 200 x g for 10 min to remove plasma. PBMCs were isolated using Ficoll gradient separation with SepMate tubes (STEMCELL Technologies, Cambridge, MA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. After washing in EasySep buffer (STEMCELL Technologies) and RPMI (Gibco/Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA), cells were assessed for viability, counted, and resuspended in cryopreservation media (RPMI with 25% FBS and 12.5% DMSO). Cells were cryopreserved for future experiments at 20 or 40 million cells in 1.5 ml media/tube.

Monocyte enrichment, cell stimulation and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA)

Cryopreserved PBMCs were quick thawed in a 37°C water bath, diluted in RPMI media and washed 3x. Cells were treated with DNase I (final concentration 0.6 mg/ml Roche, Indianapolis, IN) for 15 min at 37°C/5%CO2 counted, spun down at 300 x g for 10 min, then resuspended in monocyte enrichment media (RPMI + L-glutamine, 1X Pen/Strep, 5mM Glucose) and plated in 24 well plates (2x10⁶ cells/well). Plates were incubated for 90 min at 37°C/5%CO2 to allow monocytes to adhere. After incubation period, monocyte enrichment media was discarded and wells were washed 3x with RPMI +L-glutamine to completely remove non-adherent cells. Wells were visually checked for flat monocytes prior to addition of 500 µl of monocyte enrichment media to each well. To dramatically stimulate monocytes, LPS (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) was added to wells at a final concentration of 1µg/ml Control (untreated, UNT) wells received no LPS. After 15 min, FBS (0.1% final concentration) was added to in each well, and plates were incubated 24 h at 37°C/5%CO2. To prepare samples for ELISA analyses, supernatants were collected on ice and cellular debris was removed by centrifugation. IL-1β, TNF-α, and IL-6 were measured using Softmax software (Molecular Devices). These values were used to determine the arithmetic mean, plus or minus the standard error of the mean, of each treatment by subject group. The changes in cytokine levels were determined by subtracting the unstimulated (basal, UNT) value from the LPS-stimulated value for each subject (determined as above), then calculating the arithmetic mean of each group, plus or minus the standard error of the mean. Subject groups were tested for significant differences in baseline demographics, clinical characteristics and cytokine production in Microsoft Excel using one-way ANOVAs and two-tailed Student’s t-tests, with results considered significant at P≤0.05.

Results

Subject profile

Subject demographics and clinical characteristics are listed in Table 1. There were no significant differences in demographics between groups (age, sex, or race). Post study enrollment, a ancillary component was added to examine the effects of DMF treatment compared to other DMDs. Eight of the 18 RRMS subjects were taking DMF. Seven DMF subjects took the standard oral dose of 240 mg twice a day. The 8th DMF subject took 240 mg twice a day orally every other day. All 10 of the RRMS subjects not taking DMF were taking a single disease modifying drug (DMD); 1 fingolimod, 3 glatiramer acetate, 3 natalizumab, and 3 IFN-β-1a. There were no significant differences in disease duration or severity (based on EDMS scale scores) of RRMS subjects who were taking DMF vs those who were not. There was a significant difference in the duration of time subjects had been taking their current DMD between RRMS subjects taking DMF (RRMS+DMF) and those who were on other DMDs (RRMS no DMF; this is a result of the relatively recent approval of DMF (March 2013) compared to all other DMDs.

Inflammatory response of classically activated monocytes in HC and RRMS subjects +/- DMF

IL-6: Basal (UNT) IL-6 levels did not vary significantly between subject groups. However, the amount of IL-6 produced in response to LPS stimulation was significantly greater in monocytes/macrophages suspended in monocyte enrichment media (RPMI + L-glutamine, 1X Pen/Strep, 5mM Glucose) and plated in 24 well plates (2x10⁶ cells/well). Plates were incubated for 90 min at 37°C/5%CO2 to allow monocytes to adhere. After incubation period, monocyte enrichment media was discarded and wells were washed 3x with RPMI +L-glutamine to completely remove non-adherent cells. Wells were visually checked for flat monocytes prior to addition of 500 µl of monocyte enrichment media to each well. To dramatically stimulate monocytes, LPS (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) was added to wells at a final concentration of 1µg/ml Control (untreated, UNT) wells received no LPS. After 15 min, FBS (0.1% final concentration) was added to in each well, and plates were incubated 24 h at 37°C/5%CO2. To prepare samples for ELISA analyses, supernatants were collected on ice and cellular debris was removed by centrifugation. IL-1β, TNF-α, and IL-6 were measured using Softmax software (Molecular Devices). These values were used to determine the arithmetic mean, plus or minus the standard error of the mean, of each treatment by subject group. The changes in cytokine levels were determined by subtracting the unstimulated (basal, UNT) value from the LPS-stimulated value for each subject (determined as above), then calculating the arithmetic mean of each group, plus or minus the standard error of the mean. Subject groups were tested for significant differences in baseline demographics, clinical characteristics and cytokine production in Microsoft Excel using one-way ANOVAs and two-tailed Student’s t-tests, with results considered significant at P≤0.05.
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Inflammatory response of classically activated monocytes in HC and RRMS subjects +/- DMF

IL-6: Basal (UNT) IL-6 levels did not vary significantly between subject groups. However, the amount of IL-6 produced in response to LPS stimulation was significantly greater in monocytes/macrophages
cytokine, IL-6 can induce an anti-inflammatory cytokine profile, and that DMF treatment resulted in mixed phenotype cells present in MS lesions. An alternative explanation for our IL-6 data is that DMF has little or no effect on IL-6 production, enhancing IL-4/IL-10 production, decreasing IL-1β secretion, and polarizing human monocytes into an anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype under certain co-stimulatory conditions [18-20]. In a specific example, IL-6 treatment of IL-4/IL-13 alternatively activated (M2) monocytes caused release of IL-10, suppression of LPS-induced NO production, and inhibited cytokine production by CD4+ T cells; interestingly, this study also demonstrated that in the presence of IFN-γ (a classical, inflammatory, M1 activator), IL-6 enhanced production of IL-1β and TNF-α, which may suggest that the pleiotropic role of IL-6 is to enhance, rather than direct the phenotype of macrophage polarization [20]. In one study looking at IL-6 expression in human MS lesions, the highest number of macrophages expressing IL-6 was found within inactive demyelinating lesions. There was an increase in IL-6 positive cells in lesions with oligodendrocyte preservation, while IL-6 absence correlated with loss of oligodendrocytes [12]. The traditional M1/M2 macrophage polarization model is now recognized as being overly simplistic. Recent work points to as many as nine different varieties based on transcriptomes from differentially activated monocytes, suggesting that it may be more accurate to think of macrophage phenotypes on a spectrum [21,22]. Notably, active MS lesions contain a major subset of macrophages that display an “intermediate” activation status, displaying both M1 and M2 markers, in accordance with the spectrum model of macrophage phenotypes [23]. All these studies, taken together with our finding of increased LPS-stimulated macrophage production of IL-6, suggest that DMF-induced IL-6 has the potential to act in an anti-inflammatory/protective manner on the mixed phenotype cells present in MS lesions. An alternative explanation for our IL-6 data is that DMF has little or no effect on IL-6 production, while other DMDs suppress it, though not to healthy control levels. In this scenario, it is possible that IL-6 is acting in a traditionally pro-inflammatory manner, but IL-6 suppression is simply not a part of the MOA of DMF.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this study is the first to examine the inflammatory response of monocytes isolated from RRMS subjects compared to HC (Figure 1A and Supplemental Table 1, p<0.042)). The significance of this difference is driven by RRMS subjects that are taking DMF [Figure 1B, note that the difference between HC and RRMS+DMF is significant (p<0.017), while the difference between HC and RRMS is not].

IL-1β and TNF-α: The amounts of IL-1β and TNF-α produced in response to LPS stimulation did not differ between monocytes/macrophages from HC and RRMS subjects (Figures 2A and 3A, respectively). The basal (UNT) levels were also not significantly different (see Supplemental Table 1 for values). There was a trend toward increased production of IL-1β and TNF-α in cell from RRMS subjects not taking DMF compared to healthy controls that appeared to be reversed in RRMS patients taking DMF, but none of these differences were statistically significant (Figures 2B and 3B).

Table 1. Subject demographics and clinical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Healthy Control</th>
<th>Relapsing remitting MS</th>
<th>RRMS no DMF</th>
<th>RRMS + DMF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number in group</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Male</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>27-68</td>
<td>28-61</td>
<td>30-61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>% Caucasian</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS duration (years)</td>
<td>NA 6 6 5.5</td>
<td>NA 1-1 1-1 2-10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>% Caucasian</td>
<td>NA 2 2 2</td>
<td>NA 2-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current DMD duration(years)</td>
<td>NA 2.5 5 1</td>
<td>NA 0.25-15 2-15 0.25-2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Not all subjects’ blood samples yielded enough PBMCs to complete all ELISAs; specific N for each ELISA set is thus given in the figure legends
2The EDMUS Grading Scale score
3Disease modifying drug
*Difference is significant
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were mostly male; this is unusual for a MS study, given that MS is a disease that is over three times more common in women [26]. There is evidence that men generally have a later age of onset, more rapid disease progression, and a higher prevalence of primary progressive disease [26,27]. Some of these sex differences in disease course may be due to differences in immune responses; males have a less robust T cell response to myelin proteolipid proteins, and less cytokine secretion by peripheral blood lymphocytes in response to stimulation with myelin proteins. Additionally, there is some evidence that males and females respond differently to immunotherapies (IFN-β and glatiramer acetate). Also, estrogens influence cytokine and chemokine production, including the cytokines that we examined in this study (IL-1β, TNF-α, and IL-6) [27,28].

**Conclusion**

We consider our finding of elevated IL-6 response in monocytes from RRMS subjects taking DMF an interesting pilot result, which needs to be examined in a larger general population (non-veteran restricted) group. Since there are a greater proportion of women with MS compared to men, it would be of great interest to determine if there are sex-dependent differences in DMF MOA. In addition, our finding that DMD treatment did not result in suppression of IL-6, TNF-α and IL-1β production in RRMS subjects warrants further analysis in a larger cohort. If our results are repeated, new drugs that specifically target monocyte function may prove to be therapeutically effective when used in combination with current DMDs.
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**Supplemental Table 1. ELISA results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy Control</th>
<th>RRMS no DMF</th>
<th>RRMS + DMF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IL-1β</strong></td>
<td>UNT 106.3 ± 52.8</td>
<td>1514.4 ± 1475.4</td>
<td>2142.8 ± 2140.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPS 9455.0 ± 2417.1</td>
<td>12056.4 ± 2700.5</td>
<td>8131.8 ± 3192.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TNF-α</strong></td>
<td>UNT 48.5 ± 17.8</td>
<td>259.6 ± 229.7</td>
<td>85.2 ± 67.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPS 4721.0 ± 1010.0</td>
<td>8904.5 ± 4838.3</td>
<td>3848.5 ± 1499.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IL-6</strong></td>
<td>UNT 344.5 ± 248.9</td>
<td>155.0 ± 85.2</td>
<td>1279.9 ± 1115.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPS 10870.4 ± 5495.7</td>
<td>32585.8 ± 17315.4</td>
<td>72186.9 ± 35156.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are pg/ml, ± standard error of the mean.
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