Challenges of reconstructing a large frontal defect following electrical burns in a resource limited environment: Our experience
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Abstract

Facial electrical burn injuries are rare and complex. They require initial primary management followed by multi-staged secondary reconstruction to obtain satisfactory functional and aesthetic results.

We present a 32 years old male electrical technician with an indigenous company who sustained electrical burns to the maxillofacial region while fixing a faulty transformer. Initial resuscitation was done in a peripheral Hospital before referral to us. He had necrosis of the frontal and temporal soft and hard tissues bilaterally, loss of the upper eye lid bilaterally and loss of vision in the right eye. He had debridement of entire necrotic soft tissue and multi-staged saucerization and trephination of necrotic cranial bone was done over a period of 18 months to allow granulation tissue to form. Subsequently a split thickness skin graft was harvested from the right thigh and laid to cover the frontotemporal defect. The desire and determination of both the patient and surgical team to improve on appearance led to a fairly acceptable outcome notwithstanding the challenges of inadequate funds, poor facilities and equipment and limited experience in micro vascular free flaps.

Introduction

High-voltage electrical burns represent a challenging surgical entity. Compared to conventional burns, these injuries are characterized by an increased morbidity and worse potential for rehabilitation [1]. Electrical burns are infrequent when compared with flame and scald burns [2]. It accounts for 1500 deaths per year and more than 4000 cases reported to the emergency unit in the United States [3]. These injuries also account for 2% to 3% of all admissions to hospital burn centers, and that number is slowly increasing.4

Case report

A 32 years old male electrical technician with an indigenous company who sustained electrical burns to the face while on routine repair of a faulty transformer. Immediate resuscitation with intravenous fluid and antibiotics was done in a primary health centre close to the location of the accident. He presented to our clinic 10 days after the accident. At presentation he was stable, conscious, well oriented but in moderate pain. There was necrosis of soft tissues of the bridge of the nose, frontal, temporal regions and upper eye lids bilaterally. Ophthalmological examination revealed loss of vision in the right eye and exposure keratitis of the left eye. Soft tissue debridement was done under general anaesthesia after routine investigation which confirmed necrosis of frontal and temporal bones (Figures 1,2).

Saucerization and trephination of frontal and temporal bones was done under intravenous sedation using a drill and a blunt bur to remove necrotic bone to induce formation of granulation tissue (Figures 3,4). Subsequently a split thickness skin graft was harvested from the right thigh to cover the defect. The Ophthalmologist covered the left viable eye with saline soaked gauge after application of chloramphenicol ointment and patient was advised to get sunglasses to protect the eye [4-6] (Figures 5,6).

Discussion

Electrical burns may be due to direct tissue contact or tissue reception of an electrical arc.5 The effect of the electrical current on tissues of an individual is dependent upon: (1) Voltage (2) amperage (3) type of current (4) resistance at the point of contacts (5) path of current through the body (6) duration of contact and (7) individual susceptibility [6]. Surgical management of this was multidisciplinary with both ophthalmology and neurosurgical inputs. Debridement...
followed by granulation tissue formation and skin grafting provided a fairly acceptable result.

In conclusion management of facial defects due to electrical burn in our environment is challenging due to inability to pay for procedures by patient, poor facilities and equipment and limited exposure in micro vascular free flap procedures.
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