A conservative approach to replace missing teeth in the aesthetic zone with Maryland bridge – A case report
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Abstract
Restoring the missing central incisors in the mandibular jaw is one of the most difficult esthetic challenges in dentistry. A space in the mandibular anterior region of the dental arch can produce a psychological impact on the young patient. Resin bonded bridges are highly effective treatment option in these situations to restore the oral function and aesthetics and result in high levels of patient satisfaction. Maryland bridges are the type of resin bonded bridge with certain advantages over conventional fixed dental prosthesis such as minimal removal of the tooth structure, minimal potential for pulpal trauma, supra gingival margin preparation and reduced time and cost. Provisional restorations are usually not required. Maryland bridges are cemented to the abutment tooth using electrolytic etching of the metal surface to retain the metal framework. After etching of the metal, the bond is stronger between the tooth surface and the prosthesis. The bridge retention has been enhanced by the development of resin cements which bond chemically to both the tooth surface and the metal alloy. However, there are certain limitations of resin bonded prosthesis such as non-precious alloys because precious alloys cannot be etched to provide micromechanical retention. For non-precious alloys, the framework and limited adhesion to the enamel surface its use was restricted [2]. Later Moon and Hudgins developed 'Virginia bridge' at Virginia Commonwealth University in 1984. Lest salt technique was utilized to provide the macroscopic mechanical retention to the framework and the tooth surface. In spite of adequate retention of Virginia bridge, this framework was bulky. The 'Maryland Bridge' was developed at the University of Maryland. The bridge retention has been enhanced by the development of resin cements which bond chemically to both the tooth surface and the etched metal alloy. It provides micro mechanical retention. Thompson and Livaditis in 1983 developed the technique of electrolytic etching of Ni-Cr and Co-Cr alloy. However, the Maryland bridges are alloys specific. It is used only for non-precious alloys because precious alloys cannot be etched to provide micromechanical retention.

Case report
A 19 years old male patient reported with the chief complaint of missing anterior teeth in the mandibular anterior region and need for aesthetic restoration of the same with fixed dental prosthesis. He was wearing mandibular anterior removable partial denture from last 10 months. The patient gave the history of extraction due to hyperplasia. This case report mentions the advantages, disadvantages, indications, contraindications and a simplified technique to restore the missing mandibular central incisors in a young adult patient with fabrication of Maryland bridge.
trauma of mandibular central incisors one year back. His expectations were reasonable and his psychological profile was good. Intra oral examination revealed missing mandibular central incisors with a slight buccal defect in the gingiva in the anterior region of tooth #31 and #41 due to pressure exerted from wearing the removable partial denture from last 10 months (Figure 1). Replacement of the missing teeth with implant needed bone augmentation procedure to allow for optimal bone integration and stability of the implants. The patient did not agree to the proposed surgical treatment plan. Also on clinical and radiographic examination, the teeth demonstrated gingival margins much coronal to the cement-enamel junctions, and large pulp chambers. A conservative and minimally invasive adhesive bridge was planned to restore the missing mandibular central incisors. Diagnostic wax up was performed to achieve the final outcome of the fixed restoration (Figure 2).

Minimal tooth preparation of the abutments (#32 and #42) was performed following the standard technique on the lingual surfaces only. Care was taken to ensure that the preparations were not extended beyond the linguo-proximal line angles on the abutments. Lingual preparation ended 1 mm from the incisal edge and a light chamfer finish line was prepared 1 mm supragingivally. Parallel retentive grooves were made in each preparation on the surface facing the edentulous space.

Impression procedures were carried out with addition silicone (Express XT, 3M ESPE, Germany) and sent to the laboratory. A metal framework with ‘wings’ extending onto the preparations was fabricated with non-precious alloy (Figure 3). Metal try in of the frame work showed minimum interferences. Shade selection was done using Vita 3-D Master shade guide. The trial fitting of the prosthesis was done and then esthetics mastication and speech were evaluated (Figure 4). The laboratory technician was instructed to keep the metal wings of the prosthesis off the incisal third to prevent darkening of the tooth because of the inhibition of light transmission. The fitting surfaces of the ‘wings’ were sandblasted with alumina 250 microns to create micromechanical retentive surfaces for the cement. The restoration was cemented in place using universal self-etch resin cement (Rely X U100, 3M ESPE, Germany) (Figures 5 and 6). The occlusion was verified in centric and eccentric mandibular positions and it was make sure that there was no interferences. Post cementation instructions were given and patient was followed up at regular intervals (Figures 7 and 8).

**Discussion**

Replacement of missing teeth with conventional fixed partial denture usually involves the conventional tooth preparation of all the surfaces of the adjacent teeth as abutments. Large pulp chambers in the abutments, expected transition in the position of the gingiva and age of the patient were factors that precluded the use of conventional fixed prostheses in this case. While these resin bonded restorations...
have compromised retention and corresponding life spans, newer self-etch adhesive systems help to ensure that such restorations are retained for reasonably long periods of time [3-6]. The new self-etch universal resin cement systems are valuable tools in ensuring longevity of such restorations that allow them to be in service for the intended period. The three most common complications associated with resin-bonded prosthesis is debonding (21%), tooth discoloration (18%) and caries (7%) [7,8].

Although a 74% success rate at 4 years is considered satisfactory for adhesive restorations, the uneventful 1-year follow up in this case created much optimism regarding its tenure in service, at the end of which definitive prosthodontic treatment may be rendered [9-11].

**Conclusion**

Resin bonded bridges can be highly effective in replacing missing teeth, restoring oral function and aesthetics and result in high levels of patient satisfaction. Given thorough patient assessment and the use of careful clinical techniques, the resin bonded bridge should be considered more frequently as the restoration of choice for short spans.
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