Urological complications in women with genital mutilation
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Abstract

Objective: This study is a comprehensive review of literature regarding urological complications associated with female genital mutilation and its impact on women's quality of life.

Material and methods: This review encompasses articles published between 1980 and November 2016 on PubMed database. The following MeSH terms were used: "urological complications", "complications", "female genital mutilation", "female genital cutting", "female genital mutilation complications", "female genital circumcision", and "infibulation". The inclusion criteria were: English language, original articles, case reports, case series, prospective and retrospective studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analysis. Articles addressing only genital cosmetic procedures were excluded.

Results: From 1765 articles initially screened, 13 met the inclusion criteria. The overall prevalence of urological complications in women with genital mutilation is 20%. Recurrent urinary tract infections, lower urinary tract symptoms, urinary retention, urogenital fistulas, meatus stenosis, urethral stones, and megaurethra are the reported ones.

Conclusions: The prevalence of urological complications is directly related to the severity of genital mutilation. Type III FGM (narrowing of the vaginal opening through the creation of a covering seal formed by cutting and repositioning through suturing the labia minora or labia majora) has the highest risk of postoperative urological complications.

Introduction

Female genital mutilation (FGM) can be defined as all procedures that intentionally alter or cause injury to the female genitalia or genital organs for non-medical reasons (World Health Organization - WHO, 2016). This spectrum of practices involves partial or total removal of the external genitalia or genital glands (greater and lesser vestibular glans) and genital organs (external clitoris) [1].

The origin of FGM is not well-known, but there are some documented reports from Greek historians suggesting that this practice started in Egypt along the Nile Valley at the time of the Pharaohs. In Western Europe and the United States, clitoridectomy was described in early 50’s as a therapeutic modality for perceived ailments like hysteria, epilepsy, mental disorders, masturbation, nymphomania and melancholia [2].

The WHO estimates that approximately 200 million girls/adolescents/women worldwide are living with the harmful effects of FGM. Despite international policies as well as community-efforts to eradicate it, more than 3 million girls/adolescents are at risk of being exposed to genital mutilation every year [1].

Female genital mutilations are mostly performed on young girls by local practitioners with crude instruments (razor blades, scissors, kitchen knives, glass, sharpened rocks), without proper anesthesia, and under poor sanitation conditions. After mutilation, girls usually have their legs bounded together for a couple of weeks to "allow" scar tissue formation (Figure 1) [3-5].

Figure 1. Crude instruments used by practitioners
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Sudan, Sierra Leone, Mali, and Somalia the prevalence of FGM remains greater than 75% [3].

Short and long-term consequences of genital mutilation to women's life are poorly reported in the literature. Most likely because this population has limited access to healthcare system and most genital mutilated women fell constraint to disclose their symptoms due to sensitive nature of this issue [6,7].

This study is a comprehensive review of literature regarding urological complications associated with female genital mutilation and its impact on women's quality of life.

Material and methods

This review encompasses articles published between 1980 and November 2016 on PubMed database. The following MeSH terms were used: “urological complications”, “complications”, “female genital mutilation”, “female genital cutting”, “female genital mutilation complications”, “female genital circumcision”, and “infibulation”.

The inclusion criteria were: English language, original articles, case reports, case series, prospective and retrospective studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analysis. Articles addressing only genital cosmetic procedures were exclude.

Study Design

Classification of female genital mutilation

FGM - WHO classification (Figure 2) [8]

- **Type I** - “clitoridectomy” – prepuce excision with/without partial or total removal of the clitoris.
  - Type Ia - removal of the clitoral hood or prepuce only.
  - Type Ib - removal of the clitoris with the prepuce.

- **Type II** - “excision”- partial or total removal of the clitoris and the labia minora, with or without labia majora.
  - Type IIa - removal of the labia minora only.
  - Type IIb - partial or total removal of the clitoris and the labia minora.
  - Type IIc - partial or total removal of the clitoris, the labia minora and the labia majora.

- **Type III** - narrowing of the vaginal opening through the creation of a covering seal formed by cutting and repositioning through suturing the labia minora or labia majora (infibulations).
  - Type IIIa - removal and apposition of the labia minora.
  - Type IIIb - removal and apposition of the labia majora.

- **Type IV** - all other procedures as pricking, piercing, incising, scraping and cauterizing the genital area.

WHO classification takes into account a detailed description of female genital anatomy; however, in some cases women's genital appearance may not fit into any category. To overcome this issue, UNICEF proposed another classification that is less accurate regarding anatomy, but easier to understand and define than WHO classification.

FGM – UNICEF classification [9]

- **Type I** – “Cut, no flesh removed” (“nickling” or “pricking”, which corresponds to WHO Type IV).
- **Type II** – “Cut, some flesh removed” (corresponds to WHO Type I and II).
- **Type III** – “Sewn closed” (corresponds to WHO Type III).
- **Type IV** – Not determined.

Results

From 1765 articles initially screened, 32 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility, and 13 met the inclusion criteria: 9 cross-sectional studies, 2 case reports, 1 case-control, and 1 describing a retraction technique for self-catheterization.

Urological complications related to FGM

The overall prevalence of urological complications in genital mutilated women ranges from 20 to 30%. The most prevalent complications reported in the literature are: 1) Recurrent urinary tract infections (UTI); 2) Lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS); 3) Urinary retention; 4) Urogenital fistula; 5) Meatus stenosis and urethral stone; and 6) Megaurethra.
Recurrent urinary tract infections

The prevalence of recurrent UTI in women underwent Type I genital mutilation was 10%, whereas in Type III is up to 30%. Three studies demonstrated a correlation between severity of FGM and prevalence of recurrent UTIs. Patients underwent Type III FGM (narrowing of the vaginal opening through the creation of a covering seal formed by cutting and repositioning through suturing the labia minora or labia majora) or Type IV FGM (all other procedures as pricking, piercing, incising, scraping and cauterizing the genital area) were more prone to develop recurrent UTIs. In these cases the etiology is bladder outlet obstruction and incomplete bladder emptying [10-12].

Lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS)

There are two studies in the literature addressing LUTS women underwent genital mutilation. In a case control study that enrolled 251 cases and 183 controls, day and night-time frequency as well as urgency were associated with FGM. Intermittent urinary stream and incomplete bladder emptying were reported by 20% of mutilated women. Terminal dribbling, urinary straining, and weak stream were reported by 19, 13, and 10% of women respectively [13].

Agugua et al studied 290 Somali women between 18 and 54 years of age who underwent FGM earlier in their life. From 290 women, 255 underwent infibulation, 18 clitoridectomy, and 17 excisions of the prepuce only. Infibulations (narrowing of the vaginal opening through the creation of a covering seal formed by cutting and repositioning through suturing the labia minora or labia majora) increased the likelihood of short and long-term voiding symptoms such as incomplete bladder emptying and weak urinary stream. Due to a small sample of patients the association of clitoridectomy and prepuce excision and LUTS is inconclusive [14].

Urinary retention

Urinary retention following FGM is multifactorial: 1) Local practitioners do not have knowledge regarding anatomy and physiology of the female urogenital tract; 2) It is commonly performed without anesthesia and therefore is a painful and traumatic experience; 3) Psychological impact on women's quality of life is not a major concern for those practitioners; 4) Post-procedure genital swelling difficulty emptying the bladder [15-18].

Urogenital fistula

The prevalence of urogenital fistula in the literature in mutilated women ranges from 10 to 15%. The risk factors for post-procedure urogenital fistula formation are: 1) Lack of anatomical knowledge by local practitioners; 2) Use of non-sterilized crude instruments; 3) Urogenital infection; 4) Scar tissue formation and healing by second-intention; 5) Bladder outlet obstruction; 6) Vaginal stenosis; 7) Traumatic sexual intercourse; 8) Prolonged labor due to vaginal stenosis; 9) Poor assistance for either vaginal or C-section delivery.

Women underwent infibulations (narrowing of the vaginal opening through the creation of a covering seal formed by cutting and repositioning through suturing the labia minora or labia majora) are at the highest risk of post-procedure fistula formation because labia majora and/or minora closure predispose these women to hematocolpos. Thus, chronically it increases the risk of infection, drainage, and urogenital fistula. The most common clinical complaints in these cases are urinary incontinence, dyspareunia, chronic pelvic pain, and recurrent UTI [19-22].

Meatus stenosis

There is one study in the literature describing a retraction technique for self-catheterization in women with infibulation who developed vaginal and urethral meatus stenosis. Toubia studied 162 mutilated women from 6 African countries: Chad, Egypt, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Somalia, and Sudan. All of them had vaginal and urethral meatus stenosis and emptied their bladder doing self-catheterization. In this study, the most severe cases required a retraction technique to visualize and catheterize the retracted urethral meatus [23].

Urethral stone

There is one case report of a 32-years old Somali woman who had been infibulated earlier in her life and presented with dyspareunia, dysmenorrhea, and chronic pelvic pain. A urethral stone measuring 0.8 cm was accidentally found during defibulation and labia majora reconstruction [24].

Megarethra

There is one a case report of a 21-years old woman with Type III genital mutilation who developed megarethra due to repetitive urethral coitus [25].

Discussion

Female genital mutilation is a devastating condition that affects women's integrity and violates the basic principle of human rights. The procedure by itself is always traumatic and has no health benefits. For the last two decades, international organizations have been doing a significant work to aware the population about the risks inherent to this procedure. There is a lack of studies in the literature addressing specifically urological complications in women underwent genital mutilation. The most prevalent urological reported in the literature are: 1) Recurrent UTIs; 2) Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms due to bladder outlet obstruction; 3) Urinary retention, and 4) Urogenital fistula. All urological complications were more prevalent in Type III FGM (narrowing of the vaginal opening through the creation of a covering seal formed by cutting and repositioning through suturing the labia minora or labia majora) [8].

Urinary tract infections and LUTS occurs due to long-term bladder outlet obstruction. Over the years, these women tend to develop bladder with impaired or even acontractility leading to incomplete bladder emptying, chronic urinary retention, and paradoxical urinary incontinence. As many mutilated women do not feel comfortable to address this issue with urologists and/or gynecologists, a physical exam and ultrasonography are highly recommended in all cases [1].

The main risk factors associated with post-procedure complications are the lack of knowledge of anatomy and physiology of female urogenital tract and the poor sanitation conditions in which the procedure is routinely performed. Regarding quality of life, the most deleterious complication is the urogenital fistula. The narrowing or closure of the vaginal canal increase the likelihood of hematocolpos. Thus, most of them develop genital infections, urinary incontinence, chronic pelvic pain, dysmenorrhea, and urogenital fistulas. Up to 80% of these women reported poor sexual life related to physical and psychological issues [25,26].

We believe that international policies associated with raising awareness of the population in regard to the harmful consequences of any type of genital mutilation are important steps toward its eradication. Besides of that, we understand that complete eradication is still far from the reality. Continuous effort by healthcare professionals to disclose the
procedure-related risks along with regulation measures acknowledged and developed by worldwide organizations are necessary to increase the hope and shed some light for this specific subset of patients [27-32].

Conclusions

The prevalence of urological complications in women with genital mutilation is directly related to the severity of the procedure. Type III FGM is the most deleterious type because of the inherent risk of vaginal stenosis, hematocolpos, and urogenital fistula. The prompt recognition and evaluation of these women are advised for healthcare professionals aiming to provide dignity and quality of life for these women.
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