Detection of dysplasia in Barrett’s oesophagus: Are there impending optical and spectroscopic solutions?
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Abstract
The incidence of Barrett’s oesophagus and oesophageal adenocarcinoma is increasing in Western countries. The outcome for patients with oesophageal cancer is extremely poor with only 15.1% of patients surviving for 5 years. The dismal outcome is largely due to late diagnosis which eliminates many patients from effective treatment.

Oesophageal adenocarcinoma is often preceded by the development of dysplasia in a segment of Barrett’s oesophagus. With the current surveillance strategies, it is extremely difficult to not only visualise areas of dysplasia, but also to accurately identify their morphological and architectural changes during histopathological diagnosis. Consensus statements recommend mucosal resection for dysplastic change in the oesophagus, thereby, preventing the development of adenocarcinoma. This strategy requires improved diagnostic tools that can reliably distinguish patients with dysplasia.

Years of research have looked at a variety of different modalities that may aid with the current dilemma of difficulties in diagnosing dysplasia. This review looks at the modalities under development and analyses their advantages and the part they may well play in the future. It also looks at the future avenues that could be explored to aid in the understanding of the disease and to improve the outcomes.

Introduction
The recent increase in the incidence of Barrett’s oesophagus and oesophageal adenocarcinoma, especially in Western countries, has fuelled the sharp rise in the number of studies attempting to understand this disease as well as the development of improved diagnostic modalities that can detect its very early stages and even predict those at greatest risk of disease progression.

Current diagnostic techniques and advancements in surgery with a move to a minimally invasive approach have failed to impact on the mortality rates for oesophageal adenocarcinoma with the 5 year survival floundering at 15.1%, making this the fifth leading cause of cancer-related death in men worldwide [1]. The paramount focus must, therefore, be on the improved detection and diagnosis of the early changes of disease that will facilitate early treatment and will, therefore, revolutionize the mortality statistics.

Barrett’s oesophagus
Barrett’s oesophagus, originally described in 1950 [2], is an acquired condition, characterised by the replacement of normal squamous epithelium by columnar epithelium in a process termed metaplasia. It is a premalignant condition that predisposes to the development of oesophageal adenocarcinoma, although the overall risk is small with the conversion rate of oesophageal adenocarcinoma from Barrett’s oesophagus being 0.5% per year [3]. It has been established that adenocarcinoma develops through a multi-step morphological pathway, characterised by increasing grades of dysplasia [4], and it is the presence and grade of dysplasia that is currently the only marker that is able to delineate those at a higher risk of progression to adenocarcinoma.

This is, however, not a perfect method. The natural history of high grade dysplasia remains debatable with certain factors correlated with a higher risk of progression, including central obesity, length of Barrett’s segment, insulin resistance and serum levels of leptin [5]. The natural course of low grade dysplasia is more hotly contested with some evidence indicating that this can regress, although this may be related to initial inaccurate diagnosis [6]. Persistent low grade dysplasia was, however, associated with disease progression [7].

Dysplasia
The recognition of dysplasia is extremely complex. The major difficulty is our ability to detect and biopsy the areas with dysplasia. Although metaplasia is apparent macroscopically at endoscopy, areas of dysplasia are not always identifiable. The current protocol is, thus, for random biopsies from each quadrant of the oesophagus at every 1-2 cm interval in a macroscopically columnar lined area, known as the Seattle Protocol. Even if properly adhered to, significant pathology can be missed; 40% of resections undertaken for presumed high grade dysplasia had an occult malignancy detected during histological analysis which had not been identified on the preoperative diagnostic endoscopy [8,9]. Additional studies indicate that 34% of early stage
Dysplasia is, nevertheless, despite its problems, the best method that we currently have at our disposal to identify risk of progression to adenocarcinoma and, thus, to identify patients who would benefit from early, minimally invasive endoscopic intervention. Consensus statements generated through a Delphi process [3,18] recommend that endoscopic ablation or resection is undertaken in the presence of established dysplastic degeneration, making the accurate assessment of dysplasia a vital process. This logically leads to improved outcomes as a cohort of patients will be able to avoid the development of oesophageal adenocarcinoma and the major undertaking of oesophagectomy.

**Identifying dysplasia**

Endoscopic surveillance and biopsy is at present the mainstay for identifying dysplasia in Barrett’s oesophagus. Endoscopic screening for Barrett’s oesophagus is, at present, being suggested for men aged 60 years with prolonged (>10 years) reflux symptoms [3] as their risk of progression to dysplasia and adenocarcinoma is greatest. Population based screening is not recommended due to the low rate of conversion of Barrett’s oesophagus to adenocarcinoma [19]. Controversy does, however, remain as to the frequency of surveillance endoscopy and which patients require more intensive surveillance and in whom it can be stopped. A large RCT is currently underway to help solve this dilemma [20].

Surveillance has been shown to be beneficial as surveillance leads to diagnosis of oesophageal adenocarcinoma at an earlier stage and, hence, leads to improved survival [21]. The outcomes were better when compared to patients diagnosed outside of a screening programme and dramatically better than those who had already become symptomatic [22].

The main quandary is how to bring forward the diagnosis of dysplasia to allow earlier, and ultimately minimally invasive endoscopic intervention. Even if there is a genetic breakthrough which is able to identify a higher risk cohort, visualisation of the oesophagus with targeted biopsy of abnormal areas alongside a higher degree of assurance in dysplastic staging will still be required. A number of optical techniques have been and are still being investigated for these purposes. They offer the potential of detecting changes very early in the cancerous process, at the microstructural and molecular level, far earlier than the morphological changes that are needed for detection by traditional endoscopy. They offer additional information to differentiate dysplastic from non-dysplastic tissue and high grade from low grade dysplasia.

Optical diagnostic techniques, which are being used and are under development, are high resolution endoscopy, chroendoendoscopy, narrow-band imaging, optical coherence tomography, autofluorescence, immunophotodiagnostic endoscopy, confocal fluorescence microendoscopy, light scattering spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy and infrared spectroscopy. No single modality has surged ahead and is able to satisfy all difficulties being faced and it is, thus, increasingly likely that a combination of techniques will be required to enable early disease detection and to reverse the dismal outcomes of oesophageal adenocarcinoma.

**Optical techniques**

**High resolution endoscopy (HRE)**

Traditional endoscopes are able to generate a 300,000 pixel image. High resolution endoscopes are able to generate images with greater than 1,000,000 pixels. Unsurprisingly, high resolution endoscopes have been shown to have a higher sensitivity than standard white light endoscopy for the detection of Barrett’s oesophagus [23, 24], although the majority of evidence focuses on their use by expert endoscopists. The performance of and experience of the endoscopist contributes significantly to the detection of neoplasia, with mean inspection time per cm of Barrett’s oesophagus having a significant impact [25]. The improved sensitivity is, by no means, perfect with only 79% of dysplasia detected [25], and with a substantial inter-observer variability identified [23, 26]. Consensus guidelines have, nevertheless, recommended its use in expert centres [3] as it does go some way to improving the detection of abnormal areas.
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**Figure 1.** Focal area of high grade dysplasia in an endoscopic resection specimen.
Chromoendoscopy

High resolution endoscopy has, in some studies, obtained better results when used in conjunction with chromoendoscopy [27] although this is not consistent [26]. Chromoendoscopy describes the exogenous administration of specialised dyes, including Lugols solution and methylene blue, onto the mucosal surface. They are typically sprayed onto the mucosal surface via a specifically designed catheter at the time of endoscopy. The stains enhance the detection of subtle mucosal irregularities that may otherwise be invisible [28].

Lugol’s solution interacts with glycogen within minutes of its application in normal squamous epithelium resulting in a brown / black discoloration. In contrast, it does not stain columnar epithelium and, thus, can be useful in distinguishing squamous from columnar epithelium [28]. It has been shown to be effective in identifying early squamous cell cancer in the oesophagus and has been used for this application by Japanese endoscopists in patients who have previously had a diagnosis of head or neck cancer [29].

Methylene blue stains the intestinal metaplasia that defines Barrett’s oesophagus and has an almost 100% correlation with Barrett’s epithelium [28]. Despite highlighting areas of Barrett’s, the staining does not appear to aid the identification of areas of dysplasia. Acetic acid is another dye which enhances surface topography and has been shown, in some studies, to be superior to white light endoscopy in the localisation of dysplasia in Barrett’s oesophagus [30].

Chromoendoscopy seems to be a sensible solution to improve the diagnostic yield of dysplasia, even if it is used as a temporary measure whilst other, better technologies are developed. The practise, however, has not been universally adopted primarily due to difficulties with spray application, time required for spray application and with operator subjectivity meaning that it is still far from ideal.

Narrow band imaging

Narrow band imaging enhances the resolution of the mucosal surface, aiding visualisation of surface irregularities as well as alterations in the vascular patterns. The mucosa is illuminated with both blue and green light wavelengths. The different wavelengths penetrate the tissue to different degrees resulting in increased resolution. Narrow band blue light displays the superficial capillary networks, due to its increased absorption by haemoglobin [31] and the alterations in vascular pattern that is seen in disease can be identified.

Initial reviews showed high sensitivities for distinguishing gastric mucosa from intestinal metaplasia [32] and subsequent work with further magnification demonstrated a high accuracy in identifying high grade dysplasia [32]. As with the other modalities discussed thus far, however, there is a high level of inter-observer variability in the detection of mucosal irregularities and, although this modality would improve the detection of new areas of dysplasia [49], but was hampered by the inability to accurately distinguish inflamed tissue with that of dysplasia.

Multiple studies have described the in vivo use of OCT as a screening tool for Barrett’s oesophagus with the ability to distinguish between the appearances of squamous mucosa, gastric cardia, Barrett’s oesophagus and adenocarcinoma [38-42]. The sensitivity for the differentiation between high grade dysplasia and adenocarcinoma was, however, only 83% with a specificity of 75% [42], with similar, if not worse results, in a subsequent study [43].

The identification of dysplasia, particularly high grade dysplasia, is the ultimate goal and the results thus far for OCT are, in the majority, not good enough, although a recent review found excellent diagnostic sensitivity and specificity for the detection of Barrett’s oesophagus, although not necessarily of dysplasia [44]. This is likely to be linked to the subjective interpretation by the endoscopists, especially as this modality requires interpretation of histopathological changes. Its benefit does, however, lie in the fact that it provides cross-sectional imaging that permits assessment of the depth of invasion and can determine for which patients mucosal resection is a suitable option [34].

The speeds and modes of operation prohibit acquisition of data over large segments of the GI tract [35]. Spectrally encoded confocal endomicroscopy uses a different grating and a wavelength swept laser to image tissues at very high speeds [36]. In vivo experiments on anaesthetised living swine suggested that this technology could rapidly (in 2.1 minutes) provide large (5 cm length) contiguous images of the oesophagus [35]. The technology had some technical flaws; however, it is an important step towards the illustrous wide-field scanning that is desperately required.

A novel approach has been the development of a swallowed tethered capsule endomicroscopy device which has been shown to image large portions of the oesophagus with agreement of 94% to manual tissue classification [37]. This form of technology could provide screening data, but patients would need further investigation, likely to be an endoscopy, to obtain tissue or to perform endomucosal resection.

Autofluorescence

All tissues produce auto-fluorescence when illuminated by ultra-violet (<400 nm) or short visible light (400-550 nm). The molecules responsible for this are termed fluorophores and the resultant auto-fluorescent signal is dependent on the concentration and distribution of the fluorophores. Normal, metaplastic and dysplastic tissue will have different auto-fluorescent spectra as malignant transformation alters the type, concentration and microdistribution of the constituent fluorophores [45].

Tissue autofluorescence can be performed relatively simply with the ability to sample wide areas of the mucosal surface. Different wavelengths penetrate and effectively interrogate the tissue to different depths, resulting in an image which provides clues as to the tissue topography and vasculature [46].

Studies using a variety of systems have had confounding results with some improving detection of dysplasia [47], and others being no better than traditional white light endoscopy [24,48]. A combined video endoscope system with both white light and auto-fluorescence did improve the detection of new areas of dysplasia [49], but was hampered by the inability to accurately distinguish inflamed tissue with that of dysplasia.

It may be overly hopeful to think that differences in auto-fluorescence patterns are specific enough to distinguish between low grade dysplasia, high grade dysplasia and adenocarcinoma. Alterations in
autofluorescence would, however, direct the endoscopist to areas of interest which would be further assessed with a different diagnostic modality and/or biopsied. What would be ideal is a marker of dysplasia that has a unique fluorescent signal that would objectively identify the areas of the oesophagus that require further evaluation. No markers have, thus far, been identified; however, it may be that a molecular marker of the processes that are involved in dysplastic formation, such as apoptosis or cellular proliferation, can be found and that they have a unique autofluorescent signal.

Lipofuscin could be a candidate for this role (DaCosta; personal communication). Work looking at the colonic mucosa has shown that dysplastic epithelial cells had increased red autofluorescence intensity when compared to normal and hyperplastic cells and that this increase was due to the presence of large numbers of highly autofluorescent granules which were shown to be lysosomes [50]. Lipofuscin forms due to iron catalysed oxidation and polymerisation of protein and lipid residues [51]. These residues are cell fragments which are the result of apoptosis of epithelial cells.

If lipofuscin is able to be easily detected and enables differentiation between non-dysplastic and dysplastic tissue, and/or between different levels of dysplasia, or indeed if there are alternative markers that can do this, this would increase our ability to perform quick wide field scanning of the entire oesophagus and identify areas requiring magnified investigation.

**Immunophotodiagnostic endoscopy**

In a similar approach, studies have looked at combining chromogenic or fluorescent dyes with monoclonal antibodies that are specific for tumour-related antigens. The antibody would bind with the antigen and then emit fluorescence which is detectable and identify abnormal areas of the oesophagus. The clinical application of this has, thus far, had limited success which may be due to the lack of specific markers or the sub-optimal contrast differentiation between tissue types [46].

A glimmer of hope is lectins. Cell surface lectins are altered in the progression from Barrett’s oesophagus to adenocarcinoma causing changes in binding patterns which can be identified [53]. This modality is highly attractive and as our understanding of the molecular basis of dysplasia and adenocarcinoma increases, further dysplasia associated markers may well be discovered.

**Confocal fluorescence microendoscopy**

Confocal fluorescence microendoscopy is an extension of autofluorescence. It images endogenous and exogenous fluorophores within the cells of the tissue sections [21] and provides a histological image of the tissue [52]. In *ex vivo* samples, dysplastic and non-dysplastic Barrett’s oesophagus fluoresced mainly in the green spectrum with the main contribution from the mucosal layer. High grade dysplasia was able to be differentiated from that of non dysplastic Barrett’s based on the assessment of the microstructural tissue changes [52]. This suggests that Barrett’s oesophagus can be detected by mucosal autofluorescence [31], but the further delineation of dysplastic tissue requires the histological component of this modality which is a subjective measure.

**Spectroscopy**

Spectroscopy offers the ability to detect subtle biochemical changes in tissues and, thus, aids the differentiation of various tissue types, including that of dysplastic tissue. There is a substantial volume of work in the literature that confirms the ability of different forms of spectroscopy to differentiate between pathology states in a wide range of organ systems, including the oesophagus. Despite many years of evidence, however, there has been no move of spectroscopy into routine clinical practise.

**Light scattering spectroscopy**: Light scattering spectroscopy, also known as elastic scattering spectroscopy, provides microstructural information about tissue based on the reflectance of scattered white light. The backscattered light from epithelial nuclei can identify nuclear enlargement and crowding and this can be used to detect dysplasia with sensitivities and specificities of >90% [54]. Subsequent studies have obtained reasonable results and have also been able to differentiate high risk sites from inflammation with sensitivities and specificities of 79% [55].

The main disadvantage of this tool, as with other methods of spectroscopy, is that it is unable to sample a large volume of the oesophagus. Other forms of spectroscopy provide greater information regarding tissue composition and, thus, it seems unlikely that light scattering spectroscopy will become a main stream tool to aid our identification of dysplasia.

**Raman spectroscopy**: Compared to the other spectroscopic techniques under investigation, Raman spectroscopy provides the most detailed information about the molecular composition of tissue. It relies on the inelastic scattering of monochromatic light, where the scattered photon’s energy is altered by interaction with the molecular bonds present and results in a change in frequency. This information is extracted and enables the molecular composition of a tissue to be determined (Figure 2) [46].

The ability of Raman spectroscopy to discriminate between different pathology groups in a variety of *ex vivo* tissue groups has been well documented. In the oesophagus, in an analysis of snap-frozen biopsy samples, Raman analysis was able to discriminate between 8 different pathology groups, including subtypes of Barrett’s oesophagus [56]. Fibre optic probes for Raman analysis via a needle probe or endoscope can enable tissue access for *in vivo* analysis [57].

From the turn of the century, a number of groups have trialled *in vivo* Raman probes. Work in 2011 demonstrated the identification of cancer in the oesophagus with a sensitivity of 91% and a specificity of 94% and, importantly, with an acquisition time of 0.4-0.5 seconds [58]. Dysplastic change was, however, not differentiated.

Despite rapid spectral acquisition times, a major limitation of Raman probe measurement is that only a small volume of mucosa...
can be interrogated at one time. This prohibits its use as a wide field scanning modality, but would make it an ideal instrument for point measurements to aid in vivo diagnosis. Raman probes can, and have been, used in conjunction with other modalities which are able to scan wide areas of the oesophagus to overcome this barrier and this is discussed later. The narrow field imaging of Raman would, however, be well suited to other in vivo applications, such as real time targeted therapy during endomucosal resection to establish resection margin clearance [59], although it would need to be able to distinguish areas of dysplasia as well as adenocarcinoma.

Rather than replacing the gold standard of histopathology for diagnosis, Raman spectroscopy could be utilised in the laboratory for the analysis of ex vivo samples to aid diagnosis, particularly when there is a lack of consensus regarding the presence and/or grade of dysplasia. Rapid mapping of tissue sections using Raman has the potential to be used as an automated histopathology tool. It has been shown that 2 mm diameter sections can be mapped over a time scale of 30-90 minutes, and that this was sufficient to discriminate pathology [60]. This would provide an additional tool for the pathologist when analysing biopsy samples. Current work is focused on investigating system transferability when using Raman to map oesophageal tissue sections to facilitate this function [61].

Fourier-transform infra-red spectroscopy: Infrared spectroscopy exploits the feature that tissue absorbs light at characteristic wavelengths which are determined by the vibrational motions of covalently bonded atoms. FTIR is able to collate a rapid molecular fingerprint of tissue with information regarding different tissue constituents such as DNA and glycogen. The pattern of the spectra generated is sensitive to small changes in multiple tissue constituents and, therefore, is different for the different pathologies [62]. For example, increased DNA and glycoprotein content predicts the presence of dysplasia in Barrett’s oesophagus and this is consistent with histopathology [63].

The development of adenocarcinoma follows a well established pattern which begins prior to any morphological changes. Gene mutation is the primary event, followed by changes in the biomolecules of the tissue. FTIR has the potential to detect these changes and potentially identify changes prior to those described as precancerous, i.e., at the earliest stage of dysplastic change. As with Raman, however, this is not currently a method whereby the entire oesophagus can be screened with FTIR to identify these areas. An additional hindrance of IR is the strong influence of water with peaks overlapping with the Amide I band of proteins, affecting the diagnostic ability of this technique [64]. Fibre-optic evanescent wave spectroscopy (FEWS)-FTIR with endoscope compatible fibre-optic silver halide probes has been shown to be feasible, although the development of in vivo tissue drying is likely to improve results [65].

The role of FTIR is, therefore, likely to be complimentary to Raman in aiding histopathological diagnosis of biopsied material.

The way ahead

An ideal diagnostic model to identify dysplasia in the oesophagus would enable real time scanning of a vast area of mucosa, ideally the entire oesophagus. It would be able to accurately identify areas of low grade dysplasia, high grade dysplasia and adenocarcinoma and differentiate these from active inflammation and other pathologies with a high degree of specificity. It would be easy to set up and use and be cost effective. Unfortunately it does not exist.

What then is the solution? A multi-modal approach is needed to tackle the two predominant problems that face the diagnosis of dysplasia in Barrett’s oesophagus.

A wide field detection is required that is able to assess the whole oesophagus and identify areas requiring more specific review. Autofluorescence would be simple and easy to employ and if a marker of dysplasia could be identified then this would make this modality the principal choice. A narrow field modality would then be utilised at the same endoscopy to further delineate these areas. The narrow field modalities, predominantly those of Raman and FTIR, provide additional and complimentary information to that of histopathology. Using all modalities in conjunction will provide the greatest information regarding the disease state of the tissue, increasing the accuracy of diagnosis and providing detailed information regarding the cancers changes that take place. It will only be with complimentary working with pathology, rather than an attempt to replace them, that we will develop the greatest understanding of the changes that occur in cancerous change in the oesophagus.

Evidence is starting to filter in of the benefit of a multimodal approach. For example, the combination of Raman spectroscopy and optical coherence tomography has been shown to be superior to either modality in isolation at discriminating between colonic adenocarcinoma and normal colon [66]. A dual probe combining fluorescence and Raman spectroscopy was shown to have good correlation with histopathology when used for ex vivo melanocytic lesions [67] and a recent study using the same two modalities in the same probe demonstrated the potential of this technology to be used in vivo [68]. The combination of autofluorescence and Raman has taken an early lead in the ideal modality combination as the two provide complimentary information.

Conclusion

There is still a long way to go. Each modality needs to be perfected and translated into clinical care and then the ideal combination needs to be selected. This may, however, not be the same for every patient and the advantages of each may alter depending on the patient. Nevertheless, the incidence of both Barrett’s oesophagus and adenocarcinoma are climbing and the requirement for new and improved diagnostic tools is greater than ever.
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