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Abstract
Access to the heart and closure of the resultant incisions and wounds have been considered major impediments to the growth of cardiac surgery. Nevertheless, during the 1980s and 1990s, the sternotomy was the most commonly performed surgical access procedure in the world. We discuss the evolution of various techniques to allow access to the heart and surrounding structures. Methods of closure of these incisions are also highlighted as these techniques evolve.

Introduction
No mention of early cardiac surgery can be complete without the mention of Dr. Daniel Hale Williams, an African American surgeon in the South Side of Chicago. The big names in surgery in Europe, such as Paget and Billroth, had advice about the dangers of operating on the heart and suggested that anyone embarking on such an endeavor was foolhardy. Fortunately for us all, Dr. Williams operated successfully on the first patient with cardiac tamponade in 1893 [1]. The procedure performed on James Cornish, who was the victim of a bar-room brawl and had suffered a stab wound to the chest and the heart [2]. This was effected through a left thoracotomy with exposure of the pericardium and its contents. This procedure was attempted in a desperate attempt to save a patient who was declining steadily. This was done in the absence of X-rays, CTs, echocardiograms, electrocardiograms. Despite a recurrence of tamponade, which was successfully drained 21 days later through a second thoracotomy, the patient did well. The patient survived for 38 years, dying of a head wound from another bar fight in 1931! [3,4] (Figure 1).

In 1897, Ludwig Rehn of Frankfurt, Germany reported on 120 surgeries performed around the world for stab wounds on the heart with suture repair through thoracotomies with a 40% success [5].

The midline or median sternal osteotomy or sternotomy was first described in experimental animals, by Milton, in 1897. Since ventilators were not developed then, Milton was very satisfied by the fact that this incision could be safely achieved in a live goat, which then survived the procedure. He also noted that this provided great exposure to the heart [6]. Dr. Ormand Julian, a surgeon at the University of Illinois at Chicago popularized the median sternotomy for all cardiac operations. He subsequently moved to Rush Presbyterian Medical Center and used his prominence there to push this concept [7] (Figure 2).

Other prominent surgeons of the era reinforced the fact that this approach was less traumatic and provided access to all chambers of the heart along with the capability of connecting the patients to cardiopulmonary bypass [8]. Since then, this procedure gained prominence and rapidly became the most common approach to the heart. Indeed, the median or midline sternotomy is now the most commonly performed osteotomy or bone-breaking procedure in medicine. Sternotomies have been performed through bilateral submammary incisions to improve the cosmetic appearance [9].

Closure of the bone in these incisions was initially performed by cerclage using thick suture, typically #5 Ticron or equivalent, as described by Dr. Julian. However, the perceived risk of infection in using a braided suture made surgeons move to using stainless steel...
wire. Sternal closure was typically achieved by simple wire cerclage. A variety of other techniques were used to close the sternum, such as figure of 8 wires, sternal bands, interlocking weaves of the wire, etc. These were performed in attempts to minimize the complications from sternotomy closure, which ranged from pain, dehiscence, and mal-union to deep sternal wound infections & mediastinitis. Despite the sternotomy being used frequently and considered routine by most cardiac surgeons, the problems associated with it have caused a perception of a very invasive procedure. The infective complications following sternotomy, such as mediastinitis maybe lethal. Recovery after infective complications or improper union may cause significant long term disability and chronic pain.

Dr. Larry Gottlieb, an innovative plastic surgeon, at the University of Chicago, described the use of modified mandibular plates to salvage sternal closure in the setting of sternal wound infections [10]. Raman & Song described the modification to this by advocating prophylactic sternal plating in the high-risk patient [11]. Indeed, this concept was studied in a randomized, controlled trial and showed better bone healing at 3 and 6 months, with lower post-operative pain in plated patients [12] (Figure 3).

Over the past 3 years, the concept of rigid plate fixation of the sternum has gradually expanded to multiple centers across the world, with the technology being used in Australia, China, Japan, India, Canada, Europe, and the US. The barrier to widespread adoption is still the cost of the plates and screws.

Mini-sternotomy approaches

Various types of partial sternotomies have been used with significant success for a variety of indications [13]. Configurations such as inverted ‘T’, ‘J’, ‘L’ have been used to divide the upper half of the sternum, typically up to the 4th interspace on one or both sides. The great advance in this instance again is the availability of rigid plate fixation which provides great stability and secure closure [14] (Figures 4a-4c).

Variations on the mini-sternotomy include the arrowhead modification, which allows extensive reconstruction of the aortic root, ascending aorta, aortic arch and the pulmonary artery [15].

Thoracotomy approaches

For many years, the standard procedure on the heart was a left mini-thoracotomy and a closed mitral valvotomy [16]. This procedure was developed in the 1950s and was still being performed into the late 1990s. The sternotomy then superseded this approach as cardiopulmonary bypass became the standard and more complex procedures were being performed on the heart.

Over the past 8-10 years, increased interest in minimally invasive approaches to the heart have encouraged the development of left minithoracotomy procedures for CABG and left ventricular epicardial lead implant procedures. Right minithoracotomy approaches have been developed with great success, for mitral valve procedures [17], tricuspid valve procedures [18] and aortic valve procedures. The
The move towards less invasive approaches has allowed surgeons to combine with either wire cerclage or rigid plate fixation of the sternal habitus, valve location, previous cardiac history and anatomical features (as detected by pre-operative or intra-operative imaging). Rib fixation of the osteotomies to facilitate better exposure, dramatically reduces post-operative pain in these patients [19] (Figure 5).

**Conclusions**

A variety of access incisions have been described to access the heart. The move towards less invasive approaches has allowed surgeons to resurrect older incisions and develop new techniques to minimize pain and dramatically improve outcomes after heart surgery.
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