Our secondary objective was to note the survival according to lymph node biopsy results.

**Materials and methods:** Histopathologically proved CMs with a Breslow thickness ≤ 1mm were retrospectively reviewed from January 2000 to December 2015. The CMs were classified using the AJCC Staging System (2010). In cases where CMs had a Breslow thickness ≤ 1 mm and were associated with ulceration, mitotic rate (MR) per mm² > 0, Clark level ≥ IV, satellitosis, angiolymphatic or perineural invasion, it was suggested to biopsy the sentinel lymph node (SLN) status were compared and divided into two groups, according to the results and the survival analysis.

**Results:** 265 of the 642 patients with CM (41.3%) had a Breslow thickness ≤ 1 mm, and 65 of them (24.5%) had also ulcerations or a MR > 0 or Clark ≥ IV. Furthermore, 10.8% had a positive SLN. Clark level ≥ IV was associated with a positive SLN (p=0.035). There was a clear difference in the survival distributions according to the lymph node status (p=0.014).

**Conclusions:** The sentinel lymph node status was important for the patient progress.


**Introduction**

Malignant melanoma is one of the tumors having an increasing annual incidence rate over the past 40 years. The significant increase was observed in thin melanomas, which represent 65%—80% of the total diagnosed melanomas [1,2].

Although the prognosis is very good, a 10% of these patients present a late recurrence, progression, and death.

The sentinel node was defined by Morton et al. (1992) [3] as an intermediate—thickness melanoma, and this concept was a subject of controversy for clinical indications in thin melanoma group studies.

Our primary objective was to show the relationship between patients who suffer from thin melanoma with the predictors of the illness. Furthermore, we studied the sentinel lymph node (SLN) in order to identify groups at higher risk of having lymph node deposits.

**Materials and methods**

From January 2000 to December 2015 we retrospectively reviewed all melanomas histopathologically proved, with a Breslow thickness ≤ 1 mm and referred to Grupo de Estudio de Melanoma Rosario (GEMRO) for surgical treatment. The GEMRO is composed by health professionals who belong to Surgery, Dermatology and Pathology Department in the following institutions: Facultad de Ciencias Médicas —Universidad Nacional de Rosario—, Instituto Cardiovascular Rosario and Diagnóstico Médico Oronó.

Melanomas were classified using the AJCC Staging System (2010) [4] including age, gender, location, histological type, Breslow thickness, Clark levels, presence or absence of ulceration, and mitotic rate per mm².
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Cases of melanoma in situ were excluded.

The study of SLN was indicated in cases where melanomas had a Breslow thickness ≤1mm, and were associated with some of the following factors: ulceration, mitotic rate per mm² >0, Clark level ≥IV, marked regression, satellitosis, and angiolymphatic or perineural invasion. In all cases, the margin resection was ≥1 cm for primary tumors.

The procedure was performed with the integration of the following three phases:

a) Preoperative determination of regional lymph nodes along with the estimation and localization of sentinel lymph nodes (dynamic isotope lymphography). For performing the lymphography, we administered between 2 and 4 intradermal injection at equidistant points in the cutaneous lesion, or in the previous scar of surgical removal, at approximately 1 cm perilesional area or in the excisional biopsy site, with 0.1 ml solution of 100—500 μCi of 99mTc—albumin nanocolloid (particles range from 0.05 to 0.8 microns). Subsequently, a digital light massage was performed to promote the absorption of tracer in the lymphatic channels and its flow. Next, still images were obtained at anterior, posterior and lateral position, depending on the anatomical area of the lesion. Once the sentinel lymph node was located, it was performed a mark on the skin. Afterwards, metabolic tomographic images (SPECT Infinia Hawkeye 4 General Electric) were obtained using a circular orbit at 360°, and then TC images were acquired. With the algorithm available, images from the SPECT and TC were merged. At the end, multiplanar reconstructions were performed.

b) Intraoperative localization and sentinel lymph node biopsy (lymphatic mapping). The lymphatic mapping was performed with an intraoperative detection device for sentinel lymph node (radio guided surgery detection DGC-II Gamma Probe NuclearLab SRL). This device comprises a gamma rays detection system with a small probe of an optimal sensitivity that enables the radio guided surgery detection (radio guided surgery detection DGC-II Gamma Probe NuclearLab SRL). This device was sterilized before surgical incision. The area was identified through the probe to maximum count intensity in the lymph node surface area, and the exact location where the incision would be performed, was stained with permanent ink. Once the lymph node was resected, the measurement of radioactivity in the biopsy was performed, and a trace of the nodal area was identified. In most medical studies, it is considered that sentinel lymph node must have a background activity rate higher than 3

At the end, multiplanar reconstructions were performed.

c) Histopathology. Histopathological samples were stained with hematoxylin—eosin (HE). If the result was negative, samples were studied with IHQ (Melan—A, HMB 45). When samples were negatives at both examinations, it was considered that the final result was, in fact, negative. All patients with thin melanoma who had a sentinel lymph node biopsy were compared and divided into two groups, according to the biopsy result. The overall survival was analyzed depending on the final nodal result.

Statistical analysis

For descriptive purposes, valid percentages (percentages based on sample size excluding missing values) were calculated. The association between sentinel lymph node biopsies (SLNB) and categorical variables were compared using chi square test or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. Quantitative variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The t—test was used to compare mean differences. Probability of survival was computed using Kaplan—Meier method.

Log Rank test was used to evaluate the equality of survival distributions in the different levels of SLNB. A two—sided p value of 0.05 or less was considered to be statistically significant. All data analyses were performed using Stata statistical software.

Results

From January 2000 to December 2015, 642 patients ranged from 22—77 years (mean 49.4 years) with CM were studied retrospectively. Among them, 265 (40%) presented thin melanomas proved, and 65 of them (24.5%) had a Breslow thickness ≤1mm, with ulcerations or MR >0 or Clark ≥IV, consequently, it was decided to study the sentinel lymph node. The follow—up time ranged from 12 to 120 months, mean 40 months, and interquartile range 18—73 months. Table 1 shows general data from all patients and the sentinel lymph node results.

Sentinel lymph node results and their relation to the different histopathologic studies with predictor factors. None of the thin melanomas had angiolymphatic invasion, neurotropism, or satellitosis (Table 2).

At the end of the follow—up time, only 5 patients had died during the study because of the illness. Of these patients, 3 presented a positive sentinel lymph node.

The Kaplan—Meier survival curves for the length of time until death

### Table 1. General data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total: n (%)</th>
<th>SLN (−)</th>
<th>SLN (+)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>65 (100)</td>
<td>58 (92)</td>
<td>7 (10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>49.6 ± 13.7</td>
<td>48.9 ± 13.1</td>
<td>55.1 ± 18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>23 (35.4)</td>
<td>19 (82)</td>
<td>4 (17.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>42 (64.6)</td>
<td>39 (92.9)</td>
<td>3 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>33 (49.2)</td>
<td>29 (50.0)</td>
<td>3 (42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Limb</td>
<td>18 (27.7)</td>
<td>15 (25.9)</td>
<td>3 (42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Limb</td>
<td>13 (20.0)</td>
<td>12 (20.7)</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head and Neck</td>
<td>2 (03.1)</td>
<td>2 (03.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Histopathology and predictor factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total: n (%)</th>
<th>SLN (−)</th>
<th>SLN (+)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Histological Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supercificial Spreading</td>
<td>39 (60.0)</td>
<td>34 (58.6)</td>
<td>5 (71.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodular</td>
<td>5 (07.7)</td>
<td>5 (08.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acral Lentiginous</td>
<td>3 (04.6)</td>
<td>2 (03.4)</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>11 (16.9)</td>
<td>11 (19.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No data</td>
<td>7 (10.8)</td>
<td>6 (10.3)</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breslow Thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.5 mm</td>
<td>3 (04.6)</td>
<td>3 (05.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5—0.75</td>
<td>14 (21.5)</td>
<td>13 (22.4)</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.75—1.0 mm</td>
<td>48 (73.8)</td>
<td>42 (72.4)</td>
<td>6 (85.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark Levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II—III</td>
<td>57 (87.7)</td>
<td>53 (91.4)</td>
<td>4 (67.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV—V</td>
<td>8 (12.3)</td>
<td>5 (08.6)</td>
<td>3 (42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitotic Rate (n/mm²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9 (13.8)</td>
<td>8 (13.6)</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>56 (86.2)</td>
<td>51 (86.4)</td>
<td>5 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulceration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>19 (29.2)</td>
<td>16 (27.6)</td>
<td>3 (42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>46 (70.8)</td>
<td>42 (72.4)</td>
<td>4 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* No data patients were excluded
are presented for the positive and negative patients groups (Figure 1). A Tarone—Ware test was run to determine if there were differences in the survival distribution by SLNB. The survival distributions for the two groups were statistically significantly different (p=0.014).

The Kaplan—Meier estimates of survival data are shown in Table 3. There was a significant difference in survival times between both groups. (p=0.001).

**Discussion**

The increased incidence rate of melanomas is based on the special group of thin melanomas, which represents between 60% and 80% of total [1,2,5-10]. Despite its good prognosis, this group is responsible for 15%—30% of melanoma deaths [6,7,11,12]. Because of this casual connection, it is essential to try to identify the high—risk group which may develop regional lymph node deposits.

The predictor factor in the SLNB in intermediate—thickness melanoma is well accepted, but its value for patients with thin and thick lesions is being discussed [13-15].

The broad range of reported SLN positive rates in thin melanoma patients estimates between 0% and 18%, especially with the use of selection criteria [1,16-32]. In our study, the estimate was 10.8% in thin melanomas including sentinel lymph node biopsy.

The risk of lymph node progression increases in young adults, however, the survival decreases as age increases [16,33,34]. Older people (±65 years old) also can have lymphatic functional disorder [25]. A recent study of lymphoscintigraphy data from 858 patients underwent SLNB, showed that mean radioactive counts of SLNs were inversely related to age. This suggests that older patients undergoing SLNB, showed that mean radioactive counts of SLNs were inversely related to age. This suggests that older patients undergoing SLNB.

The potential for a thin melanoma Clark level ≥ IV presented a significant difference in survival times between both groups. (p=0.014).

In most articles, the average age ranged from 47 to 54 years, but in our study it was 22—77 years (mean 49.4 years) [34].

Some authors found that men are in greater proportion placed in the high-risk subset [36,37]. In our experience, it was a clear prevalence of men.

It is obvious that a >0.75 mm—thick—melanoma has greater probabilities to be related with a positive sentinel lymph node, especially when is associated with a Clark IV, an ulceration present, or a mitotic rate ≥0 [19,27,38]. However, it is difficult to predict which patient with that thickness may yield a positive result [27,39].

A significant number of authors suggests that is not necessary to conduct a sentinel lymph node biopsy to melanomas with a thickness ≤0.75 mm, since is unlikely that they yield a positive result [26,27,38,40].

Lesions less than 0.75 mm in depth have a reported rate of distant metastasis in the range of 1% or 2% to 5.5% [41-45].

Wright et al. (2008) presented 178 cases ≤0.5 mm with a sentinel lymph node biopsy performed that yield a positive result in 8 (4.4%), and one of them had a thickness lower to 0.25 mm [46]. Moreover, there are some articles that refer to a positive result in melanomas <0.50 mm thickness, which is an adverse predictor [47,48]. In our study, we found 16 patients with melanomas with a thickness ≥0.75 mm, 3 of which were lower than 0.5 mm and 1 yield a positive result. Therefore, it is questionable the approach that there is not necessary to perform a SNLB <0.5 mm based only on the concept of thickness.

In the seventh edition of the AJCC Staging, mitotic rate has replaced Clark levels of invasion [4].

The possibility for detecting a thin malignant melanoma with a Clark level ≥IV ranged from 5 to 18% [1,8,16,34,49-51].

In a review of 7331 invasive primary melanomas ≤1.0 mm thick, 1320 (18%) were reported to be Clark level IV in the Sydney Melanoma Unit (SMU). When level IV invasion is present, the prognosis is known to be worse than for other thin tumors [41,43].

The potential for a thin melanoma Clark level ≥IV presented a positive sentinel lymph node was 4.7%—14% [1,8,16,51-53].

In our study, 3 of 8 patients (12.3%) with a Clark level ≥IV presented a positive sentinel lymph node, which was considered statistically significant.

In malignant thin melanomas, ulceration is present in 1.6%—6% of cases [1,5,21,22,25,54]. Numerous authors suggest that when ulceration is present, it is a clear sign of a predictive factor for positive sentinel lymph node [55,56].

Han et al. (2013) have proposed that ulceration rates in thin MM ranged from 1–15%, and this wide variation may be due, in part, to the fact that ulceration is uncommon and that a few patients may have had local trauma and been incorrectly classified as ulcerated. In our case study, 29.2% presented ulceration [8].

Mitotic rate as a prognostic attribute was first introduced into the literature by Cochran (1968) [57]. In our study, the mitotic rate (n/mm²) was 0 in 9 patients, 8 of which had a negative SLN while the other one had a positive SLN. In 56 subjects, the mitotic rate was ≥1, and 51 of these patients had a negative SLN while 5 a positive SLN. Numerous studies had tried to define the subset of patients with a melanoma ≤1.0 mm, which are prone to have a positive SLN. Many authors had found that mitotic activity is a predictive factor to have a positive SLN, while
others had not found any relation between them. In our experience, this was not a positive predictive factor in melanomas Breslow ≤ 1.

Thompson et al. (2011) suggests that the 10—year survival for patients with thin melanoma and dermal mitotic activity ranges from 48% to 89%, compared with 93% patients with thin melanoma without a dermal mitotic activity [25].

Many studies have attempted to define the subset of patients with melanoma less than or equal to 1.0 mm, who are most likely to have a positive SLN. Primary tumor thicknesses of greater than 0.75 mm are generally considered to pose a higher risk for nodal metastasis [17,54,58]. Some authors have found mitotic activity to be predictive of a positive SLN in their studies, whereas others did not [1,2,7,8,17,28,33,58,59].

Multiple studies have recently shown that SLN positive is not associated with poorer disease free survival in patients with thin melanoma [1,26,60]. Studies that explored the risk of a positive SLN, but did not include follow-up data, were excluded from consideration. This represents a particularly problematic constraint for studies of SLN biopsy in patients with thin melanomas, where a follow-up of a decade or more is required to assess the prognostic impact of regional nodal staging [61].

In our study, there was a clear difference in patients’ survival depending on the sentinel lymph node status. We consider that it is not necessary to study the sentinel lymph node in all thin melanomas, because most of them may yield a negative result. However, taking into account all the predictive factors including the thickness could define the number of studies and thus achieve results more accurately with the predictive value in the sentinel lymph node status.
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