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Recurrent episodes of ventricular tachycardia in the patient with LV aneurysms, improved after LV aneurysmectomy
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Abstract
Left ventricular aneurysm (LVA) is one of the common complications of myocardial infarction (MI) that can lead to death or serious morbidity [1]. We present a 71 YO woman PMH of CAD S/P LAD stent, HTN, DLD, RA myxoma, LV apical thrombus, s/p cardiac arrest and s/p single-chamber implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) on Mexiletine, who presented to hospital with multiple ICD shocks. Initial EKG showed a wide complex rhythm with a LBBB pattern. Patient was loaded with amiodarone and was continued on mexitelne. A magnet was placed temporarily over the ICD to avoid further shocks. Attempts with overdrive pacing during wide complex tachycardia were not successful. No significant electrolytes abnormalities or infections present during this admission. Both Echo on this admission and ECHO from last admission showed LV apical dyskinesis and aneurysmal deformity.

Introduction
Left ventricular aneurysm (LVA) is one of the common complications of myocardial infarction (MI) that can lead to death or serious morbidity [1]. The aneurysm may be asymptomatic or present as heart failure, sustained ventricular tachyarrhythmias, or arterial embolism. Radiofrequency catheter ablation (RFA) is commonly used in combination with an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) and/or antiarrhythmic therapy for scar-related ventricular tachycardia (VT) associated with structural heart disease. In our case the patient had recurrent episodes of ventricular tachycardia for which she received multiple shocks from her ICD despite being on dual antiarrhythmic drugs. She was known to have an LV aneurysm, which was thought to be the likely source of her VT.

Case report
A 71 YO woman with ischemic heart disease, s/p ICD for history of cardiac arrest on Mexitelne presented to ED after receiving multiple ICD shocks. On physical examination, she was tachycardic at 140 beats/min and BP was 139/75 mmHg. Initial EKG showed LBBB morphology. Laboratory data was significant for elevated troponin of 0.68 which was thought to be due to ICD shocks. While she was being evaluated she went into a wide complex tachycardia and was shocked by her ICD again. Patient was loaded with amiodarone and continued on mexitelne. ICD device interrogation revealed single lead ICD was functioning well and multiple episode of VT noted and appropriately detected and treated with ATP and shock therapy. On amiodarone, her arrhythmia burden appeared to have decreased. Patient had diagnostic Left heart catheterization (LHC) done, which demonstrated patent LAD stent and no significant coronary stenosis. Echocardiogram demonstrated LV apical dyskinesia and an aneurysmal deformity with LVEF mildly reduced (Figures 1 and 2).

Mexitelne was discontinued 2 days later. However, the subsequent day she started having episodes of monomorphic VT, rate around 160. Patient remained awake, alert at all times, no respiratory distress, stable hemodynamically, BP 130/72. She was continued on amiodarone and started on IV lidocaine. She was subsequently switched to oral amiodarone and mexitelne. The ventricular arrhythmia appeared to be well controlled on dual therapy and she was discharged home.

She was re-admitted to the hospital soon after with recurrent shocks. No significant electrolytes abnormalities were present. Decision was made to pursue radiofrequency ablation (RFA). She underwent EPS and successful ablation of LV apical on PO mexitelne 150 mg BID for one month. Patient came back in follow up after 3 weeks with recurrent episodes of VT and shock. Decision was made to refer her for LV aneurysmectomy. She tolerated the procedure well without any complications. To date she has remained arrhythmia free without any anti-arrhythmics on board. (7 months later).

Discussion
The treatment of recurrent ventricular arrhythmia can be difficult especially in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy and presence of LV aneurysms despite anti-arrhythmic medications [2]. The first line of treatment is anti-arrhythmic medications followed by radiofrequency ablation (RFA). RFA for incessant VT in the presence of a LVA is an effective treatment option [3]. However, in a case where the arrhythmia is not controlled despite these measures, surgery remains the treatment of choice. A true LVA is defined as a well delineated, thin, scarred, or fibrotic wall devoid of muscle or containing necrotic muscle and is a result of a healed transmural myocardial infarction (MI). The involved wall segment is either akinetic (without movement) or dyskinetic (with paradoxical ballooning) during systole. Aneurysms of the apex and anterior wall are more than four times as common as those of the...
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**Figure 1.** EKG Clinical Ventricular Tachycardia.

**Figure 2.** Echo showing left ventricular aneurysm
inferior or anteroposterior walls. Ventricular arrhythmias, which can lead to sudden cardiac death, are common in patients with an LVA. Two principal mechanisms appear to contribute to ventricular arrhythmias: a). Myocardial ischemia and increased myocardial stretch can lead to enhanced automaticity or triggered activity. The myocardium located at the border zone is heterogeneous, consisting of a mix of fibrotic tissue, inflammatory cells, and damaged and disorganized muscle fibers. b). A reentrant tachycardia may develop around the border zone. We describe a case of recurrent VT in a patient with ischemic heart disease and LVA s/p ICD implant that failed to improve despite anti-arrhythmic therapy and RFA. RFA is commonly used in combination with an ICD and/or antiarrhythmic therapy for scar-related VT associated with structural heart disease. However, catheter ablation of VT in patients with LVA may not control the recurrent ventricular arrhythmia. In our case anti-arrhythmic medications and RFA failed to control the arrhythmia and surgical resection of LV aneurysm provided the definitive treatment. [4-12]

Conclusion

This case report suggests that patients with LVA post MI suffering from recurrent VT episodes not responding to maximally tolerated antiarrhythmic treatments and substrate modification, may benefit from LVAs resections. The number of patients referred for surgical resection is decreasing due to the advances in radiofrequency ablation and anti-arrhythmic medications. Aneurysmectomy has also become safer due to various advances and provides great benefit to patient with recurrent ventricular arrhythmias.
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