Development of a cranium-infection rat model for artificial bone implantation
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Abstract

For the development of infection-resistant artificial bone, a robust animal model that closely mimics clinical infection is necessary. Therefore, we established a rat model of cranial infection. After creating a bone defect in the parietal cranium of rats, either collagen sponge honeycomb or sterile cotton gauze was inserted into the defect, and wounds were then inoculated with Staphylococcus aureus. This method effectively resulted in cranial infection in a manner dependent on the inserted sponge/gauze. In conclusion, we believe this model will be useful as a cranial infection model and will enable studies of materials used in cranioplasty for their infection potential in a state befitting that of a clinical setting.

Introduction

Plastic surgeons often encounter situations in which cranioplasty involving artificial materials is performed in patients with cranial defects following neurosurgery or external injury. Recent developments in medical engineering have introduced various types of artificial bone to the market [1-10], and these materials are becoming more frequently and widely used. However, among serious complications is infection. Occurrence of infection deteriorates the prognosis as artificial implant fragments support bacterial growth, compromising the efficacy of the antibiotic treatment; in fact, some cases require extraction of the artificial bone. If infected artificial materials are not removed, the infection may spread to a wider area and cause intractable skin ulceration, requiring not only removal of the artificial bone but also reconstruction of the damaged skin and leading to a protracted hospitalization [11,12]. To expedite the development of infection-resistant artificial bone, a robust animal model that closely mimics clinical infection is necessary [13]. Infection models of crush wounds [14], burn wounds [15], and ulceration caused by the insertion of foreign materials such as suture threads or sand have been developed [16], but, to our knowledge, there is currently no established cranial infection model. Small animals such as rats are generally considered to possess a strong resistance to infection, and it has been considered highly challenging to maintain infection in these animal models [17]. In this study, we investigated the development of a rat model of cranial infection that can be maintained in an infected state.

Materials and methods

Experimental methods

Thirty Sprague-Dawley adult male rats (SD rats; obtained from Japan SLC, Inc., Shizuoka, Japan), aged 10–12 weeks and weighing 390–432 g, were used as the experimental model. First, rats were anesthetized by intraperitoneal administration of 0.4 ml pentobarbital. The top of the head was shaved to expose the skin which was then disinfected with ethanol. A 2-cm sagittal skin incision was made through the midline of the head using a #15 scalpel, and the parietal skull under the periosteum was exposed. Next, a defect in the full thickness of the bone, measuring 5 mm in diameter, was created on each side while avoiding the saggital suture (Figure 1).

Two types of foreign materials were evaluated for use in the model; either a collagen sponge honeycomb (AteloCell®; Koken Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) or sterile cotton gauze was inserted into the bone defects. No foreign material was used in the control group. To create infection, 15-CFU Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus, 30 CFU/cell; Easy QA Ball®; Nissui Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) were inoculated into each of the bone defects, while a control group was not inoculated with the bacteria. Wounds were then sutured and closed with 4-0 nylon thread; wounds were not drained via methods such as external ointment or gauze covering.

Based on inoculation of bacteria and the insertion of foreign materials, rats were divided into six groups as follows.

- Group 1: no materials & no S. aureus,
- Group 2: no materials & S. aureus,
- Group 3: sponge & no S. aureus,
- Group 4: sponge & S. aureus,
- Group 5: gauze & no S. aureus,
- Group 6: gauze & S. aureus.
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Group 6: gauze & S. aureus.

Thus, there were a total of six groups with six bone defects each; three bone defects were examined 3 days after surgery, whereas the other three were examined 7 days after for each group.

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the experimental animal committee at Fujita Health University

Bacterial analysis using gram staining

At 3 and 7 days after surgery, rats were anesthetized via intraperitoneal administration of 0.4 ml pentobarbital. The calvarias were dissected, and the granulation status of the bone defects, including the presence of exudate and abscess formation, was visually evaluated.

Granulation tissues were collected from the cranial defects of the rats in each group and fixed in 4% PFA (paraformaldehyde) before being embedded in paraffin. The specimens were sectioned in the coronal plane at 5-μm thickness and Gram-stained for observation under a conventional qualitative, bright-field light microscope, where they were assessed for the presence of Gram-positive bacteria.

Evaluation of increased bacterial counts using bacterial culture

At 3 and 7 days after surgery, 2-mm squares of granulation tissue were collected from the centers of the bone defects from rats in each group. They were then mashed and dissolved in 1 ml physiological saline solution; 0.1 ml solution was subsequently inoculated and smeared on to mannitol salt agar with egg yolk. Each agar plate was then placed in an incubator (37°C, 5% CO2) for 24 hr, and the number of colonies was then counted.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. All calculations were performed using SPSS version 17 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Macroscopic appearance

A little abnormal granulomatous tissue was observed in Groups 3 and 5. There was significant leachate and both thick and weak abnormal granulation tissue observed in all wounds inoculated with S. aureus (Groups 2, 4, and 6). There was no significant difference in appearance by comparing the specimen on days 3 and 7.

Histology

In the histologic analysis of wounds 3 days after surgery, no Gram-positive bacteria were detected in the tissues from Groups 1, 3, and 5, which were the groups not infected with S. aureus (Figure 2). As expected, disseminated Gram-positive bacteria were sporadically detected in tissues from Groups 2, 4, and 6.

Seven days after surgery, no Gram-positive bacteria were detected in the tissues from any of the groups of uninoculated rats (Groups 1, 3, and 5). In addition, Gram-positive bacterial colonies were not observed in Group 2 (Figure 3). This was different from the results obtained 3 days after surgery. On the other hand, Gram-positive bacteria were observed in the tissues from Groups 4 and 6, seven days after surgery. When compared with Group 2 that showed no colony, this result demonstrates the importance of the foreign materials for the bacterial growth.

Bacterial counts

The number of Gram-positive bacterial colonies was counted from specimens after 24 hour of bacterial culture. In samples from 3 days after surgery, no colonies were counted in Groups 1, 3, and 5. Among the bacteria inoculated into Groups 2, 4, and 6, the mean measured colony counts were 210 ± 46.3, 747 ± 85.2, and 946 ± 109.5, respectively. There were significant differences in colony counts between Groups 1 and 2, Groups 1 and 4, and Groups 1 and 6 (p<0.01). Furthermore, there were significant differences in colony counts between Groups 2 and 6.

Figure 1. Creation of the bone defects at the cranium of the experimental model.

Figure 2. Histological macroscopic appearance 3 days after surgery (Gram staining). No Gram-positive bacteria were detected in Groups 1, 3, and 5. Disseminated Gram-positive bacteria were instead sporadically detected in samples from Groups 2, 4, and 6.

and 4 and between Groups 2 and 6 (p<0.01; Figure 4).

The results with the tissues obtained seven days after surgery showed a trend similar to that with the tissues at three days after surgery. The mean measured colony counts of samples 7 days after surgery, among Groups 1, 3, and 5 were 0 for each group. The mean measured colony counts for Groups 2, 4, and 6 were 12 ± 8.2, 5147 ± 366.7, and 5229 ± 690.9, respectively. There were significant differences in colony counts between Groups 2 and 4 and between Groups 2 and 6 (p<0.01) at 3 days after surgery, signifying the dependency of the bacterial growth on the foreign materials. However, there was no significant difference between Groups 1 and 2 (p = 0.52; Figure 5).

**Discussion**

Major skull defects can present as most disturbing deformities. Cranioplasty can restore cerebral protection and improve craniofacial esthetics. When autogenous bone is not available or when its use is inappropriate, alloplastic materials are considered the next best option [6]. Nevertheless, none of the materials currently used to reconstruct skull defects are completely satisfactory. Hydroxyapatite is a biocompatible and osteoconductive material that lacks significant toxic or immunogenic properties; this would seem an ideal substrate for the repair of cranial defects [18].

However, infections present a major complication of cranioplasty procedures and in many cases of post-surgical infection, removal of the implant material becomes a necessity [19]. Once bacteria attach to the implant surface, they can cause localized inflammation and progressively damage neighboring tissues [20]. Furthermore, this may result in replacement failure of the implant material, which can lead to a fatal outcome. We have used artificial bone for cranial defects [21,22], and we now regard the development of artificial bone, which possesses anti-infective and compressive strengths, as a really important matter because of the aforementioned reasons.

Before clinical application of such procedures to confirm the efficacy of the new treatment, animal studies need to be conducted. Rats have a large and thick enough skull to create bone defects and are relatively frequently used for experiments assessing calvarial defects [23]. Therefore, we considered developing a cranium-infected rat model for grafting of an artificial bone. However, it is difficult to develop infection models in rodents, and some studies have created skin infection models using foreign materials [14,17]. We hypothesized that it would be possible to grow pathogenic microbes and induce a tissue reaction in response to using foreign materials in cranial defects, after reading these reports. The collagen sponge (AteloCell®) used as foreign material was a three-dimensional, honeycomb-shaped, and pepsin-
treated material. It has biodegradable properties and is frequently used in various tissue engineering applications [24,25]. We chose this material because the composition was similar to biological tissue. In addition, we used a cotton gauze as foreign material, to conform to the experiment reported by Tachi et al. [16]. They developed a model of wound infection in which persistence of infection was achieved for 9 days following ulceration due to the application of gauze to the base of a full-thickness wound.

In the present study, we used S. aureus as a pathogen for cranial infection. This bacterium is commonly found on human fingers, nasal vestibules, and the pharynx. It is also present on the skin and is considered to be a potential inflammatory bacterium in cranial artificial bone infections. Therefore, we used S. aureus to inoculate the cranial defect wounds in this experiment.

We used the bacteria which we gathered from inpatients for the beginning of this experiment. However, there was various bacteria got mixed and it was difficult to control the certain number of the bacteria. Moreover, there was possibility that it might not contain viable cells and result in “zero counts” when used. Therefore we used the Easy QA Ball® (BioBall®; as a following now) because of the stabilization and recovery of viable cells, the accurate number of bacteria. In addition, it requires no preparation or pre-incubation, and it can be added directly to media or matrix samples [21]. We got to know through the process, it was difficult to count and compare the number of too many bacterial colonies because the bone defect was very small, so that we determined 15CFU of S. aureus was appropriate to inoculate.

Our study had certain limitations. We only examined histological granulation tissue of calvarial bone defects. It was difficult to manufacture samples of rat calvarial bone, while not injuring the dura mater and brain. However, we think that it is necessary to use a method, which fully explores the intracranial changes because the clinician is likely to be interested in the connections in this area. In addition, we did not monitor the duration of the infection. The autogenous bone causes a reaction similar to bacterial “melting,” but we do not know how the artificial bone changes bacteria. Therefore, it is necessary to control the period of infection when investigating the alteration of artificial bone.

In conclusion, we believe this model will be useful as a cranial infection model and will enable the study of artificial bone used in cranioplasty and their potential for infection in a state more similar to that of a clinical setting.
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